

# The American State Administrators Project (ASAP) Data Codebook: 1964 to 2008\*

Dataset Version 3.0

August 25, 2023

Dr. Susan Webb Yackee  
University of Wisconsin  
La Follette School of Public Affairs  
[yackee@wisc.edu](mailto:yackee@wisc.edu)

\*This codebook aligns with the ASAP Dataset Version 3.0 release that runs from 1964-2008. This data release does not include data from the 2018 survey. However, this codebook includes most of the questions that were asked on the 2018 survey for context on the project. See elsewhere on [www.asap.wisc.edu](http://www.asap.wisc.edu) for the release of the 2018 data.

## Table of Contents

<b>How to Cite ASAP Data</b>	<b>pg. 1</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>pg. 1</b>
<b>Data Transfer and Use Agreement</b>	<b>pg. 1</b>
<b>Data Information</b>	<b>pg. 2</b>
<b>Responses and Response Rates</b>	<b>pg. 2</b>
<b>Coding Decisions</b>	<b>pg. 3</b>
<b>Assistance with the Data</b>	<b>pg. 3</b>
<b>ASAP Dataset Version</b>	<b>pg. 3</b>
<b>Other Acknowledgements</b>	<b>pg. 3</b>
<b>Naming Conventions</b>	<b>pg. 4</b>
<b>How to Use the Codebook</b>	<b>pg. 4</b>
<b>The American State Administrators Project Questions, 1964-2008</b>	<b>pg. 5</b>
<b>Position &amp; Agency</b>	<b>pg. 6</b>
<b>Programs, Priorities &amp; Performance</b>	<b>pg. 22</b>
<b>Agency Budgets and Fiscal Austerity</b>	<b>pg. 51</b>
<b>Agency Relationships</b>	<b>pg. 71</b>
<b>State Governmental &amp; Intergovernmental Contacts</b>	<b>pg. 123</b>
<b>Federal Aid Issues and State-National Relations</b>	<b>pg. 153</b>
<b>National-State Regulatory Relations, Devolution, and 9-11</b>	<b>pg. 168</b>
<b>Receipt of Federal Aid</b>	<b>pg. 186</b>
<b>State-Local Issues and Relationships</b>	<b>pg. 217</b>
<b>Career and Professional Experience</b>	<b>pg. 229</b>
<b>Background Characteristics and Education</b>	<b>pg. 267</b>
<b>Reorganization, Reform, Contracting &amp; Collaboration</b>	<b>pg. 294</b>
<b>Exceptional Questions</b>	<b>pg. 320</b>
<b>Other Information</b>	<b>pg. 363</b>

## How to Cite the ASAP Data

The ASAP data cannot be used without proper citation. Thus, all ASAP data users must cite the following article in their research (and must include this citation on their accompanying Works Cited page, if applicable):

Yackee, Jason Webb and Susan Webb Yackee. 2021. "The American State Administrators Project: A New 50-State, 50-Year Data Resource for Scholars." *Public Administration Review*, 81: 558-563.

This codebook draws on the PAR article extensively and is meant to be used in combination with this article.

---

## Introduction

The American State Administrators Project (ASAP) is a survey that provides data and information on the state administration of public policy, gathering the perspectives of state agency leaders across all American states, all agency types, and over time. The data are collected across a variety of topics, such as demographic characteristics, career and professional experience, programs and priorities, political relationships, federal aid, contracting, and more.

Dr. Deil Wright began ASAP in 1964, and he continued the survey as a faculty member at the University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill. Dr. Wright, who passed away about a decade ago, was a much loved and admired person. He was a tremendous scholar, whose innovative use of survey research lives on today in ASAP. Dr. Wright was also a wonderful mentor and an inspiration to all who knew him. Professor Cynthia Bowling of Auburn University co-directed the 2004 and 2008 ASAP surveys. Dr. Bowling graciously and helpfully assisted in our efforts to publicly release the ASAP data.

Dr. Susan Webb Yackee of the La Follette School of Public Affairs at the UW-Madison has led the efforts to make the 1964 to 2008 ASAP data publicly available. In 2018, Dr. Yackee conducted a new survey inspired by ASAP with her co-principal investigator, Dr. Jason Webb Yackee of the University of Wisconsin Law School.

## Data Transfer and Use Agreement

All users of the ASAP data must agree to the conditions and secure proper signatures on the *Data Transfer and Use Agreement: The American State Administrator Project (ASAP)* before accessing and using the data. Among other things, this agreement insures critical protections for the survey respondents. All users of the data must obey these terms. If anything in this codebook or on the project's website appears to conflict with the terms in the Data Transfer and Use Agreement, then users should abide by the Data Transfer and Use Agreement.

## Data Information

The ASAP survey data are available publicly to researchers across 11 survey waves—twice a decade from 1964 to 2008 and 2018. The data years are: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008 and 2018. The ASAP dataset is to be used for academic research only.

From the beginning, ASAP aimed to survey all leaders of major state agencies across all 50 states. The survey is not, and has never been, a sampling exercise. Thus, unlike most surveys, ASAP was intended to solicit the responses of the entire population of theoretical interest. Technically, then, the ASPA data is a census of state agency leaders’ perceptions, informed opinions, and experiences.

Dr. Wright used the Council of State Governments’ (CSG) *State Directory* to identify the population. The State Directory provided the names and business contact information for state agency leaders, both elected and appointed, in the 50 states. Entries included leaders of large umbrella departments (such as the state Department of Transportation) and important subsidiary agencies located within those departments (such as the state’s roads and highways agency). Over the years, state government has grown in scope and complexity, and the number and types of state agencies have grown as well. The State Directory—and thus the ASAP dataset—reflect this growth: later volumes contain more agencies and types of agencies than earlier ones. According to his records, in the 1960s, Dr. Wright administered the survey to 33 different agency functional types. By the 1970s, this had grown to 63, while in the 1980s, 75 agency types were included, and in the 1990s, 95 types. By the 2000s, approximately 110 agency types were included in the ASAP survey.

The survey was implemented by mail from 1964 to 2004. In 2008 and 2018, the survey was implemented by mail and the Internet. Numerous follow-ups were included for each survey wave.

## Responses and Response Rates

<b>Year</b>	<b>Universe (Possible Respondents)*</b>	<b>Actual Respondents</b>	<b>Response Rate</b>
<b>1964</b>	1,360	926	68%
<b>1968</b>	1,570	991	63%
<b>1974</b>	3,050	1,587	52%
<b>1978</b>	3,095	1,393	45%
<b>1984</b>	2,950	1,121	38%
<b>1988</b>	3,030	1,453	48%
<b>1994</b>	3,320	1,229	37%
<b>1998</b>	3,560	1,175	33%
<b>2004</b>	3,245	941	29%
<b>2008</b>	3,565	713	20%
<b>2018</b>	3,490	1,460	42%
<b>Total/Average</b>	<b>32,235</b>	<b>12,989</b>	<b>43%</b>

\*Approximate numbers

The 1994, 1998, 2004, and 2008 surveys included a supplemental telephone survey of between seven and ten percent of the ASAP non-respondents. These non-respondents were asked a small battery of attitudinal and attribute questions, and their responses were compared to those of the survey respondents. Analysis found that non-respondents did not systematically differ from ASAP respondents.

## **Coding Decisions**

Across the ASAP survey years, many questions were asked in an identical or quite similar fashion. When possible, we have combined the ASAP survey questions, even when there were slight deviations in question wording. In all cases, the exact question wording for each survey year is listed in this codebook to give the researcher the ultimate decision on whether or not to combine survey questions.

In a small number of instances, select data have been manipulated or dropped if the responses were clearly incorrect. For example, when the survey instrument provided three choices: 1, 2, and 3, but the recorded answer was 754. Additionally, in some survey years, data for the original ASAP question could not be located or did not align with reasonable expectations. In those limited cases, the data were not included in the dataset. All non-valid or unusable responses are recoded as missing values. Significant data decisions are noted in the codebook below. When in doubt, we have included the information in the dataset. Thus, in the end, it will be up to the researcher to decide what data to use to answer their research questions.

## **Assistance with the Data**

Data are provided “as is,” and the ASAP team is not available to assist researchers in understanding the data structure (e.g. variables, code list, etc.) or to answer any questions about the data. However, if a researcher uncovers potential errors in the data, then s/he may alert Professor Susan Webb Yackee by email ([yackee@wisc.edu](mailto:yackee@wisc.edu)).

## **ASAP Dataset Version**

The ASAP dataset may occasionally be updated or corrected. As a result, please acknowledge the version of the ASAP data that is in use.

## **Other Acknowledgments**

Users of the data agree to provide the following acknowledgement in all work:

*We/I gratefully acknowledge the contributions of Professor Deil Wright, the Earhart Foundation of Ann Arbor, Michigan, and the University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill; Professor Cynthia Bowling, Professor Theodore Arapis, and Auburn University; Professor Susan Webb Yackee and the University of Wisconsin-Madison; and the dozens of students and colleagues who drafted questions and collected data across the years.*

## Naming Conventions

The survey's variable names try to follow some conventions for clarity and consistency. Prefixes with underscores are used to group variables by type or topic, as summarized below. Questions with multiple parts are grouped in the same numbered variable.

Prefix	Topic
a_	Position and Agency
b_	Programs, Priorities, and Performance
c_	Agency Budgets and Fiscal Austerity
d_	Agency Relationships
e_	State Governmental and Intergovernmental Contacts
f_	Federal Aid Issues and State-National Relations
g_	National-State Regulatory Relations, Devolution, and 9/11
h_	Receipt of Federal Aid
i_	State-Local Issues and Relationships
j_	Career and Professional Experience
k_	Background Characteristics and Education
l_	Reorganization, Reform, and Contracting
m_	Exceptional Questions (used in only a few surveys)
n_	Agency Rules
o_	Policy Tools
Other Info	State, Functat13, and Year

## How to Use the Codebook

The codebook includes the variable name, years asked within the ASAP survey, exact wording each year, number of observations, coding labels, and relevant data notes.

The following is an example of the format in which the data is presented:

### **variable name**

---

Standardized question wording aggregating the variety of questions that were determined to be asking exactly or sufficiently similar questions.

Years in which the question was asked

Response options:

- Discrete variables include the coded value and text of each response option
- Continuous variables are labeled “Continuous”

Specific question wording for each year the question was asked.

# The American State Administrators Project Questions, 1964-2018

## Position & Agency

*The respondent's individual appointment, salary, time management and agency size*

### **a 1a**

---

The nature of the appointment or approval process by which respondents came to their present post.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 1 – Governor with legislative consent
- 2 – Governor without legislative consent
- 3 – Board/commission with Governor's consent
- 4 – Board/commission without Governor's consent
- 5 – Department head
- 6 – Popular election
- 7 – Other

### 2018

Which one of the following best describes the type of appointment or approval process for your current position?

### 2008

Please indicate (check) the nature of the appointment or approval process by which you came to your present post

### 2004

Please indicate (check) the nature of the appointment or approval process by which you came to your present post.

### 1998

Please indicate (check) the nature of the appointment or approval process by which you came to your present post.

### 1994

Please indicate the nature of the appointment or approval process by which you came to your present post.

### 1988

Please indicate the nature of the appointment or approval process by which you came to your present post.

### 1984

Please indicate the nature of the appointment or approval process by which you came to your present post.

### 1978



Please indicate the nature of the appointment or approval process by which you came to your present post.

1974

Please indicate the nature of the appointment or approval process by which you came to your present post.

1968

Please indicate how you were appointed.

1964

Please indicate how you were appointed.

**a 2a**

---

Is respondent position covered by a civil service (merit) system?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – No

1 – Yes

2018

Is your position covered by a civil service or merit system?

2008

Is your position covered by a civil service (merit) system?

2004

Is your position covered by a civil service (merit) system?

1998

Is your position covered by a civil service (merit) system?

1994

Is your position covered by a civil service (merit) system?

1988

Is your position covered by a civil service (merit) system?

1984

Is your position covered by a civil service (merit) system?

1978

Is your position covered by a civil service (merit) system?

1974

Is your present position under civil service (merit) system?

1968

If APPOINTED to your position: Are you under civil services?

1964

If APPOINTED to your position: Are you under civil services?

**a\_3a**

---

Total number of agency employees.

Years: 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

Continuous

2018

What is the total number of employees in the agency or unit which you head?

2008

About how large is the agency (or unit) which you head?

2004

How large is the agency which you head?

1998

How large is the agency which you head in:

1994

How large is the agency which you head in terms of:

1988

How large is the agency which you head in terms of:

1984

How large is the agency which you head in terms of:

1978

How large is your agency in terms of:

1974

How large is your agency in terms of:

1968

What is the total number of employees under your general supervision?

**a\_3b**

---

Total number of agency employees.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 1 – Under 25
- 2 – 25-100
- 3 – 101-500
- 4 – 501-1,000
- 5 – 1,001-5,000
- 6 – Over 5,000

2018

What is the total number of employees in the agency or unit which you head?

2008

About how large is the agency (or unit) which you head?

2004

How large is the agency which you head?

1998

How large is the agency which you head in:

1994

How large is the agency which you head in terms of:

1988

How large is the agency which you head in terms of:

1984

How large is the agency which you head in terms of:

1978

How large is your agency in terms of:

1974

About how large is the agency (or unit) which you head?

1968

What is the total number of employees under your general supervision?

1964

What is the total number of employees under your general supervision?

**a\_4a**

---

Size of current annual budget (in millions of dollars).

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

Continuous

Note: Outlier in Year: 2008, State: AK, Funcat: Fiscal

2018

What is the annual budget for the agency or unit which you head?

2008

About how large is the agency (or unit) which you head?

Size of current annual budget? (in millions of dollars)

2004

How large is the agency which you head?

Size of current annual budget? (in millions of dollars)

1998

How large is the agency which you head in:

Size of current **annual** budget? (in millions of \$)

1994

How large is the agency which you head in terms of:

Size of current **annual** budget? (in millions of \$)

1988

How large is the agency which you head in terms of:

Size of current **annual** budget? (in millions of \$)

1984

How large is the agency which you head in terms of:

Size of current **annual** budget? (in millions of \$)

1978

How large is your agency in terms of:

Size of agency's current **annual** budget? (millions of \$)

1974

How large is your agency in terms of:

Size of agency's current annual budget? (millions of \$)

1968

What is the approximate size of the budget of your agency or unit?

1964

What is the approximate size of the budget of the agency or unit you are charged with administering?

**a\_4b**

---

Size of current annual budget (in millions of dollars) in 2018 dollars.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

Continuous

Note: These responses have been converted to real dollars.

2018

What is the annual budget for the agency or unit which you head?

2008

About how large is the agency (or unit) which you head?

Size of current annual budget? (in millions of dollars)

2004

How large is the agency which you head?

Size of current annual budget? (in millions of dollars)

1998

How large is the agency which you head in:

Size of current annual budget? (in millions of \$)

1994

How large is the agency which you head in terms of:

Size of current annual budget? (in millions of \$)

1988

How large is the agency which you head in terms of:

Size of current annual budget? (in millions of \$)

1984

How large is the agency which you head in terms of:

Size of current annual budget? (in millions of \$)

1978

How large is your agency in terms of:

Size of agency's current annual budget? (millions of \$)

1974

How large is your agency in terms of:

Size of agency's current annual budget? (millions of \$)

1968

What is the approximate size of the budget of your agency or unit?

1964

What is the approximate size of the budget of the agency or unit you are charged with

administering?

**a\_5a**

---

Percentage of time spent on internal management (routine administration).

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

Continuous (%)

Note: in some instances, data does not add up to 100%

2008

How do you divide your time among the following activities? (approximate percentages):

Internal Management: routine administration.....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%  
(Total=100%)

2004

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration .....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%  
(Total=100%)

1998

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration .....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%  
(Total=100%)

1994

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration .....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Supports: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%

1988

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration .....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Supports: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%

1984

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration.....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%

1978

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration.....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%

1974

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration.....\_\_%  
Policy Development and Presentation: relations with governor, legislators, and  
boards.....\_\_%  
Public support: promoting programs with clientele  
and interest groups.....\_\_%

1968

As an official of a state agency, how do you divide your time? What percentage of your time do you devote to each of the following activities?

Policy Making \_\_\_\_\_%  
Public Relations \_\_\_\_\_%  
Routine Administration \_\_\_\_\_%

1964

As an official of a state agency, how do you divide your time? What percentage of your time do you devote to each of the following activities?

Policy Making \_\_\_\_\_%  
Public Relations \_\_\_\_\_%  
Routine Administration \_\_\_\_\_%

**a\_6a**

---

Percentage of time spent on policy development (with governor, legislators, and boards).

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

Continuous (%)

2008

How do you divide your time among the following activities? (approximate percentages):

Internal Management: routine administration.....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%  
(Total=100%)

2004

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration .....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%  
(Total=100%)

1998

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration .....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%  
(Total=100%)

1994

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration .....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Supports: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%

1988

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration .....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Supports: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%

1984

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration.....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%

1978

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration.....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%

1974

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration.....\_\_%  
Policy Development and Presentation: relations with governor, legislators, and  
boards.....\_\_%  
Public support: promoting programs with clientele  
and interest groups.....\_\_%

1968

As an official of a state agency, how do you divide your time? What percentage of your time do you devote to each of the following activities?

Policy Making \_\_\_\_\_%  
Public Relations \_\_\_\_\_%  
Routine Administration \_\_\_\_\_%

1964



As an official of a state agency, how do you divide your time? What percentage of your time do you devote to each of the following activities?

Policy Making \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Public Relations \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Routine Administration \_\_\_\_\_ %

**a 7a**

Percentage of time spent on public support (with clientele and interest groups).

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

Continuous (%)

2008

How do you divide your time among the following activities? (approximate percentages):

Internal Management: routine administration..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
(Total=100%)

2004

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration ..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
(Total=100%)

1998

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration ..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
(Total=100%)

1994

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration ..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Public Supports: with clientele and interest groups..... \_\_\_\_\_ %

1988

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration ..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Public Supports: with clientele and interest groups..... \_\_\_\_\_ %

1984

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards..... \_\_\_\_\_ %  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups..... \_\_\_\_\_ %

1978

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration.....\_\_%  
Policy Development: with governor, legislators, and boards.....\_\_%  
Public Support: with clientele and interest groups.....\_\_%

1974

How do you divide your time – in approximate percentage terms – among:

Internal Management: routine administration.....\_\_%  
Policy Development and Presentation: relations with governor, legislators, and  
boards.....\_\_%  
Public support: promoting programs with clientele  
and interest groups.....\_\_%

1968

As an official of a state agency, how do you divide your time? What percentage of your time do you devote to each of the following activities?

Policy Making \_\_\_\_\_%  
Public Relations \_\_\_\_\_%  
Routine Administration \_\_\_\_\_%

1964

As an official of a state agency, how do you divide your time? What percentage of your time do you devote to each of the following activities?

Policy Making \_\_\_\_\_%  
Public Relations \_\_\_\_\_%  
Routine Administration \_\_\_\_\_%

**a 8a**

---

Average hours per week worked by respondent.

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

Continuous

2018

On average, how many hours per week do you work?

2008

How many hours per week (on average) do you work?

2004

How many hours per week (on average) do you work?

1998

How many hours per week (on average) do you work?

1994

How many hours per week (on average) do you work?

1988

How many hours per week do you work on the average?

1984

How many hours per week do you work on the average?

1978

How many hours per week do you work on the average?

1974

How many hours per week do you work on the average?

**a\_9a**

---

Annual salary of respondent's current position, in original dollars.

Years: 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

Continuous

Note: Outlier data point. Year: 2008, State: Georgia, Funcat: Education.

Note: Outlier data point. Year: 1968, State: Michigan, Funcat: Transportation.

Note: Outlier data point. Year: 1968, State: Montana, Funcat: Transportation.

2018

What is the annual salary of the current position you hold?

2008

What is the annual salary of the current position you hold?

2004

What is the annual salary of the current position you hold?

1998

What is the annual salary of the position you hold?

1994

What is the annual salary of the position you hold?

1988

What is the annual salary of the position you hold?

1984

What is the annual salary of the position you hold?

1978

What is the annual salary of the position you hold?

1974

What is the annual salary for the State position you hold?

1968

What is your salary?

**a 9b**

---

Annual salary of respondent's current position, in 2018 dollars.

Years: 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

Continuous

Note: These responses have been converted to real dollars.

Note: Outlier data point. Year: 2008, State: Georgia, Funcat: Education.

2018

What is the annual salary of the current position you hold?

2008

What is the annual salary of the current position you hold?

2004

What is the annual salary of the current position you hold?

1998

What is the annual salary of the current position you hold?

1994

What is the annual salary of the position you hold?

1988

What is the annual salary of the position you hold?

1984

What is the annual salary of the position you hold?

1978

What is the annual salary of the position you hold?

1974

What is the annual salary for the State position you hold?

1968

What is your salary?

**a\_10a**

---

Percentage of agency's budget funded from legally "earmarked" revenues.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988

0 – No/None

1 – Yes (Under 25%)

2 – Yes (25-49%)

3 – Yes (50% or more)

1988

What percentage of your agency's budget is funded from legally "earmarked" revenues?

1984

What percentage of your agency's budget is funded from legally "earmarked" revenues?

1978

What percentage of your agency's budget is funded from legally "earmarked" revenues?

1974

Are your agency's activities and programs financed in part by legally "earmarked" revenues?

1968

Is any portion of the funds you spend derived from dedicated or "earmarked" revenues?

1964

Is any portion of the funds you spend derived from "earmarked" revenues?

**a\_10b**

---

In practice, is your agency or department less subject to supervision by the governor and the legislature in activities financed by earmarked revenue than in activities financed by general revenue?

Years: 1964

0 – No

1 – Yes

1964

In practice, is your agency or department less subject to supervision by the governor and the legislature in activities financed by earmarked revenue than in activities financed by general revenue?

**a\_11a**

---

Gubernatorial removal process

Years: 2018

- 1 – Governor has “at-will” removal power
- 2 – Governor has “for cause” removal power
- 3 – Governor does not have removal power

2018

Which one of the following best describes the nature of the gubernatorial removal process for your current position?

**a\_12a**

---

Non-clerical employees’ tech/science expertise

Years: 2018

- 0 – None
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

For each of the following, what is the level of expertise of the non-clerical employees in your agency?

**a\_12b**

---

Non-clerical employees’ policy/political expertise

Years: 2018

- 0 – None
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

For each of the following, what is the level of expertise of the non-clerical employees in your agency?

**a\_13a**

Is your agency classified as independent?

Years: 2018

0 – No

1 – Yes

2018

Is your agency officially classified within your state as an “independent” agency or unit?

## **Programs, Priorities & Performance**

*Program expansion, priorities, influences, constraints and state comparisons*

**b\_1a**

---

Do you think the current overall level of programs, services, and expenditures by your state should be expanded and increased?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Do you think that the current overall level of programs, services, and expenditures by your state should be expanded and increased?

2004

Do you think that the current overall level of programs, services, and expenditures by your state should be expanded and increased?

1998

Do you think that the current overall level of programs, services, and expenditures by your state should be expanded and increased?

1994

Do you think that the current overall level of programs, services, and expenditures by your state should be expanded and increased?

1988

Do you think that the current overall level of programs, services, and expenditures by your state should be expanded and increased?

1984

Do you think that the current overall level of programs, services, and expenditures by your state should be expanded and increased?

1978

Do you think that the current overall level of programs, services, and expenditures by your state should be expanded and increased?

1968

Do you think that the current over-all level of services and expenditures in your state should be expanded and increased?

1964

Do you think that the current over-all level of services and expenditures in your state should be expanded and increased?

**b\_1b**

By how much do you think the current overall level of programs, services, and expenditures by your state should be expanded and increased?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

1 – Up to 5%

2 – 5-9%

3 – 10-14%

4 – 15% or more

2008

IF YES [to b\_1a]: by how much?

2004

IF YES [to b\_1a]: by how much?

1998

IF YES [to b\_1a]: by how much?

1994

IF YES [to b\_1a]: by how much?

1988

IF YES [to b\_1a]: by how much?

1984

IF YES [to b\_1a]: by how much?

1978

IF YES [to b\_1a]: by how much?

1974

IF YES [to b\_1a]: by how much?



1968

If YES [to b\_1a], how much do you think state services and expenditures should be increased?

1964

If YES [to b\_1a], how much do you think state services and expenditures should be increased?

**b\_1c**

---

If not, by how much should it be reduced, if any?

Years: 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – None

1 – Up to 5%

2 – 5% or more

2008

IF NO [to b\_1a]: by how much should it be reduced?

2004

IF NO [to b\_1a]: by how much reduced?

1998

IF NO [to b\_1a]: by how much reduced?

1994

IF NO [to b\_1a]: by how much reduced?

1988

IF NO [to b\_1a]: by how much reduced?

1984

IF NO [to b\_1a]: by how much reduced?

**b\_2a**

---

Do you think the specific programs, expenditures, and services of your agency should be expanded and increased?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1978, 1974, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: Collapsed to “Yes” or “No” categories in 1978

2018

Do you think the specific programs, expenditures, and services of your agency should be expanded and increased, or should be reduced?

2008

Do you think the specific programs, expenditures, and services of your agency should be expanded and increased?

2004

Regarding the specific programs and services performed by your agency, should these be expanded and increased?

1998

Regarding the specific programs and services performed by your agency, should these be expanded and increased?

1994

Regarding the specific programs and services performed by your agency, should these be expanded and increased?

1988

Regarding the specific programs and services performed by your agency, should these be expanded and increased?

1984

Regarding the specific programs and services performed by your agency, should these be expanded and increased?

1978

Regarding the specific programs and services performed by your agency, by how much should your agency expand its activities?

1974

Regarding the specific programs and services performed by your agency, should your State expand its activities?

1968

In regard to the specific function or service performed through your office, should your State expand its activities?

1964

In regard to the specific function or service performed through your office, should your State expand its activities?

**b\_2b**

---

[Agency Programs] IF YES: by how much?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

1 – Up to 5%

2 – 5-9%

3 – 10-14%  
4 – 15% or more

2018

IF YES [to b\_2a]: If expanded, how much?

2008

IF YES [to b\_2a]: by how much?

2004

IF YES [to b\_2a]: by how much?

1998

IF YES [to b\_2a]: by how much?

1994

IF YES [to b\_2a]: by how much?

1988

IF YES [to b\_2a]: by how much?

1984

IF YES [to b\_2a]: by how much?

1978

Regarding the specific programs and services performed by your agency, by how much should your agency expand its activities?

1974

IF YES [to b\_2a]: by how much?

1968

If YES [to b\_2a], how much do you think your agency should expand its services and expenditures?

1964

If YES [to b\_2a], how much do you think your agency should expand its services and expenditures?

**b\_2c**

---

[Agency Programs] IF NO: by how much should it be reduced?

Years: 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – None  
1 – Up to 5%  
2 – 5% or more

2018

IF NO [to b\_2a]: If reduced, how much?

2008

IF NO [to b\_2a]: by how much should it be reduced?

2004

IF NO [to b\_2a]: by how much reduced?

1998

IF NO [to b\_2a]: by how much reduced?

1994

IF NO [to b\_2a]: by how much reduced?

1988

IF NO [to b\_2a]: by how much reduced?

1984

IF NO [to b\_2a]: by how much reduced?

**b\_3a**

---

Within the past four years what changes or shifts have taken place in the ordering of priorities among programs within your agency?

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – None

1 – Minor Shifts

2 – Moderate Shifts

3 – Major Shifts

2008

Within the past four years what changes or shifts have taken place in the ordering of priorities among programs within your agency?

2004

Within the past four years what changes or shifts have taken place in the ordering of priorities among programs within your agency?

1998

Within the past four years what changes or shifts have taken place in the ordering of priorities among programs within your agency?

1994

Within the past four years what changes or shifts have taken place in the ordering of priorities among programs within your agency?

1988

Within the past four years what changes or shifts have taken place in the ordering of priorities among programs within your agency?

1984

Within the past four years what changes or shifts have taken place in the ordering of priorities among programs within your agency?

1978

Within the past four or five years what changes or shifts have taken place in the ordering of priorities among programs within your agency?

1974

Within the past four or five years what changes or shifts have taken place in the ordering of priorities among programs within your agency?

**b\_4a**

---

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions organized by the legislator?

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ legislators

2004

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ legislators

1998

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ legislators

1994

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ legislators

1988

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ legislators

1984

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ legislators

1978

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ legislators

**b\_4b**

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions organized by the governor?

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ governors

2004

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ governors

1998

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ governors

1994

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ governors

1988

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ governors

1984

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ governors

1978

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ governors

**b\_4c**

---

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions organized by agency staff?

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ agency staff

2004

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ agency staff

1998

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ agency staff

1994

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ agency staff

1988

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ agency staff

1984

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ agency staff

1978

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ agency staff

**b\_4d**

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions organized by local government officials?

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ local government officials

2004

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ local government officials

1998

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ local government officials

1994

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ local government officials

1988

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ local government officials

1984

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ local government officials

1978

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ local government officials

**b\_4e**

---

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions organized by national government officials?

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ national government officials

2004

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ national government officials

1998

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ national government officials

1994



Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ national government officials

1988

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ national government officials

1984

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ national government officials

1978

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ national government officials

**b\_4f**

---

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions organized by clientele groups?

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ clientele groups

2004

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ clientele groups

1998

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ clientele groups

1994

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ clientele groups

1988

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ clientele groups

1984

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ clientele groups

1978

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ clientele groups

**b\_4g**

---

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions organized by interest groups?

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ other interest groups

2004

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ other interest groups

1998

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ other interest groups

1994

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ other interest groups

**b\_4h**

---

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions organized by news media?

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ news media

2004

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ news media

1998

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ news media

1994

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ state news media

**b\_4i**

---

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions organized by state courts?

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ state courts

2004

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ state courts

1998

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ state courts

1994

Were any shifts in program priorities the result of policy initiatives or actions originated by any of the following? (check all that apply); \_\_\_\_\_ state courts

**b\_5a**

---

Approximately what proportion of the shifts or changes in programs and priorities occurred because of financial cutbacks; that are actual reductions in outlays, not merely cuts in budget requests?

Years: 2004

1 – Under 25%

2 – 25% to 49%

3 – 50% to 74%

4 – 75% or more

2004

Approximately what proportion of the shifts or changes in programs and priorities occurred because of financial cutbacks; that are actual reductions in outlays, not merely cuts in budget requests?

**b\_6a**

---

Approximately what percentage(s) of the shifts in program priorities occurred because of the following? \_\_\_\_\_% national cutback(s)

Years: 1988

Continuous

1988

Approximately what percentage(s) of the shifts in program priorities occurred because of the following? \_\_\_\_\_% national cutback(s)

**b\_6b**

---

Approximately what percentage(s) of the shifts in program priorities occurred because of the following? \_\_\_\_\_% state cutback(s)

Years: 1988

Continuous

1988

Approximately what percentage(s) of the shifts in program priorities occurred because of the following? \_\_\_\_\_% state cutback(s)

**b\_6c**

---

Approximately what percentage(s) of the shifts in program priorities occurred because of the following? \_\_\_\_\_% national expansion(s)

Years: 1988

Continuous

1988

Approximately what percentage(s) of the shifts in program priorities occurred because of the following? \_\_\_\_\_% national expansion(s)

**b\_6d**

---

Approximately what percentage(s) of the shifts in program priorities occurred because of the following? \_\_\_\_\_% state expansion(s)

Years: 1988

Continuous

1988

Approximately what percentage(s) of the shifts in program priorities occurred because of the following? \_\_\_\_\_% state expansion(s)

**b\_7a**

---

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ no clear need for expansion

Years: 1974

- 1 – Most limiting
- 2 – Next most limiting
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup> most limiting
- 4 – 4<sup>th</sup> most limiting

- 5 – 5<sup>th</sup> most limiting
- 6 – 6<sup>th</sup> most limiting
- 7 – 7<sup>th</sup> most limiting
- 8 – 8<sup>th</sup> most limiting

1974

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ no clear need for expansion

**b 7b**

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ lack of public opinion support

Years: 1974

- 1 – Most limiting
- 2 – Next most limiting
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup> most limiting
- 4 – 4<sup>th</sup> most limiting

- 5 – 5<sup>th</sup> most limiting
- 6 – 6<sup>th</sup> most limiting
- 7 – 7<sup>th</sup> most limiting
- 8 – 8<sup>th</sup> most limiting

1974

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ lack of public opinion support

**b 7c**

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ the state lacks fiscal resources

Years: 1974

- 1 – Most limiting
- 2 – Next most limiting
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup> most limiting
- 4 – 4<sup>th</sup> most limiting

- 5 – 5<sup>th</sup> most limiting
- 6 – 6<sup>th</sup> most limiting
- 7 – 7<sup>th</sup> most limiting
- 8 – 8<sup>th</sup> most limiting

1974

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ the state lacks fiscal resources

**b\_7d**

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ other agencies more influential

Years: 1974

- |                                   |                                   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 – Most limiting                 | 5 – 5 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 2 – Next most limiting            | 6 – 6 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 3 – 3 <sup>rd</sup> most limiting | 7 – 7 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 4 – 4 <sup>th</sup> most limiting | 8 – 8 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |

1974

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ other agencies more influential

**b\_7e**

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ lack of governor's support

Years: 1974

- |                                   |                                   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 – Most limiting                 | 5 – 5 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 2 – Next most limiting            | 6 – 6 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 3 – 3 <sup>rd</sup> most limiting | 7 – 7 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 4 – 4 <sup>th</sup> most limiting | 8 – 8 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |

1974

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ lack of governor's support

**b\_7f**

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ lack of legislative support

Years: 1974

- |                                   |                                   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 – Most limiting                 | 5 – 5 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 2 – Next most limiting            | 6 – 6 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 3 – 3 <sup>rd</sup> most limiting | 7 – 7 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 4 – 4 <sup>th</sup> most limiting | 8 – 8 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |

1974

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ lack of legislative support

**b\_7g**

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ lack of political party support

Years: 1974

- |                                   |                                   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 – Most limiting                 | 5 – 5 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 2 – Next most limiting            | 6 – 6 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 3 – 3 <sup>rd</sup> most limiting | 7 – 7 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 4 – 4 <sup>th</sup> most limiting | 8 – 8 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |

1974

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ lack of political party support

**b\_7h**

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ lack of interest group support

Years: 1974

- |                                   |                                   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 – Most limiting                 | 5 – 5 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 2 – Next most limiting            | 6 – 6 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 3 – 3 <sup>rd</sup> most limiting | 7 – 7 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |
| 4 – 4 <sup>th</sup> most limiting | 8 – 8 <sup>th</sup> most limiting |

1974

What do you judge to be the most important limits or constraints on the growth and expansion of your agency? Rank as many of the following as possible (1=most limiting, 2=next most limiting, etc.) \_\_\_\_ lack of interest group support

**b\_8a**

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other states. Insofar as your own program(s) or function(s) are concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 1. \_\_\_\_

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1998

Note: This question was asked on the survey in 2004 but data could not be located.

- |        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 – AL | 2 – AK | 3 – AZ | 4 – AR | 5 – CA |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|

6 – CO	15 – IA	24 – MS	33 – NC	42 – TN
7 – CT	16 – KS	25 – MO	34 – ND	43 – TX
8 – DE	17 – KY	26 – MT	35 – OH	44 – UT
9 – FL	18 – LA	27 – NE	36 – OK	45 – VT
10 – GA	19 – ME	28 – NV	37 – OR	46 – VA
11 – HI	20 – MD	29 – NH	38 – PA	47 – WA
12 – ID	21 – MA	30 – NJ	39 – RI	48 – WV
13 – IL	22 – MI	31 – NM	40 – SC	49 – WI
14 – IN	23 – MN	32 – NY	41 – SD	50 – WY

#### 1998

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other states. Insofar as your own program(s) or function(s) are concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 1. \_\_\_\_\_

#### 1984

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field 1. \_\_\_\_\_

#### 1978

State administrators are usually aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 1. \_\_\_\_\_

#### 1974

State administrators are often aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to: (Please rank according to your best judgments.) Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 1. \_\_\_\_\_

#### **b\_8b**

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other states. Insofar as your own program(s) or function(s) are concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 2. \_\_\_\_\_

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1998

1 – AL	6 – CO	11 – HI	16 – KS	21 – MA
2 – AK	7 – CT	12 – ID	17 – KY	22 – MI
3 – AZ	8 – DE	13 – IL	18 – LA	23 – MN
4 – AR	9 – FL	14 – IN	19 – ME	24 – MS
5 – CA	10 – GA	15 – IA	20 – MD	25 – MO



26 – MT	31 – NM	36 – OK	41 – SD	46 – VA
27 – NE	32 – NY	37 – OR	42 – TN	47 – WA
28 – NV	33 – NC	38 – PA	43 – TX	48 – WV
29 – NH	34 – ND	39 – RI	44 – UT	49 – WI
30 – NJ	35 – OH	40 – SC	45 – VT	50 – WY

#### 1998

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other states. Insofar as your own program(s) or function(s) are concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 2. \_\_\_\_\_

#### 1984

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 2. \_\_\_\_\_

#### 1978

State administrators are usually aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 2. \_\_\_\_\_

#### 1974

State administrators are often aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to: (Please rank according to your best judgments.) Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 2. \_\_\_\_\_

#### **b\_8c**

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other states. Insofar as your own program(s) or function(s) are concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 3. \_\_\_\_\_  
Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1998

1 – AL	9 – FL	17 – KY	25 – MO	33 – NC
2 – AK	10 – GA	18 – LA	26 – MT	34 – ND
3 – AZ	11 – HI	19 – ME	27 – NE	35 – OH
4 – AR	12 – ID	20 – MD	28 – NV	36 – OK
5 – CA	13 – IL	21 – MA	29 – NH	37 – OR
6 – CO	14 – IN	22 – MI	30 – NJ	38 – PA
7 – CT	15 – IA	23 – MN	31 – NM	39 – RI
8 – DE	16 – KS	24 – MS	32 – NY	40 – SC

41 – SD	43 – TX	45 – VT	47 – WA	49 – WI
42 – TN	44 – UT	46 – VA	48 – WV	50 – WY

1998

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other states. Insofar as your own program(s) or function(s) are concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

1984

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field 3. \_\_\_\_\_

1978

State administrators are usually aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

1974

State administrators are often aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to: (Please rank according to your best judgments.) Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

**b\_8d**

State administrators are often aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to: (Please rank according to your best judgments.) Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 4. \_\_\_\_\_

Years: 1974

1 – AL	11 – HI	21 – MA	31 – NM	41 – SD
2 – AK	12 – ID	22 – MI	32 – NY	42 – TN
3 – AZ	13 – IL	23 – MN	33 – NC	43 – TX
4 – AR	14 – IN	24 – MS	34 – ND	44 – UT
5 – CA	15 – IA	25 – MO	35 – OH	45 – VT
6 – CO	16 – KS	26 – MT	36 – OK	46 – VA
7 – CT	17 – KY	27 – NE	37 – OR	47 – WA
8 – DE	18 – LA	28 – NV	38 – PA	48 – WV
9 – FL	19 – ME	29 – NH	39 – RI	49 – WI
10 – GA	20 – MD	30 – NJ	40 – SC	50 – WY

1974

State administrators are often aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to: (Please rank according to your best judgments.) Which States have the most OUTSTANDING program(s) in your field? 4. \_\_\_\_\_

**b\_9a**

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other states. Insofar as your own program(s) or function(s) are concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance?

1. \_\_\_\_\_

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1998

1 – AL	11 – HI	21 – MA	31 – NM	41 – SD
2 – AK	12 – ID	22 – MI	32 – NY	42 – TN
3 – AZ	13 – IL	23 – MN	33 – NC	43 – TX
4 – AR	14 – IN	24 – MS	34 – ND	44 – UT
5 – CA	15 – IA	25 – MO	35 – OH	45 – VT
6 – CO	16 – KS	26 – MT	36 – OK	46 – VA
7 – CT	17 – KY	27 – NE	37 – OR	47 – WA
8 – DE	18 – LA	28 – NV	38 – PA	48 – WV
9 – FL	19 – ME	29 – NH	39 – RI	49 – WI
10 – GA	20 – MD	30 – NJ	40 – SC	50 – WY

1998

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other states. Insofar as your own program(s) or function(s) are concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance?

1. \_\_\_\_\_

1984

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance?

1. \_\_\_\_\_

1978

State administrators are usually aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance? 1. \_\_\_\_\_

1974

State administrators are often aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to: (Please rank according to your best judgments.) Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance? 1. \_\_\_\_\_

**b\_9b**

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other states. Insofar as your own program(s) or function(s) are concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance?

2. \_\_\_\_\_

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1998

1 – AL	11 – HI	21 – MA	31 – NM	41 – SD
2 – AK	12 – ID	22 – MI	32 – NY	42 – TN
3 – AZ	13 – IL	23 – MN	33 – NC	43 – TX
4 – AR	14 – IN	24 – MS	34 – ND	44 – UT
5 – CA	15 – IA	25 – MO	35 – OH	45 – VT
6 – CO	16 – KS	26 – MT	36 – OK	46 – VA
7 – CT	17 – KY	27 – NE	37 – OR	47 – WA
8 – DE	18 – LA	28 – NV	38 – PA	48 – WV
9 – FL	19 – ME	29 – NH	39 – RI	49 – WI
10 – GA	20 – MD	30 – NJ	40 – SC	50 – WY

1998

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other states. Insofar as your own program(s) or function(s) are concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance?

2. \_\_\_\_\_

1984

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance?

2. \_\_\_\_\_

1978

State administrators are usually aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance? 2. \_\_\_\_\_

1974

State administrators are often aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to: (Please rank according to your best judgments.) Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance? 2. \_\_\_\_\_

**b\_9c**

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other states. Insofar as your own program(s) or function(s) are concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1998

1 – AL	11 – HI	21 – MA	31 – NM	41 – SD
2 – AK	12 – ID	22 – MI	32 – NY	42 – TN
3 – AZ	13 – IL	23 – MN	33 – NC	43 – TX
4 – AR	14 – IN	24 – MS	34 – ND	44 – UT
5 – CA	15 – IA	25 – MO	35 – OH	45 – VT
6 – CO	16 – KS	26 – MT	36 – OK	46 – VA
7 – CT	17 – KY	27 – NE	37 – OR	47 – WA
8 – DE	18 – LA	28 – NV	38 – PA	48 – WV
9 – FL	19 – ME	29 – NH	39 – RI	49 – WI
10 – GA	20 – MD	30 – NJ	40 – SC	50 – WY

1998

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other states. Insofar as your own program(s) or function(s) are concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

1984

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

1978

State administrators are usually aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

1974

State administrators are often aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to: (Please rank according to your best judgments.) Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

**b\_9d**

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other states. Insofar as your own program(s) or function(s) are concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance?

4. \_\_\_\_\_

Years: 1974

1 – AL	11 – HI	21 – MA	31 – NM	41 – SD
2 – AK	12 – ID	22 – MI	32 – NY	42 – TN
3 – AZ	13 – IL	23 – MN	33 – NC	43 – TX
4 – AR	14 – IN	24 – MS	34 – ND	44 – UT
5 – CA	15 – IA	25 – MO	35 – OH	45 – VT
6 – CO	16 – KS	26 – MT	36 – OK	46 – VA
7 – CT	17 – KY	27 – NE	37 – OR	47 – WA
8 – DE	18 – LA	28 – NV	38 – PA	48 – WV
9 – FL	19 – ME	29 – NH	39 – RI	49 – WI
10 – GA	20 – MD	30 – NJ	40 – SC	50 – WY

1974

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other states. Insofar as your own program(s) or function(s) are concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States are ones you COMPARE with in assessing your program progress or performance?

4. \_\_\_\_\_

**b\_10a**

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials do you contact most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL related to your program(s)? 1. \_\_\_\_\_

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

1 – AL	8 – DE	15 – IA	22 – MI	29 – NH
2 – AK	9 – FL	16 – KS	23 – MN	30 – NJ
3 – AZ	10 – GA	17 – KY	24 – MS	31 – NM
4 – AR	11 – HI	18 – LA	25 – MO	32 – NY
5 – CA	12 – ID	19 – ME	26 – MT	33 – NC
6 – CO	13 – IL	20 – MD	27 – NE	34 – ND
7 – CT	14 – IN	21 – MA	28 – NV	35 – OH

36 – OK	39 – RI	42 – TN	45 – VT	48 – WV
37 – OR	40 – SC	43 – TX	46 – VA	49 – WI
38 – PA	41 – SD	44 – UT	47 – WA	50 – WY

1984

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials do you contact most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL related to your program(s)? 2. \_\_\_\_

1978

State administrators are usually aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials are ones you contact most for ADVICE and COUNSEL related to your program(s)? 2. \_\_\_\_\_

1974

State administrators are often aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to: (Please rank according to your best judgments.) Which States' officials are ones from whom you seek ADVICE and COUNSEL related to your program(s)? 2. \_\_\_\_\_

#### **b\_10b**

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials do you contact most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL related to your program(s)? 2. \_\_\_\_\_

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

1 – AL	11 – HI	21 – MA	31 – NM	41 – SD
2 – AK	12 – ID	22 – MI	32 – NY	42 – TN
3 – AZ	13 – IL	23 – MN	33 – NC	43 – TX
4 – AR	14 – IN	24 – MS	34 – ND	44 – UT
5 – CA	15 – IA	25 – MO	35 – OH	45 – VT
6 – CO	16 – KS	26 – MT	36 – OK	46 – VA
7 – CT	17 – KY	27 – NE	37 – OR	47 – WA
8 – DE	18 – LA	28 – NV	38 – PA	48 – WV
9 – FL	19 – ME	29 – NH	39 – RI	49 – WI
10 – GA	20 – MD	30 – NJ	40 – SC	50 – WY

1984

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify

and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials do you contact most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL related to your program(s)? 3. \_\_\_\_

1978

State administrators are usually aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials are ones you contact most for ADVICE and COUNSEL related to your program(s)? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

1974

State administrators are often aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to: (Please rank according to your best judgments.) Which States' officials are ones from whom you seek ADVICE and COUNSEL related to your program(s)? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

**b\_10c**

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials do you contact most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL related to your program(s)? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

1 – AL	11 – HI	21 – MA	31 – NM	41 – SD
2 – AK	12 – ID	22 – MI	32 – NY	42 – TN
3 – AZ	13 – IL	23 – MN	33 – NC	43 – TX
4 – AR	14 – IN	24 – MS	34 – ND	44 – UT
5 – CA	15 – IA	25 – MO	35 – OH	45 – VT
6 – CO	16 – KS	26 – MT	36 – OK	46 – VA
7 – CT	17 – KY	27 – NE	37 – OR	47 – WA
8 – DE	18 – LA	28 – NV	38 – PA	48 – WV
9 – FL	19 – ME	29 – NH	39 – RI	49 – WI
10 – GA	20 – MD	30 – NJ	40 – SC	50 – WY

1984

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials do you contact most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL related to your program(s)? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

1978

State administrators are usually aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other



States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials are ones you contact most for ADVICE and COUNSEL related to your program(s)? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

1974

State administrators are often aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to: (Please rank according to your best judgments.) Which States' officials are ones from whom you seek ADVICE and COUNSEL related to your program(s)? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

---

**b\_10d**

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials do you contact most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL related to your program(s)? 4. \_\_\_\_\_  
Year: 1974

1 – AL	7 – CT	13 – IL	19 – ME	25 – MO
2 – AK	8 – DE	14 – IN	20 – MD	26 – MT
3 – AZ	9 – FL	15 – IA	21 – MA	27 – NE
4 – AR	10 – GA	16 – KS	22 – MI	28 – NV
5 – CA	11 – HI	17 – KY	23 – MN	29 – NH
6 – CO	12 – ID	18 – LA	24 – MS	30 – NJ
31 – NM	35 – OH	39 – RI	43 – TX	47 – WA
32 – NY	36 – OK	40 – SC	44 – UT	48 – WV
33 – NC	37 – OR	41 – SD	45 – VT	49 – WI
34 – ND	38 – PA	42 – TN	46 – VA	50 – WY

1974

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials do you contact most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL related to your program(s)? 4. \_\_\_\_\_

---

**b\_11a**

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials contact you most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL on their programs? 1. \_\_\_\_\_  
Years: 1978, 1984

1 – AL	3 – AZ	5 – CA	7 – CT	9 – FL
2 – AK	4 – AR	6 – CO	8 – DE	10 – GA

11 – HI	19 – ME	27 – NE	35 – OH	43 – TX
12 – ID	20 – MD	28 – NV	36 – OK	44 – UT
13 – IL	21 – MA	29 – NH	37 – OR	45 – VT
14 – IN	22 – MI	30 – NJ	38 – PA	46 – VA
15 – IA	23 – MN	31 – NM	39 – RI	47 – WA
16 – KS	24 – MS	32 – NY	40 – SC	48 – WV
17 – KY	25 – MO	33 – NC	41 – SD	49 – WI
18 – LA	26 – MT	34 – ND	42 – TN	50 – WY

1984

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials contact you most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL on their programs? 1.

\_\_\_\_\_

1978

State administrators are usually aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials contact you most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL on their programs? 1. \_\_\_\_\_

### **b\_11b**

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials contact you most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL on their programs?

2. \_\_\_\_\_

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – AL	11 – HI	21 – MA	31 – NM	41 – SD
2 – AK	12 – ID	22 – MI	32 – NY	42 – TN
3 – AZ	13 – IL	23 – MN	33 – NC	43 – TX
4 – AR	14 – IN	24 – MS	34 – ND	44 – UT
5 – CA	15 – IA	25 – MO	35 – OH	45 – VT
6 – CO	16 – KS	26 – MT	36 – OK	46 – VA
7 – CT	17 – KY	27 – NE	37 – OR	47 – WA
8 – DE	18 – LA	28 – NV	38 – PA	48 – WV
9 – FL	19 – ME	29 – NH	39 – RI	49 – WI
10 – GA	20 – MD	30 – NJ	40 – SC	50 – WY

1984

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which

States' officials contact you most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL on their programs?

2. \_\_\_\_\_

1978

State administrators are usually aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials contact you most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL on their programs? 2. \_\_\_\_\_

### **b\_11c**

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials contact you most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL on their programs?

3. \_\_\_\_\_

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – AL	11 – HI	21 – MA	31 – NM	41 – SD
2 – AK	12 – ID	22 – MI	32 – NY	42 – TN
3 – AZ	13 – IL	23 – MN	33 – NC	43 – TX
4 – AR	14 – IN	24 – MS	34 – ND	44 – UT
5 – CA	15 – IA	25 – MO	35 – OH	45 – VT
6 – CO	16 – KS	26 – MT	36 – OK	46 – VA
7 – CT	17 – KY	27 – NE	37 – OR	47 – WA
8 – DE	18 – LA	28 – NV	38 – PA	48 – WV
9 – FL	19 – ME	29 – NH	39 – RI	49 – WI
10 – GA	20 – MD	30 – NJ	40 – SC	50 – WY

1984

State administrators are usually aware of program developments and achievements in their field in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials contact you most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL on their programs?

3. \_\_\_\_\_

1978

State administrators are usually aware of program developments in their fields in other States. Insofar as your own field or function is concerned, would you please identify and rank other States (by name or abbreviation) according to your best judgment on: Which States' officials contact you most often for ADVICE and COUNSEL on their programs? 3. \_\_\_\_\_

## **Agency Budgets and Fiscal Austerity**

*Agency budget methods, procedures, response to changes, cause of changes*

### **c\_1a**

---

In respondent's budget request to the governor, did the respondent request an increase, decrease, or no change from previous year? If increase or decrease, percent change.

Years: 1984, 1998, 2004, 2008

- |                                  |                             |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0 – No change from previous year | 7 – Increase (1-2%)         |
| 1 – Decrease (11% or more)       | 8 – Increase (3-4%)         |
| 2 – Decrease (9-10%)             | 9 – Increase (5-6%)         |
| 3 – Decrease (7-8%)              | 10 – Increase (7-8%)        |
| 4 – Decrease (5-6%)              | 11 – Increase (9-10%)       |
| 5 – Decrease (3-4%)              | 12 – Increase (11% or more) |
| 6 – Decrease (1-2%)              |                             |

#### 2008

In your initial request to the governor (or relevant budget authority) did you propose a:

\_\_\_\_\_ Decrease?; \_\_\_\_\_ Increase?; \_\_\_\_\_ No change from previous year?

If an Increase or Decrease, what percentage change did you propose from the previous year?

\_\_\_ 1-2%; \_\_\_ 3-4%; \_\_\_ 5-6%; \_\_\_ 7-8%; \_\_\_ 9-10%; \_\_\_ 11% or more

#### 2004

In your initial request to the governor (or relevant budget authority) did you propose a:

\_\_\_\_\_ Decrease?; \_\_\_\_\_ Increase?; \_\_\_\_\_ No change from previous year?

If an Increase or Decrease, what percentage change did you propose from the previous year?

\_\_\_ %

#### 1998

In your initial request to the governor (or relevant budget authority) did you propose a:

\_\_\_\_\_ Decrease?; \_\_\_\_\_ Increase?; \_\_\_\_\_ No change from previous year?

If an Increase or Decrease, what percentage change did you propose from the previous year?

\_\_\_ 1-2%; \_\_\_ 3-4%; \_\_\_ 5-6%; \_\_\_ 7-8%; \_\_\_ 9-10%; \_\_\_ 11% or more

#### 1984

Did the budget request to the legislature for the current fiscal year (1984-85) request represent an increase over the previous year's actual appropriation? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

IF YES, please indicate the percentage increase. \_\_\_ %

#### c\_2a

Was the governor's (or relevant budget authority) budget recommendation to the legislature for the agency increase, decrease, or not change from previous year? If increase or decrease, percent change.

Years: 1998, 2004, 2008

- |                                  |                     |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 0 – No change from previous year | 5 – Decrease (3-4%) |
| 1 – Decrease (11% or more)       | 6 – Decrease (1-2%) |
| 2 – Decrease (9-10%)             | 7 – Increase (1-2%) |
| 3 – Decrease (7-8%)              | 8 – Increase (3-4%) |
| 4 – Decrease (5-6%)              | 9 – Increase (5-6%) |

10 – Increase (7-8%)  
11 – Increase (9-10%)

12 – Increase (11% or more)

2008

What did the governor (or relevant budget authority) recommend to the legislature?

\_\_\_\_\_ Decrease?; \_\_\_\_\_ Increase?; \_\_\_\_\_ No change from previous year?

If an Increase or Decrease, what percentage change did you propose from the previous year?

\_\_\_ 1-2%; \_\_\_ 3-4%; \_\_\_ 5-6%; \_\_\_ 7-8%; \_\_\_ 9-10%; \_\_\_ 11% or more

2004

What did the governor (or relevant budget authority) recommend to the legislature?

\_\_\_\_\_ Decrease?; \_\_\_\_\_ Increase?; \_\_\_\_\_ No change from previous year?

If an Increase or Decrease, what percentage change did you propose from the previous year?

\_\_\_ %

1998

What did the governor (or relevant budget authority) recommend to the legislature?

\_\_\_\_\_ Decrease?; \_\_\_\_\_ Increase?; \_\_\_\_\_ No change from previous year?

If an Increase or Decrease, what percentage change did you propose from the previous year?

\_\_\_ 1-2%; \_\_\_ 3-4%; \_\_\_ 5-6%; \_\_\_ 7-8%; \_\_\_ 9-10%; \_\_\_ 11% or more

c 3a

---

Did the legislature appropriate a decrease, increase, or unchanged budget from previous year for the respondent's agency? If an increase or decrease, percent change.

Years: 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No change from previous year  
1 – Decrease (11% or more)  
2 – Decrease (9-10%)  
3 – Decrease (7-8%)  
4 – Decrease (5-6%)  
5 – Decrease (3-4%)  
6 – Decrease (1-2%)

7 – Increase (1-2%)  
8 – Increase (3-4%)  
9 – Increase (5-6%)  
10 – Increase (7-8%)  
11 – Increase (9-10%)  
12 – Increase (11% or more)

2008

What did the legislature appropriate for the current year's budget?

\_\_\_\_\_ Decrease?; \_\_\_\_\_ Increase?; \_\_\_\_\_ No change from previous year?

If an Increase or Decrease, what percentage change did you propose from the previous year?

\_\_\_ 1-2%; \_\_\_ 3-4%; \_\_\_ 5-6%; \_\_\_ 7-8%; \_\_\_ 9-10%; \_\_\_ 11% or more

2004

What did the legislature appropriate for the current year's budget?

\_\_\_\_\_ Decrease?; \_\_\_\_\_ Increase?; \_\_\_\_\_ No change from previous year?

If an Increase or Decrease, what percentage change did you propose from the previous year?

\_\_\_ %

1998

What did the legislature appropriate for the current year's budget?

\_\_\_\_\_ Decrease?; \_\_\_\_\_ Increase?; \_\_\_\_\_ No change from previous year?

If an Increase or Decrease, what percentage change did you propose from the previous year?

\_\_\_ 1-2%; \_\_\_ 3-4%; \_\_\_ 5-6%; \_\_\_ 7-8%; \_\_\_ 9-10%; \_\_\_ 11% or more

**c\_4a**

---

For the next year, did or will the respondent request an increase, decrease, or no change in the agency's budget. If an increase or decrease, percent change.

Years: 1998, 2004

0 – No change from previous year

1 – Decrease (11% or more)

2 – Decrease (9-10%)

3 – Decrease (7-8%)

4 – Decrease (5-6%)

5 – Decrease (3-4%)

6 – Decrease (1-2%)

7 – Increase (1-2%)

8 – Increase (3-4%)

9 – Increase (5-6%)

10 – Increase (7-8%)

11 – Increase (9-10%)

12 – Increase (11% or more)

2004

Considering next year's budget, responded will propose (or has proposed) a:

\_\_\_\_\_ Decrease, \_\_\_\_\_ Increase, or \_\_\_\_\_ No change from previous year?

If an Increase or Decrease, what percentage change did you propose from the previous year (or have you requested)? \_\_\_\_\_%

1998

Considering next year's budget, responded will propose (or has proposed) a:

\_\_\_\_\_ Decrease, \_\_\_\_\_ Increase, or \_\_\_\_\_ No change from previous year?

If an Increase or Decrease, what percentage change did you propose from the previous year (or have you requested)?

\_\_\_ 1-2%; \_\_\_ 3-4%; \_\_\_ 5-6%; \_\_\_ 7-8%; \_\_\_ 9-10%; \_\_\_ 11% or more

**c\_5a**

---

Does the agency and/or the agency's state use performance-based budgeting?

Years: 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2 – Don't Know

2008

Some American states employ performance-related features in their budget processes. Does your state use some features of performance-based budgeting? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No; \_\_\_ Don't know

**c\_5b**

---

If the state use performance-based budgeting, are they used in connection with the agency's own budget process?

Years: 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

IF YES [to c\_5a]: Are they used in connection with our own agency's budget processes?

\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

**c\_5c**

---

If the state uses performance-based budgeting, is it used in preparing the agency request?

Years: 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: Variable is binary pertaining to one response option.

2008

IF YES [to c\_5a]: Are performance features used at any (or all) of the following budget stages?

\_\_\_ preparing agency request? \_\_\_ governor/central budget office? \_\_\_ legislature?

**c\_5d**

---

If the state uses performance-based budgeting, is it used by the governor/central budget office?

Years=2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: Variable is binary pertaining to one response option.

2008

IF YES [to c\_5a]: Are performance features used at any (or all) of the following budget stages?

\_\_\_ preparing agency request? \_\_\_ governor/central budget office? \_\_\_ legislature?

**c\_5e**

---

If the state uses performance-based budgeting, is it used by the legislature?

Years=2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: Variable is binary pertaining to one response option.

2008

IF YES [to c\_5a]: Are performance features used at any (or all) of the following budget stages?  
\_\_\_preparing agency request? \_\_\_ governor/central budget office? \_\_\_ legislature?

**c\_6a**

---

Degree of influence of inflation on agency budget request increase.

Years=1984

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know

1984

To what extent were the following factors influential in justifying the requested increase?

(1) inflation

**c\_7a**

---

Degree of influence of initiating new programs on agency budget request increase

Years: 1984

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know

1984

To what extent were the following factors influential in justifying the requested increase?

(2) initiating new programs

**c\_8a**

---

Degree of influence of expanding existing programs on agency budget request increase

Years: 1984

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know

1984

To what extent were the following factors influential in justifying the requested increase?

(3) expanding existing programs



**c\_9a**

---

Degree of influence of salary increases on agency budget request increase

Years: 1984

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know

1984

To what extent were the following factors influential in justifying the requested increase?

(4) salary increases

**c\_10a**

---

Degree of influence of matching federal aid on agency budget request increase

Years: 1984

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know

1984

To what extent were the following factors influential in justifying the requested increase?

(5) matching federal aid

**c\_11a**

---

Degree of influence of clientele demand on agency budget request increase

Years: 1984

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know

1984

To what extent were the following factors influential in justifying the requested increase?

(6) clientele demand

**c\_12a**

---

Degree of influence of court decisions on agency budget request increase

Years: 1984

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know

1984

To what extent were the following factors influential in justifying the requested increase?  
(7) court decisions

**c\_13a**

---

Approximate percentage of cutback(s) experienced by agency 3 fiscal years ago. i.e. for 2004 survey, the 2001-2002 fiscal year; for the 1984 survey, the 1981-1982 fiscal year.  
Years: 1984, 2004

- 0 – No reduction
- 1 – Some reduction

Note: All percent reduction responses collapsed into "Some reduction"

2004

Balancing budgets in times of fiscal stress and austerity presents major challenges. To what degree has your agency experienced any actual reductions in expenditures at any time during the past three years? Please indicate the approximate percentage cutback(s) experienced in each of the past three years.

\_\_\_\_ % Reduction, \_\_\_\_ Some Reduction (Extent unknown), \_\_\_\_ No Reduction

1984

To what degree has your agency experienced any actual reductions in finances and expenditures at any time during the past four years? Please indicate the approximate percentage cutback(s) experienced in each of the past four years.

\_\_\_\_ % Reduction, \_\_\_\_ Some Reduction (Extent unknown), \_\_\_\_ No Reduction

**c\_14a**

---

Approximate percentage of cutback(s) experienced by agency 2 fiscal years ago. i.e. for 2004 survey, the 2002-2003 fiscal year; for the 1984 survey, the 1982-1983 fiscal year.  
Years: 1984, 2004

- 0 – No reduction
- 1 – Some reduction

Note: All percent reduction responses collapsed into "Some reduction"

2004

Balancing budgets in times of fiscal stress and austerity presents major challenges. To what degree has your agency experienced any actual reductions in expenditures at any time during the

past three years? Please indicate the approximate percentage cutback(s) experienced in each of the past three years.

\_\_\_\_\_ % Reduction, \_\_\_\_\_ Some Reduction (Extent unknown), \_\_\_\_\_ No Reduction

#### 1984

To what degree has your agency experienced any actual reductions in finances and expenditures at any time during the past four years? Please indicate the approximate percentage cutback(s) experienced in each of the past four years.

\_\_\_\_\_ % Reduction, \_\_\_\_\_ Some Reduction (Extent unknown), \_\_\_\_\_ No Reduction

#### **c\_15a**

---

Approximate percentage of cutback(s) experienced by agency in the previous fiscal year. i.e. for 2004 survey, the 2003-2004 fiscal year; for the 1984 survey, the 1983-1984 fiscal year.

Years: 1984, 2004

0 – No reduction

1 – Some reduction

Note: All percent reduction responses collapsed into “Some reduction”

#### 2004

Balancing budgets in times of fiscal stress and austerity presents major challenges. To what degree has your agency experienced any actual reductions in expenditures at any time during the past three years? Please indicate the approximate percentage cutback(s) experienced in each of the past three years.

\_\_\_\_\_ % Reduction, \_\_\_\_\_ Some Reduction (Extent unknown), \_\_\_\_\_ No Reduction

#### 1984

To what degree has your agency experienced any actual reductions in finances and expenditures at any time during the past four years? Please indicate the approximate percentage cutback(s) experienced in each of the past four years.

\_\_\_\_\_ % Reduction, \_\_\_\_\_ Some Reduction (Extent unknown), \_\_\_\_\_ No Reduction

#### **c\_16a**

---

Approximate percentage of cutback(s) experienced by agency 4 fiscal years ago. i.e. for the 1984 survey, the 1980-1981 fiscal year.

Years: 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: All percent reduction responses collapsed into “Some reduction”

#### 1984

To what degree has your agency experienced any actual reductions in finances and expenditures at any time during the past four years? Please indicate the approximate percentage cutback(s) experienced in each of the past four years.

\_\_\_\_\_ % Reduction, \_\_\_\_\_ Some Reduction (Extent unknown), \_\_\_\_\_ No Reduction

**c\_17a**

---

Approximate proportion of the number of shifts or changes in programs and priorities that occurred due to financial cutbacks (actual reductions in outlays, not merely cuts in budget requests)

Years: 1984

- 1 – Under 25%
- 2 – 25-49%
- 3 – 50-74%
- 4 – 75% or more

1984

Approximately what proportion of the number of shifts or changes in programs and priorities occurred because of financial cutbacks; that is, actual reductions in outlays, not merely cuts in budget requests?

**c\_18a**

---

The degree of importance of national economic forces on cuts experienced, if any.

Years: 1984

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know

1984

If cuts were experienced, please indicate (by a circle) the degree of importance any of the following factors contributed to the reductions. (1) national economic forces

**c\_19a**

---

The degree of importance of state economic forces on cuts experienced, if any.

Years: 1984

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know

1984

If cuts were experienced, please indicate (by a circle) the degree of importance any of the following factors contributed to the reductions. (2) state economic forces

**c\_20a**

---

The degree of importance of state political factors on cuts experienced, if any.

Years: 1984

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know

1984

If cuts were experienced, please indicate (by a circle) the degree of importance any of the following factors contributed to the reductions. (3) state political factors

**c\_21a**

---

The degree of importance of state fiscal factors (tax expenditure limits) on cuts experienced, if any.

Years: 1984

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know

1984

If cuts were experienced, please indicate (by a circle) the degree of importance any of the following factors contributed to the reductions. (4) state fiscal factors (tax expenditure limits)

**c\_22a**

---

The degree of importance of federal aid reductions on cuts experienced, if any.

Years: 1984

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know

1984

If cuts were experienced, please indicate (by a circle) the degree of importance any of the following factors contributed to the reductions. (5) federal aid reductions

**c\_23a**

---

*Not in data set*

**c\_24a**

---

The relative importance in terms of dollars saved of across-the-board cuts for coping with fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions in the past three years.

Years: 1984, 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know
- 5 – Not Used

2004

Fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions have been met by state agencies using a variety of coping strategies. Among the strategies listed below, please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in terms of dollar saved of each strategy used by your agency at any time in the past three years.

- (a) Across-the-board cuts

1984

Fiscal austerity and actual expenditure reductions have been met by governmental agencies using a variety of fiscal coping strategies. Listed below are several of these strategies. Please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in dollar savings of each strategy used by your agency.

- (a) Across-the-board cuts

**c\_25a**

---

The relative importance in terms of dollars saved of cutting least efficient subunits for coping with fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions in the past three years.

Years: 1984, 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know
- 5 – Not Used

2004

Fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions have been met by state agencies using a variety of coping strategies. Among the strategies listed below, please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in terms of dollar saved of each strategy used by your agency at any time in the past three years.

- (b) Cut least efficient subunits

1984

Fiscal austerity and actual expenditure reductions have been met by governmental agencies using a variety of fiscal coping strategies. Listed below are several of these strategies. Please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in dollar savings of each strategy used by your agency.

(b) Cut least efficient subunits

**c 26a**

---

The relative importance in terms of dollars saved of hiring freeze(s) for coping with fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions in the past three years.

Years: 1984, 2004

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

4 – Don't Know

5 – Not Used

2004

Fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions have been met by state agencies using a variety of coping strategies. Among the strategies listed below, please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in terms of dollar saved of each strategy used by your agency at any time in the past three years.

(c) Hiring freeze

1984

Fiscal austerity and actual expenditure reductions have been met by governmental agencies using a variety of fiscal coping strategies. Listed below are several of these strategies. Please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in dollar savings of each strategy used by your agency.

(c) Hiring freeze

**c 27a**

---

The relative importance in terms of dollars saved of personnel layoffs for coping with fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions in the past three years.

Years: 1984, 2004

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

4 – Don't Know

5 – Not Used

2004

Fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions have been met by state agencies using a variety of coping strategies. Among the strategies listed below, please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in terms of dollar saved of each strategy used by your agency at any time in the past three years.

(d) Personnel layoffs

1984

Fiscal austerity and actual expenditure reductions have been met by governmental agencies using a variety of fiscal coping strategies. Listed below are several of these strategies. Please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in dollar savings of each strategy used by your agency.

(d) Personnel layoffs

**c\_28a**

---

The relative importance in terms of dollars saved of freezing salaries/wages for coping with fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions in the past three years.

Years: 1984, 2004

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

4 – Don't Know

5 – Not Used

2004

Fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions have been met by state agencies using a variety of coping strategies. Among the strategies listed below, please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in terms of dollar saved of each strategy used by your agency at any time in the past three years.

(e) Freeze salaries/wages

1984

Fiscal austerity and actual expenditure reductions have been met by governmental agencies using a variety of fiscal coping strategies. Listed below are several of these strategies. Please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in dollar savings of each strategy used by your agency.

(e) Freeze salaries/wages

**c\_29a**

---

The relative importance in terms of dollars saved of reducing salaries/wages for coping with fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions in the past three years.

Years: 1984, 2004

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate



- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know
- 5 – Not Used

2004

Fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions have been met by state agencies using a variety of coping strategies. Among the strategies listed below, please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in terms of dollar saved of each strategy used by your agency at any time in the past three years.

(f) Reduce salaries/wages

1984

Fiscal austerity and actual expenditure reductions have been met by governmental agencies using a variety of fiscal coping strategies. Listed below are several of these strategies. Please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in dollar savings of each strategy used by your agency.

(f) Reduce salaries/wages

**c\_30a**

---

The relative importance in terms of dollars saved of contracting out (private firms) for coping with fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions in the past three years.

Years: 1984, 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know
- 5 – Not Used

2004

Fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions have been met by state agencies using a variety of coping strategies. Among the strategies listed below, please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in terms of dollar saved of each strategy used by your agency at any time in the past three years.

(g) Contract out – private firms

1984

Fiscal austerity and actual expenditure reductions have been met by governmental agencies using a variety of fiscal coping strategies. Listed below are several of these strategies. Please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in dollar savings of each strategy used by your agency.

(g) Contract out – private firms

**c\_31a**

---

The relative importance in terms of dollars saved of contracting out (local governments) for coping with fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions in the past three years.

Years: 1984, 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know
- 5 – Not Used

#### 2004

Fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions have been met by state agencies using a variety of coping strategies. Among the strategies listed below, please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in terms of dollar saved of each strategy used by your agency at any time in the past three years.

- (h) Contract out – local governments

#### 1984

Fiscal austerity and actual expenditure reductions have been met by governmental agencies using a variety of fiscal coping strategies. Listed below are several of these strategies. Please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in dollar savings of each strategy used by your agency.

- (h) Contract out – local governments

#### c\_32a

---

The relative importance in terms of dollars saved of contracting out (nonprofit firms) for coping with fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions in the past three years.

Years: 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know
- 5 – Not Used

#### 2004

Fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions have been met by state agencies using a variety of coping strategies. Among the strategies listed below, please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in terms of dollar saved of each strategy used by your agency at any time in the past three years.

- (i) Contract out – nonprofit firms

#### c\_33a

---

The relative importance in terms of dollars saved of shifting programs/ functions to local governments for coping with fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions in the past three years.

Years: 1984, 2004

- 0 – None

- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know
- 5 – Not Used

#### 2004

Fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions have been met by state agencies using a variety of coping strategies. Among the strategies listed below, please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in terms of dollar saved of each strategy used by your agency at any time in the past three years.

- (j) Shift programs/functions to local governments

#### 1984

Fiscal austerity and actual expenditure reductions have been met by governmental agencies using a variety of fiscal coping strategies. Listed below are several of these strategies. Please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in dollar savings of each strategy used by your agency.

- (i) Shift programs/functions to local governments

#### c\_34a

---

The relative importance in terms of dollars saved of improving productivity for coping with fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions in the past three years.

Years: 1984, 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know
- 5 – Not Used

#### 2004

Fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions have been met by state agencies using a variety of coping strategies. Among the strategies listed below, please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in terms of dollar saved of each strategy used by your agency at any time in the past three years.

- (k) Improve productivity

#### 1984

Fiscal austerity and actual expenditure reductions have been met by governmental agencies using a variety of fiscal coping strategies. Listed below are several of these strategies. Please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in dollar savings of each strategy used by your agency.

- (j) Improve productivity

#### c\_35a

---

The relative importance in terms of dollars saved of eliminating programs for coping with fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions in the past three years.

Years: 1984, 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know
- 5 – Not Used

2004

Fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions have been met by state agencies using a variety of coping strategies. Among the strategies listed below, please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in terms of dollar saved of each strategy used by your agency at any time in the past three years.

- (l) Eliminate programs

1984

Fiscal austerity and actual expenditure reductions have been met by governmental agencies using a variety of fiscal coping strategies. Listed below are several of these strategies. Please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in dollar savings of each strategy used by your agency.

- (k) Eliminate programs

c\_36a

The relative importance in terms of dollars saved of cutting capital outlays for coping with fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions in the past three years.

Years: 1984, 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know
- 5 – Not Used

2004

Fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions have been met by state agencies using a variety of coping strategies. Among the strategies listed below, please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in terms of dollar saved of each strategy used by your agency at any time in the past three years.

- (m) Cut capital outlays

1984

Fiscal austerity and actual expenditure reductions have been met by governmental agencies using a variety of fiscal coping strategies. Listed below are several of these strategies. Please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in dollar savings of each strategy used by your agency.

(l) Cut capital outlays

**c\_37a**

---

The relative importance in terms of dollars saved of cutting maintenance outlays for coping with fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions in the past three years.

Years: 1984, 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Don't Know
- 5 – Not Used

2004

Fiscal austerity and expenditure reductions have been met by state agencies using a variety of coping strategies. Among the strategies listed below, please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in terms of dollar saved of each strategy used by your agency at any time in the past three years.

(n) Cut maintenance outlays

1984

Fiscal austerity and actual expenditure reductions have been met by governmental agencies using a variety of fiscal coping strategies. Listed below are several of these strategies. Please indicate (by circling) the relative importance in dollar savings of each strategy used by your agency.

(m) Cut maintenance outlays

**c\_38a**

---

Agency has a unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the agency's ongoing programs.

(Yes/No)

Years: 1978, 1984

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

1984

Does your agency have a unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the ongoing programs of your agency?

1978

Does your agency have a unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the ongoing programs of your agency?

**c\_39a**

---

Size of unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the ongoing programs of the agency, if applicable.

Years: 1978, 1984

Continuous

1984

Does your agency have a unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the ongoing programs of your agency? IF YES [to c\_38a]: 7a. How large is the unit? \_\_\_\_ (number of persons)

1978

Does your agency have a unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the ongoing programs of your agency? IF YES [to c\_38a]: 6a. How large is the unit? \_\_\_\_ (number of persons)

**c\_40a**

---

Year unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating agency programs was established, if applicable.

Years: 1978, 1984

Continuous

1984

Does your agency have a unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the ongoing programs of your agency? IF YES [to c\_38a]: When was the unit established? \_\_\_\_ (year)

1978

Does your agency have a unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the ongoing programs of your agency? IF YES [to c\_38a]: When was the unit established? \_\_\_\_ (year)

**c\_41a**

---

Does the head of the unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating agency's programs report to the respondent? (Yes/No)

Years: 1978, 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

1984

Does your agency have a unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the ongoing programs of your agency? IF YES [to c\_38a]: Does the unit head report directly to you?

1978

Does your agency have a unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the ongoing programs of your agency? IF YES [to c\_38a]: Does the unit head report directly to you?

**c\_42a**

---

Given its resources, how does respondent rate the performance of the unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the agency's programs?

Years: 1978, 1984

- 1 – Poor
- 2 – Fair
- 3 – Good
- 4 – Excellent

1984

Does your agency have a unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the ongoing programs of your agency? IF YES [to c\_38a]: Given its resources, how do you rate the unit's performance?

1978

Does your agency have a unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the ongoing programs of your agency? IF YES [to c\_38a]: Given its resources, how do you rate the unit's performance?

**c\_43a**

---

Should the unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the agency's programs have more resources? (Yes/No)

Years: 1978, 1984

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

1984

Does your agency have a unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the ongoing programs of your agency? IF YES [to c\_38a]: Should the unit have more resources?

1978

Does your agency have a unit whose primary responsibility is evaluating the ongoing programs of your agency? IF YES [to c\_38a]: Should the unit have more resources?

## **Agency Relationships**

*Influences on the agency, oversight, policymaking*

**d\_1a**

---

Does the respondent feel that the governor or legislature exercises greater control and oversight over the agency?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 1 – Governor
- 2 – Legislature

3 – Each the same

2008

Generally speaking, do you find that the governor or the legislature exercises greater control and oversight over your agency?

2004

Generally speaking, do you find that the governor or the legislature exercises greater control and oversight over your agency?

1998

Generally speaking, do you find that the governor or the legislature exercises greater control and oversight over your agency?

1994

Generally speaking, do you find that the governor or the legislature exercises greater control and oversight over your agency?

1988

Generally speaking, do you find that the governor or the legislature exercises greater control and oversight over your agency?

1984

Generally speaking, do you find that the governor or the legislature exercises greater control and oversight over your agency?

1978

Generally speaking, do you find that the governor or the legislature exercises greater control and oversight over your agency?

1974

Generally speaking, do you find that the governor or the legislature exercises the greater control and oversight over your agency?

1968

Generally speaking, do you find that the governor or the legislature exercises the greater control and oversight over your department?

1964

Generally speaking, do you find that the governor or the legislature exercises the greater control and oversight over your department?

**d\_2a**

---

If respondent could choose who would exercise the greater control and oversight of the agency, would they choose the governor, legislature, or an independent commission?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008



- 1 – Governor
- 2 – Legislature
- 3 – Independent Board of Commission

2008

Suppose your State's governmental structure were reorganized and you were able to select who would exercise the greater control and oversight over your agency. Which one of the following would you choose?

2004

Suppose your State's governmental structure were reorganized and you were able to select who would exercise the greater control and oversight over your agency. Which one of the following would you choose?

1998

Suppose your State's governmental structure were reorganized and you were able to select who would exercise the greater control and oversight over your agency. Which one of the following would you choose?

1994

Suppose your State's governmental structure were reorganized and you were able to select who would exercise the greater control and oversight over your agency. Which one of the following would you choose?

1988

Suppose your State's governmental structure were reorganized and you were able to select who would exercise the greater control and oversight over your agency. Which one of the following would you choose?

1984

Suppose your State's governmental structure were reorganized and you were able to select who would exercise the greater control and oversight over your agency. Which one of the following would you choose?

1978

Suppose your State's governmental structure were reorganized and you were able to select who would exercise the greater control and oversight over your agency. Which one of the following would you choose?

1974

Suppose your State's governmental structure were reorganized and you were able to select who would exercise the greater control and oversight over your agency. Which one of the following would you choose?

1968

If your State's governmental structure were reorganized and you were able to select who would exercise the greater control and oversight over your agency. Which one of the following would you choose?

1964

If your State's governmental structure were reorganized and you were able to select who would exercise the greater control and oversight over your agency. Which one of the following would you choose?

**d\_3a**

---

Does the respondent feel that the governor or legislature exercises greater control and oversight over the agency budget requests?

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 1 – Governor
- 2 – Legislature
- 3 – Each the same

2008

Who exercises the more detailed review of your agency's budget requests?

2004

Who exercises the more detailed review of your agency's budget requests?

1998

Who exercises the more detailed review of your agency's budget requests?

1994

Who exercises the more detailed review of your agency's budget requests?

1988

Who exercises the more detailed review of your agency's budget requests?

1984

Who exercises the more detailed review of your agency's budget requests?

1978

Who exercises the more detailed review of your agency's budget requests?

1974

Who exercises the more detailed review of your budget requests?

**d\_4a**

---

Who has the greater tendency to reduce the agency's budget requests?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 1 – Governor
- 2 – Legislature
- 3 – Each the same

2008

Who has the greater tendency to reduce your budget requests?

2004

Who has the greater tendency to reduce your budget requests?

1998

Who has the greater tendency to reduce your budget requests?

1994

Who has the greater tendency to reduce your budget requests?

1988

Who has the greater tendency to reduce your budget requests?

1984

Who has the greater tendency to reduce your budget requests?

1974

Who has the greater tendency to reduce your budget requests?

1968

Who has the greater tendency to reduce your budget requests?

1964

Who has the greater tendency to reduce your budget requests?

**d 5a**

---

The degree of influence the Governor has on decisions the agency makes regarding total agency budget level.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2018

In making various agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's total budget level? (a.) Governor

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (1) Governor**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (1) governor**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (1) governor**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (1) governor**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (1) governor**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (1) governor**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (1) governor**

d\_6a

---

The degree of influence of legislators on decisions the agency makes regarding total agency budget level.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2018

In making various agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's total budget level? (b.) Legislators

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (2) Legislators**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (2) Legislators**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (2) Legislators**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (2) Legislators**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (2) Legislators**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (2) Legislators**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (2) Legislators**

**d\_7a**

---

The degree of influence of clientele groups on decisions the agency makes regarding total agency budget level.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (3) Clientele Groups**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (4) Clientele groups**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (4) Clientele groups**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (4) Clientele groups**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (3) Clientele groups**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (3) Clientele groups**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (3) Clientele groups**

**d\_7b**

---

The degree of influence of public interest groups on decisions the agency makes regarding total agency budget level.

Years: 2018

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2018

In making various agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's total budget level? (e.) Public interest groups

**d\_7c**

---

The degree of influence of citizens or the public at large on decisions the agency makes regarding total agency budget level.

Years: 2018

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2018

In making various agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's total budget level? (f.) Citizens or the public at large

**d\_7d**

---

The degree of influence of business interests on decisions the agency makes regarding total agency budget level.

Years: 2018

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

### 2018

In making various agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's total budget level? (d.) Business interests

#### **d 8a**

---

The degree of influence of professional associations on decisions the agency makes regarding total agency budget level.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

### 2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (4) Professional Associations**

### 2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (5) Professional associations**

### 1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (5) Professional associations**

### 1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (5) Professional associations**



1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (4) Professional associations**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (4) Professional associations**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (4) Professional associations**

**d\_9a**

---

The degree of influence of agency career officials on decisions the agency makes regarding total agency budget level.

Years: 2004, 2008

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (5) Agency career officials**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (6) Agency career officials**

**d\_10a**

---

The degree of influence of the governor on decisions the agency makes regarding budgets for specific programs.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's budgets for specific programs? (a.) Governor

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (1) Governor**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (1) Governor**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (1) Governor**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (1) Governor**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (1) Governor**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (1) Governor**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (1) Governor**

**d 11a**

---

The degree of influence of legislators on decisions the agency makes regarding budgets for specific programs.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's budgets for specific programs? (b.) Legislators

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (2) Legislators**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (2) Legislators**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (2) Legislators**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (2) Legislators**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (2) Legislators**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (2) Legislators**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (2) Legislators**

**d\_12a**

---

The degree of influence of clientele groups on decisions the agency makes regarding budgets for specific programs.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (3) Clientele groups**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (4) Clientele groups**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (4) Clientele groups**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (4) Clientele groups**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (3) Clientele groups**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (3) Clientele groups**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (3) Clientele groups**

#### **d\_12b**

---

The degree of influence of public interest groups on decisions the agency makes regarding budgets for specific programs.

Years: 2018

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's budgets for specific programs? (e.) Public interest groups

#### **d\_12c**

---

The degree of influence of citizens or the public at large on decisions the agency makes regarding budgets for specific programs.

Years: 2018

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's budgets for specific programs? (f.) Citizens or the public at large

**d\_12d**

---

The degree of influence of business interests on decisions the agency makes regarding budgets for specific programs.

Years: 2018

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's budgets for specific programs? (d.) Business interests

**d\_13a**

---

The degree of influence of professional associations on decisions the agency makes regarding budgets for specific programs.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (4) Professional associations**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (5) Professional associations**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (5) Professional associations**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (5) Professional associations**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (4) Professional associations**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (4) Professional associations**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (4) Professional associations**

**d\_14a**

---

The degree of influence of agency career officials on decisions the agency makes regarding budgets for specific programs.

Years: 2004, 2008

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (5) Agency career officials**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (6) Agency career officials**

**d\_15a**

The degree of influence of the governor on decisions the agency makes regarding major policy changes.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's major policy changes?

(a.) Governor

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (1) Governor**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (1) Governor**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (1) Governor**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (1) Governor**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (1) Governor**

1984



In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (1) Governor**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (1) Governor**

**d\_16a**

---

The degree of influence of legislators on decisions the agency makes regarding major policy changes.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's major policy changes?  
(b.) Legislators

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (2) Legislators**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (2) Legislators**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (2) Legislators**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (2) Legislators**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (2) Legislators**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (2) Legislators**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (2) Legislators**

**d\_17a**

---

The degree of influence of clientele groups on decisions the agency makes regarding major policy changes.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (3) Clientele groups**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (4) Clientele groups**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (4) Clientele groups**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (4) Clientele groups**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (3) Clientele groups**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (3) Clientele groups**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (3) Clientele groups**

#### **d\_17b**

---

The degree of influence of public interest groups on decisions the agency makes regarding major policy changes.

Years: 2018

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's major policy changes?  
(e.) Public interest groups

#### **d\_17c**

---

The degree of influence of citizens or the public at large on decisions the agency makes regarding major policy changes.

Years: 2018

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's major policy changes?  
(f.) Citizens or the public at large

**d\_17d**

---

The degree of influence of business interests on decisions the agency makes regarding major policy changes.

Years: 2018

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's major policy changes?  
(d.) Business interests

**d\_18a**

---

The degree of influence of professional associations on decisions the agency makes regarding major policy changes.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (4) Professional associations**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (4) Professional associations**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (5) Professional associations**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (5) Professional associations**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (4) Professional associations**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (4) Professional associations**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (4) Professional associations**

**d\_19a**

---

The degree of influence of agency career officials on decisions the agency makes regarding major policy changes.

Years: 2004, 2008

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (5) Agency career officials**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (6) Agency career officials**

d\_20a

The degree of influence of the governor on decisions the agency makes regarding agency rules/regulations.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's rules? By "rules", we mean any rules or regulations that are generally applicable and are legally binding on the public.

(a.) Governor

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (1) Governor**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (1) Governor**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (1) Governor**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (1) Governor**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (1) Governor**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (1) Governor**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (1) Governor**

**d 21a**

---

The degree of influence of legislators on decisions the agency makes regarding agency rules/regulations.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's rules? By "rules", we mean any rules or regulations that are generally applicable and are legally binding on the public.  
(b.) Legislators

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (2) Legislators**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (2) Legislators**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (2) Legislators**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (2) Legislators**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (2) Legislators**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (2) Legislators**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (2) Legislators**

**d\_22a**

---

The degree of influence of clientele groups on decisions the agency makes regarding agency rules/regulations.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (3) Clientele groups**

2004



In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (4) Clientele groups**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (4) Clientele groups**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (4) Clientele groups**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (3) Clientele groups**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (3) Clientele groups**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (3) Clientele groups**

**d 22b**

---

The degree of influence of public interest groups on decisions the agency makes regarding agency rules/regulations.

Years: 2018

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's rules? By "rules", we mean any rules or regulations that are generally applicable and are legally binding on the public.  
(e.) Public interest groups

**d\_22c**

---

The degree of influence of citizens or the public at large on decisions the agency makes regarding agency rules/regulations.

Years: 2018

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's rules? By "rules", we mean any rules or regulations that are generally applicable and are legally binding on the public.  
(f.) Citizens or the public at large

**d\_22d**

---

The degree of influence of business interests on decisions the agency makes regarding agency rules/regulations.

Years: 2018

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's rules? By "rules", we mean any rules or regulations that are generally applicable and are legally binding on the public.  
(d.) Business interests

**d\_23a**

---

The degree of influence of professional associations on decisions the agency makes regarding agency rules/regulations.

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (4) Professional associations**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (5) Professional associations**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (5) Professional associations**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (5) Professional associations**

1988

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (4) Professional associations**

1984

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (4) Professional associations**

1978

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (4) Professional associations**

**d 24a**

---

The degree of influence of agency career officials on decisions the agency makes regarding agency rules/regulations.

Years: 2004, 2008

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2008

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (5) Agency career officials**

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (6) Agency career officials**

d\_25a

---

The degree of influence of state courts on decisions the agency makes regarding total agency budget level.

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2018

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2018

In making various agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's total budget level? (c.) State courts

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (3) state courts**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (3) state courts**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Total agency budget level, (3) state courts**

**d\_26a**

---

The degree of influence of state courts on decisions the agency makes regarding budgets for specific programs.

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2018

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's budgets for specific programs? (c.) State courts

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (3) state courts**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (3) state courts**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Budgets for specific programs, (3) state courts**

**d\_27a**

---

The degree of influence of state courts on decisions the agency makes regarding major policy changes.

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2018

0 – None

1 – Slight

- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's major policy changes?  
(c.) State courts

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (3) state courts**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (3) state courts**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Major policy changes, (3) state courts**

d 28a

---

The degree of influence of state courts on decisions the agency makes regarding agency rules/regulations.

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2018

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

2018

How much influence does each of these sources have over your agency's rules? By "rules", we mean any rules or regulations that are generally applicable and are legally binding on the public.  
(c.) State courts

2004

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (3) state courts**

1998

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (3) state courts**

1994

In making agency decisions it is usually possible to identify and weigh several major sources of influence. Please indicate below (by circling) the degree of influence each has on decisions your agency makes in the following decision areas.

**Agency rules/regulations, (3) state courts**

**d\_29a**

---

Influence of the governor (personally) on budgeting for specific programs

Years: 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Very High

2004

Please make similar assessments for different gubernatorial and legislative actors that interact with you and your agency in ...

(a) budgeting for specific programs. Please indicate (circle) the degree of influence actually exerted over these two agency activities by relevant actors: **Governor (personally)**

(N=None, S=Slight, M=Moderate, H=High, and VH= Very High).

**d\_30a**

---

Influence of the governor's staff on budgeting for specific programs

Years: 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Very High

2004

Please make similar assessments for different gubernatorial and legislative actors that interact with you and your agency in ...

(a) budgeting for specific programs. Please indicate (circle) the degree of influence actually exerted over these two agency activities by relevant actors: **Governor's staff**

(N=None, S=Slight, M=Moderate, H=High, and VH= Very High).

**d\_31a**

---

Influence of legislative chamber leaders on budgeting for specific programs

Years: 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Very High

2004

Please make similar assessments for different gubernatorial and legislative actors that interact with you and your agency in ...

(a) budgeting for specific programs. Please indicate (circle) the degree of influence actually exerted over these two agency activities by relevant actors: **Legislative chamber leaders** (N=None, S=Slight, M=Moderate, H=High, and VH= Very High).

**d\_32a**

---

Influence of legislative staff units on budgeting for specific programs

Years: 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Very High

2004

Please make similar assessments for different gubernatorial and legislative actors that interact with you and your agency in ...

(a) budgeting for specific programs. Please indicate (circle) the degree of influence actually exerted over these two agency activities by relevant actors: **Legislative staff units** (N=None, S=Slight, M=Moderate, H=High, and VH= Very High).

**d\_33a**

---

Influence of relevant legislative committees on budgeting for specific programs

Years: 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Very High

2004



Please make similar assessments for different gubernatorial and legislative actors that interact with you and your agency in ...

(a) budgeting for specific programs. Please indicate (circle) the degree of influence actually exerted over these two agency activities by relevant actors: **Legislative committees** (N=None, S=Slight, M=Moderate, H=High, and VH= Very High).

#### **d\_34a**

---

Influence of individual rank-and-file legislators on budgeting for specific programs

Years: 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Very High

#### 2004

Please make similar assessments for different gubernatorial and legislative actors that interact with you and your agency in ...

(a) budgeting for specific programs. Please indicate (circle) the degree of influence actually exerted over these two agency activities by relevant actors: **Rank-and-file legislators** (N=None, S=Slight, M=Moderate, H=High, and VH= Very High).

#### **d\_35a**

---

Influence of the governor (personally) on creating agency rules and regulations.

Years: 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Very High

#### 2004

Please make similar assessments for different gubernatorial and legislative actors that interact with you and your agency in ...

(b) creating agency rules and regulations. Please indicate (circle) the degree of influence actually exerted over these two agency activities by relevant actors: **Governor (personally)** (N=None, S=Slight, M=Moderate, H=High, and VH= Very High).

#### **d\_36a**

---

Influence of the governor's staff on creating agency rules and regulations.

Years: 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight

- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Very High

2004

Please make similar assessments for different gubernatorial and legislative actors that interact with you and your agency in ...

(b) creating agency rules and regulations. Please indicate (circle) the degree of influence actually exerted over these two agency activities by relevant actors: **Governor's staff**  
(N=None, S=Slight, M=Moderate, H=High, and VH= Very High).

d\_37a

---

Influence of legislative chamber leaders on creating agency rules and regulations.  
Years: 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Very High

2004

Please make similar assessments for different gubernatorial and legislative actors that interact with you and your agency in ...

(b) creating agency rules and regulations. Please indicate (circle) the degree of influence actually exerted over these two agency activities by relevant actors: **Legislative chamber leaders**  
(N=None, S=Slight, M=Moderate, H=High, and VH= Very High).

d\_38a

---

Influence of legislative staff on creating agency rules and regulations.  
Years: 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Very High

2004

Please make similar assessments for different gubernatorial and legislative actors that interact with you and your agency in ...

(b) creating agency rules and regulations. Please indicate (circle) the degree of influence actually exerted over these two agency activities by relevant actors: **Legislative staff**  
(N=None, S=Slight, M=Moderate, H=High, and VH= Very High).

d\_39a

---

Influence of relevant legislative committees on creating agency rules and regulations.  
Years: 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Very High

2004

Please make similar assessments for different gubernatorial and legislative actors that interact with you and your agency in ...

(b) creating agency rules and regulations. Please indicate (circle) the degree of influence actually exerted over these two agency activities by relevant actors: **Legislative committees**  
(N=None, S=Slight, M=Moderate, H=High, and VH= Very High).

**d\_40a**

---

Influence of individual rank-and-file legislators on creating agency rules and regulations.  
Years: 2004

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Very High

2004

Please make similar assessments for different gubernatorial and legislative actors that interact with you and your agency in ...

(b) creating agency rules and regulations. Please indicate (circle) the degree of influence actually exerted over these two agency activities by relevant actors: **Rank-and-file legislators**  
(N=None, S=Slight, M=Moderate, H=High, and VH= Very High).

**d\_41a**

---

How influential is the governor in making important decisions affecting the agency?  
Years: 1974

- 0 – No influence
- 1 – Slightly influential
- 2 – Moderately influential
- 3 – Highly influential

1974

How influential is the governor in making important decisions affecting your agency?  
\_\_\_no influence \_\_\_slightly influential \_\_\_moderately influential \_\_\_highly influential

**d\_42a**

---

How influential are legislators in making important decisions affecting the agency?

Years: 1974

- 0 – No influence
- 1 – Slightly influential
- 2 – Moderately influential
- 3 – Highly influential

1974

How influential are legislators in making important decisions affecting your agency?

\_\_\_no influence \_\_\_slightly influential \_\_\_moderately influential \_\_\_highly influential

**d\_43a**

---

How influential are clientele groups in making important decisions affecting the agency?

Years: 1974

- 0 – No influence
- 1 – Slightly influential
- 2 – Moderately influential
- 3 – Highly influential

1974

How influential clientele groups in making important decisions affecting your agency?

**d\_44a**

---

In the past four years, has respondent's agency been affected by a governor's executive order?

Years: 2008

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes
- 2 – Don't know

2008

Over the past four years has your agency been affected by a governor's executive order(s)

**d\_44b**

---

If agency affected by EO(s), did the EO affect the respondent's agency only, or the respondent's agency and other agencies?

Years: 2008

- 1 – Respondent's agency only
- 2 – Respondent's agency and other agencies

2008

IF YES [to d\_44a]: Did the executive order(s) affect:

\_\_\_\_your agency only? \_\_\_\_your agency and other agencies?

**d\_45a**

---

The number of EOs that affected the agency.

Years: 2008

1 – 1

2 – 2

3 – 3

4 – 4

5 – More than 4

Note: If a respondent wrote in a response greater than 4, it was collapsed into the “More than 4” category in this variable. However, variable d\_45b provides a specific count if the respondent wrote in a response greater than 4.

2008

Please indicate the number of executive order(s) that affected your agency:

**d\_45b**

---

The number of EOs that affected the agency. If more than 4, how many?

Years: 2008

Continuous

2008

If so [more than 4 EOs] how many?

**d\_46a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low impact) to 5 (high impact), the impact of EOs on the agency.

Years: 2008

1 – 1

2 – 2

3 – 3

4 – 4

5 – 5

2008

On a scale of 1 (low impact) to 5 (high impact), please rate the impact of executive orders on your agency.

**d\_47a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low impact) to 5 (high impact), the extent of the agency's involvement in developing the EOs.

Years: 2008

- 1 – 1
- 2 – 2
- 3 – 3
- 4 – 4
- 5 – 5

2008

On a similar scale indicate the extent of your agency's involvement in developing the executive order(s).

**d\_48a**

---

Over the past four years, has the respondent's agency influenced the decision-making of the governor? If so, to what degree from 1 (low) to 5 (high).

Years: 2008

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes: 1 (low)
- 2 – Yes: 2
- 3 – Yes: 3
- 4 – Yes: 4
- 5 – Yes: 5 (high)

2008

Your agency poses important policy-relevant information and expertise that may influence decisions made by other major policy actors, e.g. the governor and legislature. Over the past four years has your agency influence the decision-making of any of the following?

If yes, please indicate, on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high), the degree of influence.

Governor? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No, Degree of Influence 1 2 3 4 5

**d\_49a**

---

Is the governor or legislature more sympathetic to and supportive of the purposes and aims of the respondent's agency? (Governor/ Legislature)

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 1 – Governor
- 2 – Legislature
- 3 – Each the same

2008

Who do you find more sympathetic to and supportive of the purposes and aims of your agency?

2004

Who do you find more sympathetic to and supportive of the purposes and aims of your agency?

1998

Who do you find more sympathetic to and supportive of the purposes and aims of your agency?

1994

Who do you find more sympathetic to and supportive of the purposes and aims of your agency?

1988

Who do you find more sympathetic to and supportive of the purposes and aims of your agency?

1984

Who do you find more sympathetic to and supportive of the purposes and aims of your agency?

1978

Who do you find more sympathetic to and supportive of the purposes and aims of your agency?

1974

Who do you find more sympathetic to and supportive of the purposes and aims of your agency?

1968

Who is the more sympathetic to the aims and purposes of your agency?

1964

Who do you feel is the more sympathetic to the aims and purposes of your agency of department?

**d\_50a**

---

Percentage of introduced legislation affecting the agency that originates in the agency.

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2008

Continuous

2008

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % your agency

1998

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % your agency

1994

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % your agency

1988

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % your agency

1984

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % your agency

1978

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % your agency

1974

About what proportion of the draft legislation in the legislature relating to your agency originates or is initiated from within your agency?

**d\_51a**

---

Percentage of introduced legislation affecting the agency that originates in the legislature.  
Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2008

Continuous

2008

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % legislature

1998

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % legislature

1994

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % legislature

1988

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % legislature

1984

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % legislature

1978

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % legislature

**d\_52a**

---

Percentage of introduced legislation affecting the agency that originates in the governor's office.  
Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2008



Continuous

2008

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % governor's office

1998

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % governor's office

1994

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % governor's office

1988

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_% governor's office

1984

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_% governor's office

1978

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature: what proportion introduced in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_% governor's office

**d\_53a**

---

Percentage of passed or enacted legislation affecting the agency that originates in the agency.  
Years: 1984, 1994, 1998, 2008

Continuous

2008

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion passed or enacted in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % your agency

1998

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion passed or enacted in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % your agency

1994

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion passed or enacted in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % your agency

1984

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion passed or enacted in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % your agency

**d\_54a**

---

Percentage of passed or enacted legislation affecting the agency that originates in the legislature.  
Years: 1984, 1994, 1998, 2008

Continuous

**2008**

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion passed or enacted in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % legislature

**1998**

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion passed or enacted in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % legislature

**1994**

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion passed or enacted in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % legislature

**1984**

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion passed or enacted in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % legislature

**d\_55a**

---

Percentage of passed or enacted legislation affecting the agency that originates in the governor's office.  
Years: 1984, 1994, 1998, 2008

Continuous

**2008**

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion passed or enacted in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % governor's office

**1998**

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion passed or enacted in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % governor's office

**1994**

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion passed or enacted in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % governor's office

**1984**

Of all the legislation affecting your agency that is considered by the legislature in the past four years: what proportion passed or enacted in the legislature originates in: \_\_\_\_ % governor's office

**d\_56a**

---

Percentage of passed or enacted legislation affecting the agency that originates in with interest groups.

Years: 1994

Continuous

1994

To the extent that (a) interest groups and (b) National officials are sources of ideas for legislation affecting your agency, about what proportion of passed/enacted legislation in the past four years originated with: Interest groups? \_\_\_\_ %

**d\_57a**

---

Percentage of passed or enacted legislation affecting the agency that originates in with national officials.

Years: 1994

Continuous

1994

To the extent that (a) interest groups and (b) National officials are sources of ideas for legislation affecting your agency, about what proportion of passed/enacted legislation in the past four years originated with: National officials? \_\_\_\_ %

**d\_58a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence the governor actually exerts.

Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

1 – Little/Low/No influence

2 – Slightly influential

3 – Moderately influential

4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Governor.**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Governor.**

1984

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Governor.**

**d\_59a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence legislators actually exert.

Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

1 – Little/Low/No influence

2 – Slightly influential

3 – Moderately influential

4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Legislators.**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Legislators.**

1984

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Legislators.**

**d\_60a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence Federal Courts actually exert.

Years: 2004

1 – Little/Low/No influence

2 – Slightly influential

3 – Moderately influential

4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Federal Courts.**

#### **d\_61a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence State Courts actually exert.

Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

1 – Little/Low/No influence

2 – Slightly influential

3 – Moderately influential

4 – Highly influential

#### **2004**

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, State Courts.**

#### **1994**

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, State Courts.**

#### **1984**

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Courts.**

#### **d\_62a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence clientele groups actually exert.

Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

1 – Little/Low/No influence

2 – Slightly influential

3 – Moderately influential

4 – Highly influential

#### **2004**

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Clientele groups.**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Clientele groups.**

1984

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Clientele groups.**

---

**d\_63a**

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence professional associations actually exert.

Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

1 – Little/Low/No influence

2 – Slightly influential

3 – Moderately influential

4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Professional associations.**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Professional associations.**

1984

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Professional associations.**

---

**d\_64a**

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence citizens-at-large actually exert.

Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

1 – Little/Low/No influence

- 2 – Slightly influential
- 3 – Moderately influential
- 4 – Highly influential

#### 2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Citizens-at-large.**

#### 1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Citizens-at-large.**

#### 1984

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Citizens-at-large.**

#### d\_65a

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence the news/media actually exert.  
Years: 1994, 2004

- 1 – Little/Low/No influence
- 2 – Slightly influential
- 3 – Moderately influential
- 4 – Highly influential

#### 2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, State news media.**

#### 1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, State news media.**

#### d\_66a

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence agency employees actually exert.

Years: 1994, 2004

- 1 – Little/Low/No influence
- 2 – Slightly influential
- 3 – Moderately influential
- 4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Agency employees**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Agency Employees**

d\_67a

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence the governor should exert.

Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

- 1 – Little/Low/No influence
- 2 – Slightly influential
- 3 – Moderately influential
- 4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Governor.**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Governor.**

1984

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Governor.**



**d\_68a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence legislators should exert.

Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

- 1 – Little/Low/No influence
- 2 – Slightly influential
- 3 – Moderately influential
- 4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Legislators.**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Legislators.**

1984

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Legislators.**

**d\_69a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence Federal Courts should exert.

Years: 2004

- 1 – Little/Low/No influence
- 2 – Slightly influential
- 3 – Moderately influential
- 4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Federal Courts.**

**d\_70a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence Courts should exert.

Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

- 1 – Little/Low/No influence
- 2 – Slightly influential
- 3 – Moderately influential
- 4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, State Courts.**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, State Courts.**

1984

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Courts.**

d\_71a

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence clientele groups should exert.  
Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

- 1 – Little/Low/No influence
- 2 – Slightly influential
- 3 – Moderately influential
- 4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Clientele groups.**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Clientele groups.**

1984

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Clientele groups.**

**d\_72a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence professional associations should exert.

Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

- 1 – Little/Low/No influence
- 2 – Slightly influential
- 3 – Moderately influential
- 4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Professional associations.**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Professional associations.**

1984

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Professional associations.**

**d\_73a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence citizens-at-large should exert.

Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

- 1 – Little/Low/No influence
- 2 – Slightly influential
- 3 – Moderately influential
- 4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Citizens-at-large.**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Citizens-at-large.**

1984

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Citizens-at-large.**

**d\_74a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence the news/media should exert.  
Years: 1994, 2004

- 1 – Little/Low/No influence
- 2 – Slightly influential
- 3 – Moderately influential
- 4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, State news media.**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, State news media.**

**d\_75a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence agency employees should exert.  
Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

- 1 – Little/Low/No influence
- 2 – Slightly influential
- 3 – Moderately influential
- 4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Agency employees.**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Agency employees.**

1984

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence That Should Be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, Agency employees.**

**d\_76a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence the agency head actually exerts.  
Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

1 – Little/Low/No influence

2 – Slightly influential

3 – Moderately influential

4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, You, as agency head.**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, You, as agency head.**

1984

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Actually Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, You, as agency head.**

**d\_77a**

---

On a scale of 1 (low) to 4 (high), the level or degree of influence the agency head should exert.

Years: 1984, 1994, 2004

- 1 – Little/Low/No influence
- 2 – Slightly influential
- 3 – Moderately influential
- 4 – Highly influential

2004

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Should be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, You, as agency head.**

1994

On a scale of 1 to 4 (low to high) indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Should be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, You, as agency head.**

1984

On a scale of 1 to 4 indicate your estimate of the level or degree of influence each one actually exerts and also how much influence you think they should exert. (1=little/no influence; 2=slightly influential; 3= moderately influential; 4=highly influential).

**Influence Should be Exerted on Major Policy Decisions, You, as agency head.**

d\_78a

---

Over the past four years, has the respondent's agency influenced the decision-making of the legislature? If so, to what degree from 1 (low) to 5 (high)?

Years: 2008

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes: 1 (low)
- 2 – Yes: 2
- 3 – Yes: 3
- 4 – Yes: 4
- 5 – Yes: 5 (high)

2008

Your agency poses important policy-relevant information and expertise that may influence decisions made by other major policy actors, e.g. the governor and legislature.

Over the past four years has your agency influence the decision-making of any of the following?

If yes, please indicate, on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high), the degree of influence.

Legislature? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No; Degree of Influence 1 2 3 4 5

d\_79a

---

Over the past four years, has the respondent's agency influenced the decision-making of clientele groups? If so, to what degree from 1 (low) to 5 (high)?

Years: 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes: 1 (low)

2 – Yes: 2

3 – Yes: 3

4 – Yes: 4

5 – Yes: 5 (high)

2008

Your agency poses important policy-relevant information and expertise that may influence decisions made by other major policy actors, e.g. the governor and legislature.

Over the past four years has your agency influence the decision-making of any of the following?

If yes, please indicate, on a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high), the degree of influence.

Clientele groups? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No; Degree of Influence 1 2 3 4 5

**d\_80a**

---

Please recall the budget request(s) for your agency to the legislature in the immediate past fiscal year (1983-1984). Did that request represent an increase over the previous year's actual appropriation?

Years: 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

1984

Please recall the budget request(s) for your agency to the legislature in the immediate past fiscal year (1983-1984). Did that request represent an increase over the previous year's actual

appropriation? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

**d\_80b**

---

If yes [to d\_80a], what was the approximate percentage increase requested? \_\_\_\_%

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

If yes [to d\_80a], what was the approximate percentage increase requested? \_\_\_\_%

**d\_81a**

---

Generally speaking, how often is your agency able to act in ways that accomplish its own priorities even when politicians and interest groups may prefer that another action, or no action at all, be taken?

Years: 2018

- 0 – Never
- 1 – Rarely
- 2 – Sometimes
- 3 – Quite often
- 4 – Always

2018

Generally speaking, how often is your agency able to act in ways that accomplish its own priorities even when politicians and interest groups may prefer that another action, or no action at all, be taken? (Check frequency)

## **State Governmental & Intergovernmental Contacts**

*Frequency and nature of contacts with local, state, and federal offices*

e\_1a

---

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

### **Governor**

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

2018

On the average, how often do you personally have phone, email, or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2008

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2004

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1998

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1994



How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle frequency)

1988

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle the appropriate response)

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in the course of carrying out your official duties?

1974

How often do you have phone or face-to-face contacts with the following individuals in the course of carrying out your official duties?

e\_1b

---

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**Governor's Staff**

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

2018

On the average, how often do you personally have phone, email, or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2008

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2004

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1998

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1994

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle frequency)

1988

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle the appropriate response)

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in the course of carrying out your official duties?

e\_1c

---

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**Legislators**

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

2018

On the average, how often do you personally have phone, email, or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2008

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2004

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1998

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1994

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle frequency)

1988

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle the appropriate response)

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in the course of carrying out your official duties?

e\_1d

---

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**Legislative Staff**

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

1 – Daily

2 – Weekly

3 – Monthly

4 – Less than Monthly

5 – Never

2018

On the average, how often do you personally have phone, email, or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2008

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2004

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1998

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1994

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle frequency)

1988

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle the appropriate response)

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in the course of carrying out your official duties?

e\_1e

---

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**Personnel in other state agencies**

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

2018

On the average, how often do you personally have phone, email, or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2008

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2004

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (circle contact frequency)

1998

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1994

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle frequency)

1988

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle the appropriate response)

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in the course of carrying out your official duties?

e\_1f

---

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**Clientele Groups**

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

2008

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2004

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1998

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1994

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle frequency)

1988

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle the appropriate response)

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in the course of carrying out your official duties?

e\_1g

---

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**Citizens or the public at large**

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

1 – Daily

2 – Weekly

3 – Monthly

4 – Less than Monthly

5 – Never

2018

On the average, how often do you personally have phone, email, or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2008

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2004

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1998

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1994

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle frequency)

1988

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle the appropriate response)

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in the course of carrying out your official duties?

**e 1h**

---

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**Officials in other States**

Years: 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

1 – Daily

2 – Weekly

3 – Monthly

4 – Less than Monthly

5 – Never

2018

On the average, how often do you personally have phone, email, or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2008

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2004

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1998

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

**e\_1i**

---

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**Local Officials**

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

**2018**

On the average, how often do you personally have phone, email, or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**2008**

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**2004**

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

**1998**

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

**1994**

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle frequency)

**e\_1j**

---

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**National Officials**

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never



2018

On the average, how often do you personally have phone, email, or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2008

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

2004

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1998

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

1994

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in your state in the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle frequency)

e\_1k

---

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**Federal Liaison (D.C.)**

Years: 1998

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1998

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

e\_1l

---

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**Federal Liaison (State Capital)**

Years: 1998

- 1 – Daily

- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1998

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Circle contact frequency)

e\_1m

---

On the average, how often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**State Court Personnel**

Years: 1978, 2018

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

2018

On the average, how often do you personally have phone, email, or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? State courts (Check frequency)

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with the following individuals in the course of carrying out your official duties?

e\_1n

---

On the average, how often do you personally have phone, email, or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties?

**Business interests**

Years: 2018

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

2018

On the average, how often do you personally have phone, email, or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**e\_1o**

On the average, how often do you personally have phone, email, or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties?

**Public interest groups**

Years: 2018

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

**2018**

On the average, how often do you personally have phone, email, or face-to-face contacts with the following persons during the course of carrying out your official duties? (Check frequency)

**e\_2a**

For those you've had contact with... About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with the **Governor**?

Years: 1984, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 0 – 0%
- 1 – 20%
- 2 – 40%
- 3 – 60%
- 4 – 80%
- 5 – 100%

**2018**

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**2008**

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**2004**

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**1998**

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**1994**

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**1984**

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with the Governor?

**e 2b**

---

For those you've had contact with... About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with the **Governor's Staff**?

Years: 1984, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 0 – 0%
- 1 – 20%
- 2 – 40%
- 3 – 60%
- 4 – 80%
- 5 – 100%

2018

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

2008

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

2004

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

1998

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

1994

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with the Governor's Staff?

**e 2c**

---

For those you've had contact with... About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with **Legislators**?

Years: 1984, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 0 – 0%
- 1 – 20%
- 2 – 40%
- 3 – 60%
- 4 – 80%
- 5 – 100%

2018

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

2008

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

2004

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

1998

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

1994

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with the Legislator?

e 2d

---

For those you've had contact with... About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with **Legislative Staff?**

Years: 1984, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – 0%

1 – 20%

2 – 40%

3 – 60%

4 – 80%

5 – 100%

2018

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

2008

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

2004

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

1998

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

1994

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with the Legislator's Staff?

e\_2e

---

For those you've had contact with... About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with the **Personnel of other agencies**?

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with the personnel of other agencies?

e\_2f

---

For those you've had contact with... About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with the **Clientele (Persons Served)**?

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with the Clientele (Persons Served)?

e\_2g

---

For those you've had contact with... About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with the **Citizens -- public at large**?

Years: 1984, 2018

0 – 0%

1 – 20%

2 – 40%

3 – 60%

4 – 80%

5 – 100%

2018

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?  
Citizens or the public at large (Check frequency)

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with the Citizens -- public at large?

e\_2h

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with **Business Interests**?

Years: 2018

0 – 0%

- 1 – 20%
- 2 – 40%
- 3 – 60%
- 4 – 80%
- 5 – 100%

2018

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_2i**

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate with

**Public Interest Groups?**

Years: 2018

- 0 – 0%
- 1 – 20%
- 2 – 40%
- 3 – 60%
- 4 – 80%
- 5 – 100%

2018

In contacts with the following persons, about what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_3a**

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**Agency Heads (or staff) in Washington, DC**

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

1974

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**e\_3b**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**Regional Office Personnel**

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

1974

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**e\_3c**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**State/area office personnel**

Years: 1978, 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?



**e\_3d**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**Senators (or their staff)**

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

**1984**

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**1978**

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**1974**

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**e\_3e**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**Representatives (or staff)**

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

**1984**

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**1978**

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

1974

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**e\_3f**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**White House/OMB Staff**

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

1974

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**e\_3g**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**Federal Court Personnel**

Years: 1978

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with each type of National official listed below?

**e\_4a**

---

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**Agency Heads (or staff) in Washington, DC**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_4b**

---

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**Regional Office Personnel**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_4c**

---

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**State/area office personnel**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_4d**

---

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**Senators (or their staff)**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_4e**

---

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**Representatives (or staff)**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_4f**

---

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**White House/OMB Staff**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_5a**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials? **County Officials**

Years: 1968, 1978, 1984, 1988

1 – Daily or Weekly

2 – Several Times a Year

3 – A Few Times a Year

4 – Never

Note: responses “daily” and “weekly” were combined to create “daily or weekly,” responses “monthly” and “several times a year” were combined to create “several times a year,” responses less than monthly” and “a few times a year” were combined to create “a few times a year.”

1988

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials? (Circle the appropriate response.)

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contact with elected and appointed local officials?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contact with elected and appointed local officials?

1968

Does your agency or department administer programs which require direct contact with local officials, municipal, county, school, or other district? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No.

IF YES, please indicate the frequency of contacts with each of the following units: County

**e\_5b**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials? **City Officials**

Years: 1968, 1978, 1984, 1988

- 1 – Daily or Weekly
- 2 – Several Times a Year
- 3 – A Few Times a Year
- 4 – Never

Note: responses “daily” and weekly” were combined to create “daily or weekly,” responses “monthly” and “several times a year” were combined to create “several times a year,” responses less than monthly” and “a few times a year” were combined to create “a few times a year.”

1988

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials? (Circle the appropriate response.)

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contact with elected and appointed local officials?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contact with elected and appointed local officials?

1968

Does your agency or department administer programs which require direct contact with local officials, municipal, county, school, or other district? \_\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_\_ No.

IF YES, please indicate the frequency of contacts with each of the following units: Township & municipalities

e\_5c

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials? **School Officials**

Years: 1968, 1978, 1984, 1988

- 1 – Daily or Weekly
- 2 – Several Times a Year
- 3 – A Few Times a Year
- 4 – Never

Note: responses “daily” and weekly” were combined to create “daily or weekly,” responses “monthly” and “several times a year” were combined to create “several times a year,” responses less than monthly” and “a few times a year” were combined to create “a few times a year.”

1988

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials? (Circle the appropriate response.)

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contact with elected and appointed local officials?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contact with elected and appointed local officials?

1968

Does your agency or department administer programs which require direct contact with local officials, municipal, county, school, or other district? \_\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_\_ No.

IF YES, please indicate the frequency of contacts with each of the following units: School District

**e\_6a**

---

For those you've had contact with... About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**County Officials**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_6b**

---

For those you've had contact with... About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**City Officials**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_6c**

---

For those you've had contact with... About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**School Officials**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_7a**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials?

**Elected County Officials**

Years: 1974

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1974

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected and appointed officials of local government units?

**e\_7b**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials?

**Elected County Officials**

Years: 1974

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1974

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contact with elected and appointed officials of local government units?

**e\_7c**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials?

**Elected City/Municipal/Town Officials**

Years: 1974

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1974

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contact with elected and appointed officials of local government units?

**e\_7d**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials?

**Appointed City/Municipal/Town Officials**

Years: 1974

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1974

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contact with elected and appointed officials of local government units?

**e\_7e**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials?

**Elected School Officials**

Years: 1974

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1974

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contact with elected and appointed officials of local government units?

**e\_7f**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials?

**Appointed School Officials**

Years: 1974

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly



- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1974

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contact with elected and appointed officials of local government units?

e\_8a

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials?

**Other Special Districts**

Years: 1968

- 1 – A few times a year
- 2 – Several times a year
- 3 – Daily or weekly

1968

Does your agency or department administer programs which require direct contact with local officials, municipal, county, school, or other district? \_\_\_\_Yes; \_\_\_\_No.

IF YES, please indicate the frequency of contacts with each of the following units: Other special districts

e\_8b

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials?

**OEC or Technical Service Agencies**

Years: 1968

- 1 – A few times a year
- 2 – Several times a year
- 3 – Daily or weekly

1968

Does your agency or department administer programs which require direct contact with local officials, municipal, county, school, or other district? \_\_\_\_Yes; \_\_\_\_No.

IF YES, please indicate the frequency of contacts with each of the following units: OEC or Technical Service Agencies

e\_8c

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with elected or appointed local officials?

**Regional Organizations, e.g. Metropolitan association or councils**

Years: 1968

- 1 – A few times a year

- 2 – Several times a year
- 3 – Daily or weekly

1968

Does your agency or department administer programs which require direct contact with local officials, municipal, county, school, or other district? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No.

IF YES, please indicate the frequency of contacts with each of the following units: Regional Organizations, e.g. Metropolitan association or councils

**e\_9a**

---

Does your agency or department administer programs which require direct contact with local officials, municipal, county, school, or other district? Yes or No?

Years: 1968

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

1968

Does your agency or department administer programs which require direct contact with local officials, municipal, county, school, or other district?

**e\_10a**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with contacts in other states?

**Administrators of agencies like yours**

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with officials in other states?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with officials in other states?

1974

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with officials in other states?

**e\_10b**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with contacts in other states?

**Administrators of other types of agencies**

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with officials in other states?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with officials in other states?

1974

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with officials in other states?

**e\_10c**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with contacts in other states?

**Governor's Staff**

Years: 1978, 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1984

How often do you personally have phone or face to face contacts with officials in other states?

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with officials in other states?

**e\_10d**

---

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contact with contacts in other states?

**Legislators in other states**

Years: 1974

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1978

How often do you personally have phone or face-to-face contacts with officials in other states?

**e\_11a**

---

About what percentage of the contacts [from e\_10a] do you initiate?

**Administrators of agencies like yours**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_11b**

---

About what percentage of the contacts [from e\_10a] do you initiate?

**Administrators of other types of agencies**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_11c**

---

About what percentage of the contacts [from e\_10a] do you initiate?

**Governor's Staff**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_12a**

---

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental organizations?

**U.S. Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations**

Years: 1978, 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1984

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental organizations?

1978

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental agencies?

**e\_12b**

---

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental organizations?

**Council of State Governments**

Years: 1978, 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1984

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental organizations?

1978

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental agencies?

**e\_12c**

---

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental organizations?

**Interstate Compact Agencies or Commissions**

Years: 1978, 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1984

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental organizations?

1978

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental agencies?

**e\_12d**

---

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental organizations?

**Interstate Professional Associations**

Years: 1978, 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1984

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental organizations?

1978

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental agencies?

**e\_12e**

---

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental organizations?

**Interstate Regional Groups/Associations**

Years: 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1984

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental organizations?

**e\_12f**

---

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental organizations?

**Sub-state Regional Officials (COG Staff)**

Years: 1978, 1984

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly
- 5 – Never

1984

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental organizations?

1978

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental agencies?

**e\_12g**

---

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental organizations?

**Joint Federal-State regional organizations**

Years: 1978

- 1 – Daily
- 2 – Weekly
- 3 – Monthly
- 4 – Less than Monthly

5 – Never

1978

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental agencies?

**e\_12h**

---

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental organizations?

**Licensing/Standards-setting associations**

Years: 1978

1 – Daily

2 – Weekly

3 – Monthly

4 – Less than Monthly

5 – Never

1978

How often do you personally have contact with the following intergovernmental agencies?

**e\_13a**

---

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**U.S. Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_13b**

---

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**Council of State Governments**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_13c**

---

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**Interstate Compact Agencies or Commissions**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_13d**

---

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**Interstate Professional Associations**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_13e**

---

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**Interstate Regional Groups/Associations**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**e\_13f**

---

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

**Sub-state Regional Officials (COG Staff)**

Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

About what percentage of the contacts do you initiate?

## **Federal Aid Issues and State-National Relations**

*Federal aid awards and management, state and federal responsibilities*

**f\_1a**

---

Has Federal Aid led to national interference in affairs that are the appropriate domain of the state? (Yes/No)

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes



2008

Generally, has federal aid in your state: led to National interference in affairs that are the appropriate domain of your State? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

2004

Has Federal Aid led to National interference in affairs that are the appropriate domain of the state? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1998

Has Federal Aid led to National interference in affairs that are the appropriate domain of the state? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1994

Has Federal Aid led to National interference in affairs that are the appropriate domain of the state? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1988

Has Federal Aid led to National interference in affairs that are the appropriate domain of the state? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1984

Has Federal Aid led to National interference in affairs that are the appropriate domain of the state? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1978

Has Federal Aid led to National interference in affairs that are the appropriate domain of the state? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1974

Has Federal Aid led to National interference in affairs that are the appropriate domain of the state? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

**f 2a**

---

Has Federal aid tended to unbalance or skew the overall character of the State's programs?

(Yes/No)

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Generally, has federal aid in your state: tended to unbalance or skew the overall character of your State's programs? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

2004

Does Federal Aid tend to unbalance or skew the overall character of your State's program?  
\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1998

Does Federal Aid tend to unbalance or skew the overall character of your State's program?  
\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1994

Does Federal Aid tend to unbalance or skew the overall character of your State's program?  
\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1988

Does Federal Aid tend to unbalance or skew the overall character of your State's program?  
\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1984

Does Federal Aid tend to unbalance or skew the overall character of your State's program?  
\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1978

Does Federal Aid tend to unbalance or skew the overall character of your State's program?  
\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1974

Does Federal Aid tend to unbalance or skew the overall character of your State's program?  
\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

**f\_3a**

---

Generally, has Federal aid in the respondent's state tended to increase or decrease the overall level of funds raised by the State?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – Had no effect

1 – Decreased

2 – Increased

2008

Generally, has federal aid in your state: tended to increase or decrease the overall level of funds raised by your state? \_\_\_ increased; \_\_\_ decreased; \_\_\_ had no effect

2004

With respect to overall State finances, do you think that Federal Aid has \_\_\_ increased; \_\_\_ decreased; or \_\_\_ had no effect, on the overall level of funds raised by your State?

1998

With respect to overall State finances, do you think that Federal Aid has \_\_\_ increased;  
\_\_\_ decreased; or \_\_\_ had no effect, on the overall level of funds raised by your State?

1994

With respect to overall State finances, do you think that Federal Aid has \_\_\_ increased;  
\_\_\_ decreased; or \_\_\_ had no effect, on the overall level of funds raised by your State?

1988

With respect to overall State finances, do you think that Federal Aid has \_\_\_ increased;  
\_\_\_ decreased; or \_\_\_ had no effect, on the overall level of funds raised by your State?

1984

With respect to overall State finances, do you think that Federal Aid has \_\_\_ increased;  
\_\_\_ decreased; or \_\_\_ had no effect, on the overall level of funds raised by your State?

1978

With respect to overall State finances, do you think that Federal Aid has \_\_\_ increased;  
\_\_\_ decreased; or \_\_\_ had no effect, on the overall level of funds raised by your State?

1974

With respect to overall State finances, do you think that Federal Aid has \_\_\_ increased;  
\_\_\_ decreased; or \_\_\_ had no effect, on the overall level of funds raised by your State?

1968

With respect to overall State finances, do you think that Federal Aid has \_\_\_ increased;  
\_\_\_ decreased; or \_\_\_ had no effect, on the overall level of funds raised by your State?

1964

With respect to overall State finances, do you think that Federal Aid has \_\_\_ increased;  
\_\_\_ decreased; or \_\_\_ had no effect, on the overall level of funds raised by your State?

**f\_4a**

---

Has federal aid in your state: resulted in state agencies having less supervision by the governor  
and legislature in federally financed activities than in activities financed solely by State funds?  
(Yes/No)

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Generally, has federal aid in your state: resulted in state agencies having less supervision by the  
governor and legislature in federally financed activities than in activities financed solely by State  
funds? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

2004

In practice, are agencies that receive Federal Aid less subject to supervision by the governor and the legislature in federally financed activities than in activities financed solely by the State funds? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1998

In practice, are agencies that receive Federal Aid less subject to supervision by the governor and the legislature in federally financed activities than in activities financed solely by the State funds? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1994

In practice, are agencies that receive Federal Aid less subject to supervision by the governor and the legislature in federally financed activities than in activities financed solely by the State funds? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1988

In practice, are agencies that receive Federal Aid less subject to supervision by the governor and the legislature in federally financed activities than in activities financed solely by the State funds? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1984

In practice, are agencies that receive Federal Aid less subject to supervision by the governor and the legislature in federally financed activities than in activities financed solely by the State funds? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1978

In practice, are agencies that receive Federal Aid less subject to supervision by the governor and the legislature in federally financed activities than in activities financed solely by the State funds? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1974

In practice, are agencies that receive Federal Aid less subject to supervision by the governor and the legislature in federally financed activities than in activities financed solely by the State funds? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1968

In practice, are agencies that receive Federal Aid less subject to supervision by the governor and the legislature in federally financed activities than in activities financed solely by the State funds? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1964

In practice, is your department or agency less subject to supervision by the governor and the legislature in the activities financed by general revenue? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

**f\_5a**

---

Does the respondent believe that most of all Federal aid to local governments ought to be channeled through the State?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: In 1964 and 1968, “Agree” and “Strongly Agree” are collapsed into “Yes.” “Disagree” and “Disagree Strongly” are collapsed into “No.”

2008

Should most or all federal aid to local governments be channeled through the states? \_\_\_ Yes;  
\_\_\_ No

1988

Do you feel that most or all Federal aid to local governments should be channeled through the State \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1984

Do you feel that most or all Federal aid to local governments should be channeled through the State \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1978

Do you feel that most or all Federal aid to local governments should be channeled through the State \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1974

Do you feel that most or all Federal aid to local governments should be channeled through the State \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1968

It is very important that contacts and relationships between the national government and units of local government be channeled through the states.  
\_\_\_ strongly agree \_\_\_ agree \_\_\_ disagree \_\_\_ disagree strongly

1964

It is very important that contacts and relationships between the national government and units of local government be channeled through the states.  
\_\_\_ strongly agree \_\_\_ agree \_\_\_ disagree \_\_\_ disagree strongly

**f\_6a**

---

In respondent's opinion, Federal aid going directly to cities and local governments in the state has increased, decreased, or stayed about the same for the past several years.

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – Increased

2 – Decreased

3 – Stayed about the same

1978

Do you think that federal aid going directly to cities and other local governments in your State has: \_\_\_ increased, \_\_\_ decreased, or \_\_\_ stayed about the same in the past five years?

1984

Do you think that Federal aid going directly to cities and other local governments in your State: has \_\_\_ increased; \_\_\_ decreased; or \_\_\_ stayed about the same in the past four years?

**f\_7a**

---

Does the respondent believe that Federal aid going directly to cities and other local governments in the state has been used to displace or decrease locally-raised funds?

Years: 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

2 – Unsure

1978

Do you think that federal aid going directly to cities and other local governments in your State has been used to displace or decrease locally raised funds? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_ Not sure

**f\_8a**

---

Does the respondent believe that Federal aid going directly to cities and other local governments in the state has caused a slackening of State fiscal support for cities and other local units?

(Yes/No/Unsure)

Years: 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

2 – Unsure

1978

Do you think that federal aid going directly to cities and other local governments in your State has caused a slackening of State fiscal support for cities and other local units? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_ Not sure

**f\_9a**

---

Respondent believes national performance standards should be set for Federal Aid programs (Yes/No/Not sure)

Years: 1974, 1978, 1988, 1994, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2 – Not sure

Note: SA=Strongly Agree, A=Agree, U=Undecided, D=Disagree, SD=Strongly Disagree.  
Responses in 1974 and 1994 are collapsed into “Yes,” “No,” and “Not Sure.”

2008

Should national performance standards be set for federal aid programs? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1994

Strict standards should be set by the National government for federally funded programs in your State? (Circle) SA A U D SD

1988

Should the National government set strict performance standards for federally funded programs administered by your State? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No \_\_\_ Not sure

1984

Should the National government set strict performance standards for federally funded programs administered by your State? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No \_\_\_ Not sure

1978

Should the National government set strict performance standards for federally funded programs administered by your State? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No \_\_\_ Not sure

1974

Strict standards should be set by the National government for federally funded programs in your State? (Circle) SA A U D SD

**f\_10a**

---

Does the respondent believe that if the national government mandates an activity that imposes costs on state government, then the national government should pay the costs of the mandated activity?

Years: 1994

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

1994

If the National government mandates an activity that imposes costs on state government, the National government should pay the costs of the mandated activity. (Circle) SA A U D SD

**f\_11a**

---

Does the respondent feel that Federal grant administrators are sufficiently flexible in applying Federal standards to programs operated by the agency?

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988

- 1 – Yes
- 2 – No, not flexible enough
- 3 – Too flexible

1988

Do you feel that Federal grant administrators are sufficiently flexible in applying Federal standards to programs operated by your agency?

\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No, not flexible enough; \_\_\_ Too flexible

1984

Do you feel that Federal grant administrators are sufficiently flexible in applying Federal standards to programs operated by your agency?

\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No, not flexible enough; \_\_\_ Too flexible

1978

Do you feel that Federal grant administrators are sufficiently flexible in applying Federal standards to programs operated by your agency?

\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No, not flexible enough; \_\_\_ Too flexible

1974

Do you feel that Federal grant administrators are sufficiently flexible in applying Federal standards to programs operated by your agency?

\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No, \_\_\_ not flexible enough; \_\_\_ Too flexible

**f\_12a**

---

The respondent believes the current level of Federal funds in the form of categorical grants too little, about right, or too much.

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

- 1 – Too little
- 2 – About right
- 3 – Too much

1984

Federal financial aid is of three broad types: (1) categorical grants, (2) block grants, and (3) General Revenue Sharing. How do you feel about the current levels of these three funding arrangements in general (apart from any particular impact on your agency)? Are the funds for: Categorical grants \_\_\_ too little, \_\_\_ about right, \_\_\_ too much

1978

Federal financial aid is of three broad types: (1) categorical grants, (2) block grants, and (3) General Revenue Sharing (GRS). How do you feel about the current levels of these three funding arrangements in general, and apart from any particular impact on your agency? Are the funds for: Categorical grants: \_\_\_ too little, \_\_\_ about right, \_\_\_ too much



1974

Federal financial aid is of three broad types: (1) categorical grants, (2) block grants, and (3) GRS. How do you feel about the current levels of these three funding strategies in general and apart from any particular impact on your agency? Categorical grants \_\_\_ too little, \_\_\_ about right, \_\_\_ too much

**f\_13a**

---

The respondent believes the current level of Federal funds in the form of block grants too little, about right, or too much.

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

1 – Too little

2 – About right

3 – Too much

1984

Federal financial aid is of three broad types: (1) categorical grants, (2) block grants, and (3) General Revenue Sharing. How do you feel about the current levels of these three funding arrangements in general (apart from any particular impact on your agency)? Are the funds for: Block grants \_\_\_ too little, \_\_\_ about right, \_\_\_ too much

1978

Federal financial aid is of three broad types: (1) categorical grants, (2) block grants, and (3) General Revenue Sharing (GRS). How do you feel about the current levels of these three funding arrangements in general, and apart from any particular impact on your agency? Are the funds for: Block grants: \_\_\_ too little, \_\_\_ about right, \_\_\_ too much

1974

Federal financial aid is of three broad types: (1) categorical grants, (2) block grants, and (3) GRS. How do you feel about the current levels of these three funding strategies in general and apart from any particular impact on your agency? Block grants \_\_\_ too little, \_\_\_ about right, \_\_\_ too much

**f\_14a**

---

The respondent believes the current level of Federal funds in the form of general revenue sharing is too little, about right, or too much.

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

1 – Too little

2 – About right

3 – Too much

1984

Federal financial aid is of three broad types: (1) categorical grants, (2) block grants, and (3) General Revenue Sharing. How do you feel about the current levels of these three funding

arrangements in general (apart from any particular impact on your agency)? Are the funds for: General Revenue Sharing \_\_\_too little, \_\_\_about right, \_\_\_too much

1978

Federal financial aid is of three broad types: (1) categorical grants, (2) block grants, and (3) General Revenue Sharing (GRS). How do you feel about the current levels of these three funding arrangements in general, and apart from any particular impact on your agency? Are the funds for: General Revenue Sharing: \_\_\_too little, \_\_\_about right, \_\_\_too much

1974

Federal financial aid is of three broad types: (1) categorical grants, (2) block grants, and (3) GRS. How do you feel about the current levels of these three funding strategies in general and apart from any particular impact on your agency? General Revenue Sharing: \_\_\_too little, \_\_\_about right, \_\_\_too much

---

**f\_15a**

Respondent believes there should be greater decentralization of authority and responsibility from the National government to the States.

Years: 1974, 1984, 1988, 1994

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1994

There should be greater decentralization of authority and responsibility from the National government to the States. SA A U D SD

1988

There should be greater decentralization of authority and responsibility from the National government to the States. SA A U D SD

1984

There should be greater decentralization of authority and responsibility from the National government to the States. SA A U D SD

1974

There should be greater decentralization of authority and responsibility from the National government to the States. SA A U D SD

---

**f\_16a**

Respondent believes the Federal government should act in areas where states fail to perform their responsibilities.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1984, 1988

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1988

The National government should act in those areas where the States fail to perform their responsibilities SA A U D SD

1984

The National government should act in those areas where the States fail to perform their responsibilities SA A U D SD

1974

The National government should act in those areas where the States fail to perform their responsibilities SA A U D SD

1968

The National government should act in those areas where the States fail to perform their responsibilities SA A U D SD

1964

The National government should act in those areas where the States fail to perform their responsibilities SA A U D SD

**f\_17a**

---

Respondent believes that more multi-state regional bodies or units should be created to handle problems that are not national in scope but span a multiple states.

Years: 1984

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1984

More multi-state regional bodies or units should be created to handle problems that are not national in scope but span a few or several states. SA A U D SD

**f\_18a**

---

Respondent believes it is important that contacts and relationships between the National government and local units of government be channeled through the State.

Years: 1974, 1984, 1988, 1994

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1994

It is important that contacts and relationships between the National government and local units of government be channeled through the State. SA A U D SD

1988

It is important that contacts and relationships between the National government and local units of government be channeled through the State. SA A U D SD

1984

It is important that contacts and relationships between the National government and local units of government be channeled through the State. SA A U D SD

1974

It is important that contacts and relationships between the National government and local units of government be channeled through the State. SA A U D SD

**f\_19a**

---

Respondent believes that as society changes and becomes more complex, the duties of governments at all levels must increase.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1984

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1984

As U.S. society becomes more complex, the duties of all levels of government must increase.  
SA A U D SD

1974

As U.S. society becomes more complex, the duties of all levels of government must increase.  
SA A U D SD

1968

As U.S. society becomes more complex, the duties of all levels of government must increase.  
SA A U D SD

1964

As U.S. society becomes more complex, the duties of all levels of government must increase.

SA A U D SD

**f\_20a**

---

Respondent believes most public programs should be assigned to either the Federal government or to States instead of having many programs shared by both governments.

Years: 1974, 1984, 1988

1 – Strongly agree

2 – Agree

3 – Undecided

4 – Disagree

5 – Strongly disagree

1988

Most public programs should be assigned to either the National government or to States instead of having many programs shared by both governments. SA A U D SD

1984

Most public programs should be assigned to either the National government or to States instead of having many programs shared by both governments. SA A U D SD

1974

Most public programs should be assigned to either the National government or to States instead of having many programs shared by both governments. SA A U D SD

**f\_21a**

---

Respondent believes an important reason for the expansion of Federal programs has been the failure of the States to act.

Years: 1974, 1978, 1988

1 – Strongly agree

2 – Agree

3 – Undecided

4 – Disagree

5 – Strongly disagree

1988

An important reason for the expansion of Federal programs has been the failure of the States to act. SA A U D SD

1984

An important reason for the expansion of Federal programs has been the failure of the States to act. SA A U D SD

1974

An important reason for the expansion of Federal programs has been the failure of the States to act. SA A U D SD

**f\_22a**

---

The Federal government should provide more financial support for sub-State regional forms of government, e.g., COG's, LRO's, EDD's.

Years: 1974

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1974

The National government should provide more financial support for sub-State regional forms of government, e.g., COG's, LRO's, EDD's. SA A U D SD

**f\_23a**

---

The State government should provide more financial support for regional agencies, e.g., COG's.

Years: 1974

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1974

The State should provide financial support for regional agencies, e.g., COG's. SA A U D SD

**f\_24a**

---

Has Federal aid helped the States provide programs that would not otherwise be offered?

Years: 1974

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

1974

Has Federal aid helped the States provide programs that would not otherwise be offered?

\_\_\_ Yes/ \_\_\_ No

**f\_25a**

---

The State should establish authorities to deal with urban problems affecting more than one local unit, e.g., transportation, water and air pollution.

Years: 1968, 1974

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1974

The State should establish special authorities to deal with urban problems affecting more than one local unit, e.g., transportation, water and air pollution. SA A U D SD

1968

The State should establish special authorities to deal with metropolitan problems such as transportation, air and water pollution and waste disposal when such problems affect more than one local unit. SA A U D SD

**f\_26a**

---

Respondent believes Federal aid monies are subject to the same financial controls as other State funds.

Years: 1974

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

1974

Are Federal aid monies subject to the same financial controls as other State funds?

\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

**f\_27a**

---

Respondent believes Federal aid monies should be subject to the same financial controls as other State funds.

Years: 1974

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

1974

Should Federal aid monies be subject to the same financial controls as other State funds?

\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

## **National-State Regulatory Relations, Devolution, and 9-11**

*Relationships with national officials, federal funds and mandates, impacts of September 11, 2001*

**g\_1a**

---

In the past four years have National actions – court decisions, statutes, or regulations – infringed on the reserved powers of the States? On a scale of 1(low) to 5 (high) indicate the degree of infringement or intrusion.

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes: 1 (low)

2 – Yes: 2

3 – Yes: 3

4 – Yes: 4

5 – Yes: 5 (high)

#### 2008

In the past four years have National actions – court decisions, statutes, or regulations: infringed on the reserved powers of the States? IF YES: On a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) indicate the degree of infringement or intrusion:

#### 2004

In the past four years have National legal/regulatory actions (court decisions, statutes, regulations) infringed on the reserved powers of the States? IF YES: On a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) indicate the degree of infringement or intrusion.

#### 1998

In the past four years have National legal/regulatory actions (court decisions, statutes, regulations) infringed on the reserved powers of the State? IF YES: On a scale of 1 (low) to 5(high) indicate the degree of infringement or intrusion.

#### 1994

Have National legal/regulatory actions (court decisions, statutes, regulations) infringed on the reserved powers of the State? IF YES: On a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) indicate the degree of infringement or intrusion.

#### **g\_1b**

---

On balance, has the infringement been positive, neutral or negative?

Years: 1994, 1998

1 – Positive

2 – Neutral

3 – Negative

#### 1998

On balance, has the infringement been positive, neutral or negative?

#### 1994

On balance, has the infringement been positive, neutral or negative?



**g\_2a**

---

In the past four years have National actions – court decisions, statutes, or regulations – altered your State’s program and policy priorities? On a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) indicate the degree of infringement or intrusion.

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes: 1 (low)

2 – Yes: 2

3 – Yes: 3

4 – Yes: 4

5 – Yes: 5 (high)

**2008**

In the past four years have National actions – court decisions, statutes, or regulations: altered your State’s program and policy priorities? IF YES: On a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) indicate the degree of infringement or intrusion:

**2004**

In the past four years have National legal/regulatory actions altered your State’s program and policy priorities? IF YES: On a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) indicate (by circling) the degree to which National legal/regulatory actions have altered your State’s program/policy priorities.

**1998**

In the past four years have National legal/regulatory actions altered your State’s program and policy priorities? IF YES: On a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) indicate (by circling) the degree to which National legal/regulatory actions have altered your State’s program/policy priorities.

**1994**

Have National legal/regulatory actions altered your State’s program and policy priorities? IF YES: On a scale of 1 (low) to 5 (high) indicate the extent of impact of National legal/regulatory actions on your State’s program/policy priorities.

**g\_2b**

---

On balance, has the infringement been positive, neutral or negative?

Years: 1994, 1998

1 – Positive

2 – Neutral

3 – Negative

**1998**

On balance, has the infringement been positive, neutral or negative?

**1994**

On balance, has the infringement been positive, neutral or negative?

**g\_3a**

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts)

**Administrative Regulation**

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 0 – No impact
- 1 – Very negative
- 2 – Negative
- 3 – Neutral
- 4 – Positive
- 5 – Very Positive

**2008**

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts) - Administrative Regulation

**2004**

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts) - Administrative Regulation

**1998**

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts) - Administrative Regulation

**1994**

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of each of the following types of National actions on your agency? (Check applicable impact) - Administrative Regulation

**g\_3b**

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts)

**Mandates (statutory or administrative)**

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 0 – No impact
- 1 – Very negative
- 2 – Negative
- 3 – Neutral
- 4 – Positive
- 5 – Very Positive

**2008**

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts) - Mandates (statutory or administrative)

2004

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts) - Mandates (statutory or administrative)

1998

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts) - Mandates (statutory or administrative)

1994

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of each of the following types of National actions on your agency? (Check applicable impact) - Mandates (statutory or administrative)

**g 3c**

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts)

**Statutory Preemptions (assertion of total National authority)**

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 0 – No impact
- 1 – Very negative
- 2 – Negative
- 3 – Neutral
- 4 – Positive
- 5 – Very Positive

2008

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts) - Statutory Preemptions (assertion of total National authority)

2004

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts) - Statutory Preemptions (assertion of total National authority)

1998

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts) - Statutory Preemptions (assertion of total National authority)

1994

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of each of the following types of National actions on your agency? (Check applicable impact) - Statutory Preemptions (assertion of total National authority)

**g\_3d**

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts)

**Federal Court Decisions**

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 0 – No impact
- 1 – Very negative
- 2 – Negative
- 3 – Neutral
- 4 – Positive
- 5 – Very Positive

2008

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts) - Federal Court Decisions

2004

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts) - Federal Court Decisions

1998

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of the following types of national actions on your agency? (Check applicable impacts) - Federal Court Decisions

1994

In your opinion, how would you rate, negatively or positively, the impact of each of the following types of National actions on your agency? (Check applicable impact) - Federal Court Decisions

**g\_4a**

In the administration of federal grant programs, do you feel that federal officials recognize the necessity for flexibility in the application of federal standards to local conditions?

Years: 1968

- 1 – Most of the time
- 2 – Occasionally
- 3 – Seldom if ever

1968

In the administration of federal grant programs, do you feel that federal officials recognize the necessity for flexibility in the application of federal standards to local conditions?

g\_5a

---

Generally, how would you describe the over-all level of cooperation that exists between your agency and local units?

Years: 1968

- 1 – Very cooperative
- 2 – Cooperative
- 3 – Uncooperative
- 4 – Very uncooperative

1968

Generally, how would you describe the over-all level of cooperation that exists between your agency and local units?

g\_6a

---

First, with respect to your state, thinking on statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed an increase, decrease, or no changes in state-national relationships in the following areas? **State Discretion in Program/Policy Choices**

Years: 2004

- 0 – No change
- 1 – Increase
- 2 – Decrease

2004

First, with respect to your state, thinking on statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed an increase, decrease, or no changes in state-national relationships in the following areas?

g\_6b

---

First, with respect to your state, thinking on statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed an increase, decrease, or no changes in state-national relationships in the following areas? **More** state discretion in program/policy choices

Years: 1998

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes
- 2 – Don't know

1998

First, with respect to your state, thinking on a statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed changes in state-national relationships in the following areas? **More** state discretion in program/policy choices

**g\_6c**

---

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes. **State Discretion in Program/Policy Choices**

Years: 1998, 2004

1 – 1 (small)

2 – 2

3 – 3

4 – 4

5 – 5 (great)

**2004**

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes.

**1998**

IF YES, circle degree of change (small) 1 2 3 4 5 (great)

**g\_6d**

---

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials?

**State Discretion in Program/Policy Choices**

Years: 2004

0 – No change

1 – Increase

2 – Decrease

**2004**

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials?

**g\_6e**

---

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials?

**More** state discretion in program/policy choices

Years: 1998

0 – No

1 – Yes

2 – Don't know

1998

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials? **More** state discretion in program/policy choices

g\_6f

---

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes.

**State Discretion in Program/Policy Choices**

Years: 1998, 2004

1 – 1 (small)

2 – 2

3 – 3

4 – 4

5 – 5 (great)

2004

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes.

1998

IF YES, circle degree of change (small) 1 2 3 4 5 (great)

g\_7a

---

First, with respect to your state, thinking on statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed an increase, decrease, or no changes in state-national relationships in the following areas? **Federal Regulations**

Years: 2004

0 – No change

1 – Increase

2 – Decrease

2004

First, with respect to your state, thinking on statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed an increase, decrease, or no changes in state-national relationships in the following areas?

g\_7b

---

First, with respect to your state, thinking on statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed an increase, decrease, or no changes in state-national relationships in the following areas? **Federal Regulations**

Years: 1998

0 – No

- 1 – Yes
- 2 – Don't know

1998

First, with respect to your state, thinking on a statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed changes in state-national relationships in the following areas? **Reduction(s) in federal regulations**

g\_7c

---

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes. **Federal Regulations**

Years: 1998, 2004

- 1 – 1 (small)
- 2 – 2
- 3 – 3
- 4 – 4
- 5 – 5 (great)

2004

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes.

1998

IF YES, circle degree of change (small) 1 2 3 4 5 (great)

g\_7d

---

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials? **Federal Regulations**

Years: 2004

- 0 – No change
- 1 – Increase
- 2 – Decrease

2004

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials?

g\_7e

---

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials? **Federal Regulations**

Year: 1998

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes



2 – Don't know

1998

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials? **Reduction(s) in federal regulations**

g\_7f

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes. **Federal Regulations**

Years: 1998, 2004

1 – 1 (small)

2 – 2

3 – 3

4 – 4

5 – 5 (great)

2004

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes.

1998

IF YES, circle degree of change (small) 1 2 3 4 5 (great)

g\_8a

First, with respect to your state, thinking on statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed an increase, decrease, or no changes in state-national relationships in the following areas? **Federal Unfunded Mandates**

Years:

0 – No change

1 – Increase

2 – Decrease

2004

First, with respect to your state, thinking on statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed an increase, decrease, or no changes in state-national relationships in the following areas?

g\_8b

First, with respect to your state, thinking on statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed an increase, decrease, or no changes in state-national relationships in the following areas? **Federal Unfunded Mandates**

Years: 1998

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes
- 2 – Don't know

1998

First, with respect to your state, thinking on a statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed changes in state-national relationships in the following areas? **Reduction(s) in any federal unfunded mandates**

**g\_8c**

---

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes. **Federal Unfunded Mandates**

Years: 1998, 2004

- 1 – 1 (small)
- 2 – 2
- 3 – 3
- 4 – 4
- 5 – 5 (great)

2004

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes.

1998

IF YES, circle degree of change (small) 1 2 3 4 5 (great)

**g\_8d**

---

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials? **Federal Unfunded Mandates**

Years: 2004

- 0 – No change
- 1 – Increase
- 2 – Decrease

2004

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials?

**g\_8e**

---

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials? **Federal Unfunded Mandates**

Years: 1998

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

2 – Don't know

1998

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials? **Reduction(s) in any federal unfunded mandates**

g\_8f

---

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes. **Federal Unfunded Mandates**

Years: 1998, 2004

1 – 1 (small)

2 – 2

3 – 3

4 – 4

5 – 5 (great)

2004

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes.

1998

IF YES, circle degree of change (small) 1 2 3 4 5 (great)

g\_9a

---

First, with respect to your state, thinking on statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed an increase, decrease, or no changes in state-national relationships in the following areas? **Discretion in Use of Federal Funds**

Years: 2004

0 – No change

1 – Increase

2 – Decrease

2004

First, with respect to your state, thinking on statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed an increase, decrease, or no changes in state-national relationships in the following areas?

g\_9b

---

First, with respect to your state, thinking on statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed an increase, decrease, or no changes in state-national relationships in the following areas? **Discretion in Use of Federal Funds**

Years: 1998

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes
- 2 – Don't know

1998

First, with respect to your state, thinking on a statewide basis over the past four years, have you observed changes in state-national relationships in the following areas? **Increased discretion in use of federal funds**

**g\_9c**

---

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes. **Discretion in Use of Federal Funds**

Years: 1998, 2004

- 1 – 1 (small)
- 2 – 2
- 3 – 3
- 4 – 4
- 5 – 5 (great)

2004

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes.

1998

IF YES, circle degree of change (small) 1 2 3 4 5 (great)

**g\_9d**

---

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials? **Discretion in Use of Federal Funds**

Years: 2004

- 0 – No change
- 1 – Increase
- 2 – Decrease

2004

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials?

**g\_9e**

---

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials? **Discretion in Use of Federal Funds**

Years: 1998

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes
- 2 – Don't know

1998

Second, with respect to your agency, have you observed or experienced changes over the past four years in the following relationships with National officials? **Increased discretion in use of federal funds**

g\_9f

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes. **Discretion in Use of Federal Funds**

Years: 1998, 2004

- 1 – 1 (small)
- 2 – 2
- 3 – 3
- 4 – 4
- 5 – 5 (great)

2004

If you check Increase or Decrease, please circle the degree of change, on a scale from 1 (small) to 5 (great) changes.

1998

IF YES, circle degree of change (small) 1 2 3 4 5 (great)

g\_10a

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? **National government unfunded mandates**

Years: 2004

- |                        |                         |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 – No change          | 6 – Increase 1 (small)  |
| 1 – Decrease 5 (great) | 7 – Increase 2          |
| 2 – Decrease 4         | 8 – Increase 3          |
| 3 – Decrease 3         | 9 – Increase 4          |
| 4 – Decrease 2         | 10 – Increase 5 (great) |
| 5 – Decrease 1 (small) |                         |

2004

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? If you Increase or Decrease, please indicate (circle) the degree of change on a scale from 1 (small changes) to 5 (great changes). **National government unfunded mandates**

**g\_10b**

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? **National government preemptions**

Years: 2004

- |                        |                         |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 – No change          | 6 – Increase 1 (small)  |
| 1 – Decrease 5 (great) | 7 – Increase 2          |
| 2 – Decrease 4         | 8 – Increase 3          |
| 3 – Decrease 3         | 9 – Increase 4          |
| 4 – Decrease 2         | 10 – Increase 5 (great) |
| 5 – Decrease 1 (small) |                         |

**2004**

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? If you Increase or Decrease, please indicate (circle) the degree of change on a scale from 1 (small changes) to 5 (great changes). **National government preemptions**

**g\_10c**

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? **Federal Aid**

Years: 2004

- |                        |                         |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 – No change          | 6 – Increase 1 (small)  |
| 1 – Decrease 5 (great) | 7 – Increase 2          |
| 2 – Decrease 4         | 8 – Increase 3          |
| 3 – Decrease 3         | 9 – Increase 4          |
| 4 – Decrease 2         | 10 – Increase 5 (great) |
| 5 – Decrease 1 (small) |                         |

**2004**

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? If you Increase or Decrease, please indicate (circle) the degree of change on a scale from 1 (small changes) to 5 (great changes). **Federal Aid**

**g\_10d**

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? **Intergovernmental Cooperation**

Years: 2004

- |                        |                        |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| 0 – No change          | 4 – Decrease 2         |
| 1 – Decrease 5 (great) | 5 – Decrease 1 (small) |
| 2 – Decrease 4         | 6 – Increase 1 (small) |
| 3 – Decrease 3         | 7 – Increase 2         |

8 – Increase 3  
9 – Increase 4

10 – Increase 5 (great)

2004

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? If you Increase or Decrease, please indicate (circle) the degree of change on a scale from 1 (small changes) to 5 (great changes). **Intergovernmental Cooperation**

**g\_10e**

---

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? **Competition among agencies for resources**  
Years: 2004

0 – No change  
1 – Decrease 5 (great)  
2 – Decrease 4  
3 – Decrease 3  
4 – Decrease 2  
5 – Decrease 1 (small)

6 – Increase 1 (small)  
7 – Increase 2  
8 – Increase 3  
9 – Increase 4  
10 – Increase 5 (great)

2004

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? If you Increase or Decrease, please indicate (circle) the degree of change on a scale from 1 (small changes) to 5 (great changes). **Competition among agencies for resources**

**g\_10f**

---

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? **Your Agency's Preparedness**  
Years: 2004

0 – No change  
1 – Decrease 5 (great)  
2 – Decrease 4  
3 – Decrease 3  
4 – Decrease 2  
5 – Decrease 1 (small)

6 – Increase 1 (small)  
7 – Increase 2  
8 – Increase 3  
9 – Increase 4  
10 – Increase 5 (great)

2004

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? If you Increase or Decrease, please indicate (circle) the degree of change on a scale from 1 (small changes) to 5 (great changes). **Your Agency's Preparedness**

**g\_10g**

---

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? **Your Agency's Ability to Pursue its Mission**  
Years: 2004

0 – No change  
1 – Decrease 5 (great)  
2 – Decrease 4  
3 – Decrease 3  
4 – Decrease 2  
5 – Decrease 1 (small)

6 – Increase 1 (small)  
7 – Increase 2  
8 – Increase 3  
9 – Increase 4  
10 – Increase 5 (great)

2004

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? If you Increase or Decrease, please indicate (circle) the degree of change on a scale from 1 (small changes) to 5 (great changes). **Your Agency's Ability to Pursue its Mission**

g\_10h

---

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? **Coordination Among State Agencies**  
Years: 2004

0 – No change  
1 – Decrease 5 (great)  
2 – Decrease 4  
3 – Decrease 3  
4 – Decrease 2  
5 – Decrease 1 (small)

6 – Increase 1 (small)  
7 – Increase 2  
8 – Increase 3  
9 – Increase 4  
10 – Increase 5 (great)

2004

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? If you Increase or Decrease, please indicate (circle) the degree of change on a scale from 1 (small changes) to 5 (great changes). **Coordination Among State Agencies**

g\_10i

---

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? **State Funding for Preparedness**  
Years: 2004

0 – No change  
1 – Decrease 5 (great)  
2 – Decrease 4  
3 – Decrease 3

4 – Decrease 2  
5 – Decrease 1 (small)  
6 – Increase 1 (small)  
7 – Increase 2



8 – Increase 3  
9 – Increase 4

10 – Increase 5 (great)

2004

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? If you Increase or Decrease, please indicate (circle) the degree of change on a scale from 1 (small changes) to 5 (great changes). **State Funding for Preparedness**

**g\_10j**

---

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? **State-local Coordination for Preparedness**  
Years: 2004

0 – No change  
1 – Decrease 5 (great)  
2 – Decrease 4  
3 – Decrease 3  
4 – Decrease 2  
5 – Decrease 1 (small)

6 – Increase 1 (small)  
7 – Increase 2  
8 – Increase 3  
9 – Increase 4  
10 – Increase 5 (great)

2004

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? If you Increase or Decrease, please indicate (circle) the degree of change on a scale from 1 (small changes) to 5 (great changes). **State-local Coordination for Preparedness**

**g\_10k**

---

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? **State Funding to Localities for Preparedness**  
Years: 2004

0 – No change  
1 – Decrease 5 (great)  
2 – Decrease 4  
3 – Decrease 3  
4 – Decrease 2  
5 – Decrease 1 (small)

6 – Increase 1 (small)  
7 – Increase 2  
8 – Increase 3  
9 – Increase 4  
10 – Increase 5 (great)

2004

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? If you Increase or Decrease, please indicate (circle) the degree of change on a scale from 1 (small changes) to 5 (great changes). **State Funding to Localities for Preparedness**

**g\_10l**

---

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? **State Unfunded Mandates for Preparedness**  
Years: 2004

- |                        |                         |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 – No change          | 6 – Increase 1 (small)  |
| 1 – Decrease 5 (great) | 7 – Increase 2          |
| 2 – Decrease 4         | 8 – Increase 3          |
| 3 – Decrease 3         | 9 – Increase 4          |
| 4 – Decrease 2         | 10 – Increase 5 (great) |
| 5 – Decrease 1 (small) |                         |

#### 2004

Please share your opinion or views on the effects of 9-11 (11 September 2001). What changes have 9-11 prompted in the following areas? If you Increase or Decrease, please indicate (circle) the degree of change on a scale from 1 (small changes) to 5 (great changes). **State Unfunded Mandates for Preparedness**

#### g\_11a

---

Has your state reorganized or shifted functions among state agencies to improve emergency preparedness?

Years: 2004

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes, slight changes
- 2 – Yes, moderate changes
- 3 – Yes, major changes

#### 2004

Has your state reorganized or shifted functions among state agencies to improve emergency preparedness?

### **Receipt of Federal Aid**

*Categories of aid, changes in aid amounts, federal involvement in aid expenditures*

#### h\_1a

---

Does the respondent's agency receive any federal aid or other federal fiscal assistance?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

#### 2018

Does your agency receive any federal aid or other federal fiscal assistance? \_\_\_ Yes (If YES, answer question 26). \_\_\_ No (If NO, go to question 27)

#### 2008

Does your agency receive any federal aid or other federal fiscal assistance? ☐ Yes If YES, please answer questions 1-9 below. ☐ No If NO, please skip to Section H.

2004

Does your agency receive any federal aid or other federal fiscal assistance? ☐ Yes If YES, please answer questions 1-9 below. ☐ No If NO, please skip to Section H.

1998

Does your agency receive any federal aid or other federal fiscal assistance? ☐ Yes If YES, please answer questions 1-9 below. ☐ No If NO, please skip to Section H.

1994

Does your agency receive any federal aid or other federal fiscal assistance? ☐ Yes If YES, please answer questions 1-9 below. ☐ No If NO, please skip to Section H.

1988

Does your agency receive any federal aid or other federal fiscal assistance? ☐ Yes If YES, please answer questions 1-9 below. ☐ No If NO, please skip to Section H.

1984

Does your agency receive any federal aid or other federal fiscal assistance? ☐ Yes; ☐ No

1968

Does your department or agency receive any funds from federal conditional grants-in-aid, that is, money with “strings” attached rather than shared revenue or contracts? ☐ No; ☐ Yes

1964

Does your department or agency receive any funds from federal conditional grants-in-aid, that is, money with “strings” attached rather than shared revenue or contracts? ☐ No; ☐ Yes

#### **h\_1b**

---

Does the respondent's agency receive formula grant(s) from the Federal government?  
Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No  
1 – Yes

2008

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. ☐ formula grant(s)

2004

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. ☐ formula grant(s)

1998

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_formula grant(s)

1994

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_formula grant(s)

1988

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_formula grant(s)

1984

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_formula grant(s)

1978

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_formula grant(s)

1974

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_formula grant(s)

**h\_1c**

---

Does the respondent's agency receive project grant(s) from the Federal government?

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_project grant(s)

2004

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_project grant(s)

1998

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_project grant(s)

1994

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_project grant(s)

1988

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_project grant(s)

1984

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_project grant(s)

1978

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_project grant(s)

1974

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_project grant(s)

**h\_1d**

---

Does the respondent's agency receive block grant(s) from the Federal government?

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_block grant(s)

2004

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_block grant(s)

1998

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_block grant(s)

1994

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_block grant(s)

1988

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_block grant(s)

1984

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_block grant(s)

1978

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_block grant(s)

1974

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_block grant(s)

h\_1e

---

Does the respondent's agency receive contracts from the Federal government?

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_contract(s)

2004

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_contract(s)

1998

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_contract(s)

1994

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_contract(s)

1988

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_contract(s)

1984

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_contract(s)

1978

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_contract(s)

1974

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_contract(s)

**h\_1f**

---

Does the respondent's agency receive non-matching grant(s) from the Federal government? (Yes/No)

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

**2008**

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_non-matching grant(s)

**2004**

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_non-matching grant(s)

**1998**

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_non-matching grant(s)

**1994**

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_non-matching grant(s)

**1988**

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_non-matching grant(s)

**1984**

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_non-matching grant(s)

**1978**

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_non-matching grant(s)

**1974**

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_non-matching grant(s)

**h\_1g**

---

Does the respondent's agency receive loans or loan guarantees from the Federal government?

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No  
1 – Yes

2008

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_loans or guarantees

2004

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_loans or guarantees

1998

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_loans or guarantees

1994

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_loans or guarantees

1988

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_loans or guarantees

1984

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_loans or guarantees

1978

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_loans or guarantees

1974

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_loans or guarantees

**h\_1h**

---

Does the respondent's agency receive shared revenues from the Federal government?

Years: 1978, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No  
1 – Yes

2008

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_shared revenues



2004

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_shared revenues

1998

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_shared revenues

1978

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_shared revenues

**h\_1i**

---

Does the respondent's agency receive technical assistance from the Federal government?

Years: 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_technical assistance

2004

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_technical assistance

1998

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_technical assistance

**h\_1j**

---

Does the respondent's agency receive a different form of financial assistance from the Federal government from what was already asked in the survey?

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_other

2004

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_other (please specify)

1998

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_ other (please specify)

1994

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_ other (please specify)

1988

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_ other (please specify)

1984

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_ other (please specify)

1978

Please indicate what types of financial assistance your agency receives from the Federal government. \_\_\_\_ other (please specify)

**h\_2a**

---

Number of Federal departments/agencies from which respondent's agency receives financial assistance.

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

1 – 1

2 – 2

3 – 3

4 – 4

5 – 5

6 – 6

7 – 7 or more

2008

From how many different Federal departments/ agencies does your agency receive financial assistance? 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 or more

2004

From how many different Federal departments and agencies does your agency receive financial assistance? (Circle appropriate number.) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 or more

1998

From how many different Federal departments and agencies does your agency receive financial assistance? (Circle appropriate number.) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 or more

1994

From how many different Federal departments and agencies does your agency receive financial assistance? (Circle appropriate number.) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 or more

1988

From how many different Federal departments and agencies does your agency receive financial assistance? (Circle appropriate number.) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 or more

1984

From how many different Federal departments and agencies does your agency receive financial assistance? (Circle appropriate number.) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 or more

1978

From how many different Federal departments and agencies does your agency receive financial assistance? (Circle appropriate number.) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 or more

1974

From how many different Federal departments and agencies does your agency receive financial assistance? (Circle appropriate number.) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 or more

**h\_3a**

---

What proportion of the respondent's agency budget comes from Federal fund?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

1 – Under 25%

2 – 25-49%

3 – 50-74%

4 – 75% or more

2018

What percentage of your agency's budget comes from federal funds?

2008

What proportion of your agency's budget comes from Federal funds?

2004

What proportion of your agency's budget comes from Federal funds?

1998

What proportion of your agency's budget comes from Federal funds?

1994

What proportion of your agency's budget comes from Federal funds?

1988

What proportion of your agency's budget comes from Federal funds?

1984

What proportion of your agency's budget comes from Federal funds?

1978

What proportion of your agency's budget comes from Federal funds?

1974

What proportion of your agency's budget comes from Federal funds?

1968

What proportion of your budget comes from Federal funds?

1964

What proportion of your budget comes from Federal grants?

**h 4a**

---

Does the respondent believe Federal aid seems uncertain, making it difficult to estimate revenues for the next fiscal year?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1998, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Does Federal Aid seem uncertain, making it difficult to estimate revenues for the next fiscal year?

1998

Does Federal Aid seem uncertain, making it difficult to estimate revenues for the next fiscal year?

1988

Does Federal Aid seem uncertain, making it difficult to estimate revenues for the next fiscal year?

1984

Does Federal Aid seem uncertain, making it difficult to estimate revenues for the next fiscal year?

1978

Does Federal Aid seem uncertain, making it difficult to estimate revenues for the next fiscal year?

1974

Does Federal Aid seem uncertain, making it difficult to estimate revenues for the next fiscal year?

1968

Does Federal Aid seem uncertain, making it difficult to estimate revenues and expenditures for the next fiscal year?

1964

Does Federal Aid seem uncertain, making it difficult to estimate revenues and expenditures for the next fiscal year?

**h\_5a**

In place of categorical Federal aid, if respondent's agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would respondent allocate the money differently from the way federal funds are now being allocated?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No, same allocation

1 – Yes, allocate differently

Note: Scale of “Yes” responses were combined. The scaled responses are broken out in variable h\_5b

2008

In place of categorical Federal Aid, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way federal funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_ slight; \_\_\_ moderate: \_\_\_ substantial; \_\_\_ radical

2004

In place of categorical Federal Aid, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way federal funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_ slight; \_\_\_ moderate: \_\_\_ substantial; \_\_\_ radical

1998

In place of categorical Federal Aid, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way federal funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_ slight; \_\_\_ moderate: \_\_\_ substantial; \_\_\_ radical

1994

In place of categorical aids, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way Federal aid funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_ slightly; \_\_\_ moderately: \_\_\_ substantially; \_\_\_ radically

1988

In place of categorical aids, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way Federal aid funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_slightly; \_\_\_moderately; \_\_\_substantially; \_\_\_radically

1984

In place of categorical aids, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way Federal aid funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_slightly; \_\_\_moderately; \_\_\_substantially; \_\_\_radically

1978

In place of categorical aids, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way Federal aid funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_slightly; \_\_\_moderately; \_\_\_substantially; \_\_\_radically

1974

In place of categorical aids, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way Federal aid funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_slightly; \_\_\_moderately; \_\_\_substantially; \_\_\_radically

1968

In place of categorical aids, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way Federal aid funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now

1964

In place of categorical aids, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way Federal aid funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now

**h\_5b**

In place of categorical Federal aid, if respondent's agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would respondent allocate the money differently from the way federal funds are now being allocated? If so, would difference be slight, moderate, substantial, or radical?

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes, slight

2 – Yes, moderate

3 – Yes, substantial

4 – Yes, radical

2008

In place of categorical Federal Aid, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way federal funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_ slight; \_\_\_ moderate: \_\_\_ substantial; \_\_\_ radical

2004

In place of categorical Federal Aid, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way federal funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_ slight; \_\_\_ moderate: \_\_\_ substantial; \_\_\_ radical

1998

In place of categorical Federal Aid, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way federal funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_ slight; \_\_\_ moderate: \_\_\_ substantial; \_\_\_ radical

1994

In place of categorical aids, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way Federal aid funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_ slightly; \_\_\_ moderately: \_\_\_ substantially; \_\_\_ radically

1988

In place of categorical aids, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way Federal aid funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_ slightly; \_\_\_ moderately: \_\_\_ substantially; \_\_\_ radically

1984

In place of categorical aids, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way Federal aid funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_ slightly; \_\_\_ moderately: \_\_\_ substantially; \_\_\_ radically

1978

In place of categorical aids, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way Federal aid funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_ slightly; \_\_\_ moderately: \_\_\_ substantially; \_\_\_ radically

1974

In place of categorical aids, if your agency were given an equal amount of money without "strings" attached, would you allocate the money differently from the way Federal aid funds are now being allocated? \_\_\_ Yes, allocate differently; \_\_\_ No, same allocation as now. IF YES, how different would your reallocation be? \_\_\_ slightly; \_\_\_ moderately; \_\_\_ substantially; \_\_\_ radically

#### **h\_6a**

---

By about how much as Federal aid to the respondent's agency increased or decreased over the past four to five years.

Years: 1978, 1984

Continuous

Note: decrease responses were turned into negative values and no change responses were turned into zeros.

#### **1984**

By about how much has federal aid to your agency increased or decreased over the past four years? \_\_\_\_\_% increase; \_\_\_\_\_ no change; \_\_\_\_\_% decrease

#### **1978**

By about how much has federal aid to your agency increased or decreased over the past five years? \_\_\_\_\_% increase; \_\_\_\_\_ no change; \_\_\_\_\_% decrease

#### **h\_7a**

---

In the last four to five years, has the respondent's agency experienced more or less discretion in the use of Federal aid?

Years: 1978, 1984

- 0 – No change
- 1 – Substantially less discretion
- 2 – Moderately less discretion
- 3 – Slightly less discretion
- 4 – Slightly more discretion
- 5 – Moderately more discretion
- 6 – Substantially more discretion

#### **1984**

In the past four years has your agency experienced more or less discretion in the use of federal aid? \_\_\_\_\_ more discretion; \_\_\_\_\_ no change; \_\_\_\_\_ less discretion

#### **1978**

In the past five years has your agency experienced more or less discretion in the use of federal aid? \_\_\_\_\_ more discretion; \_\_\_\_\_ no change; \_\_\_\_\_ less discretion

#### **h\_8a**

---

Respondent believes existing provisions on federal aid matching arrangements are satisfactory.



Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

Are existing provisions on federal aid matching arrangements satisfactory? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1984

Are existing provisions on federal aid matching arrangements satisfactory? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1978

Are existing provisions on federal aid matching arrangements satisfactory? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1974

Are existing provisions on federal aid matching arrangements satisfactory? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

**h\_9a**

---

Respondent believes existing provisions on the apportionment formulas for funds among the States are satisfactory. (Yes/No)

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

Are existing provisions on the apportionment formulas for funds among the States satisfactory?  
\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1984

Are existing provisions on the apportionment formulas for funds among the States satisfactory?  
\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1978

Are existing provisions on the apportionment formulas for funds among the States satisfactory?  
\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1974

Are existing provisions on the apportionment formulas for funds among the States satisfactory?  
\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

**h\_10a**

---

Respondent believes Federal aid for existing grant programs should be increased, decreased, or stay the same.

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 0 – Stay the same
- 1 – Decrease
- 2 – Increase

2008

Should Federal aid for existing grant programs be: \_\_\_Increased?; \_\_\_Decreased?; \_\_\_Stay the same?

2004

Should Federal aid for existing grant programs be: \_\_\_Increased?; \_\_\_Decreased?; \_\_\_Stay the same?

1998

Should Federal aid for existing grant programs be: \_\_\_Increased?; \_\_\_Decreased?; \_\_\_Stay the same?

1994

Should Federal aid for existing grant programs be: \_\_\_Increased?; \_\_\_Decreased?; \_\_\_Stay the same?

1988

Should Federal aid for existing grant programs be: \_\_\_Increased?; \_\_\_Decreased?; \_\_\_Stay the same?

1984

Should Federal aid for existing grant programs be: \_\_\_Increased?; \_\_\_Decreased?; \_\_\_Stay the same?

1978

Should Federal aid for existing grant programs be: \_\_\_Increased?; \_\_\_Decreased?; \_\_\_Stay the same?

1974

Should Federal aid for existing grant programs be: \_\_\_Increased?; \_\_\_Decreased?; \_\_\_Stay the same?

**h\_11a**

---

Respondent believes Federal aid should be expanded to include support of new programs for the agency. (Yes/No)

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

2008

Should Federal Aid be expanded to include support of new programs for your agency? ☐ Yes;  
☐ No

2004

Should Federal Aid be expanded to include support of new programs for your agency? ☐ Yes;  
☐ No

1998

Should Federal Aid be expanded to include support of new programs for your agency? ☐ Yes;  
☐ No

1994

Should Federal Aid be expanded to include support of new programs for your agency? ☐ Yes;  
☐ No

1988

Should Federal Aid be expanded to include support of new programs for your agency? ☐ Yes;  
☐ No

1984

Should Federal Aid be expanded to include support of new programs for your agency? ☐ Yes;  
☐ No

1978

Should Federal Aid be expanded to include support of new programs for your agency? ☐ Yes;  
☐ No

1974

Should Federal Aid be expanded to include support of new programs for your agency? ☐ Yes;  
☐ No

**h\_12a**

---

Federal oversight and review of the respondent's agency grant programs has impacted standards of administration positively, negatively, or had no effect.

Years: 2008

0 – No effect

1 – Positively

2 – Negatively

2008

How has federal oversight and review of your agency's grant programs impacted: Standards of administration? ☐ positively; ☐ negatively; ☐ no effect

**h\_13a**

---

Federal oversight and review of the respondent's agency grant programs has impacted actual service delivery positively, negatively, or had no effect.

Years: 2008

- 0 – No effect
- 1 – Positively
- 2 – Negatively

2008

How has federal oversight and review of your agency's grant programs impacted: Actual service delivery? \_\_\_positively; \_\_\_negatively; \_\_\_no effect

---

**h\_14a**

Approximately what percent of respondent's time is spent administratively dealing with Federal aid matters.

Years: 2008

- 1 – Under 10%
- 2 – 10 to 20%
- 3 – 21 to 30%
- 4 – Over 30%

2008

Approximately what percent of your time, administratively, is spent dealing with Federal Aid Matters? \_\_\_ under 10%; \_\_\_10-20%; \_\_\_21-30%; \_\_\_over 30%

---

**h\_15a**

If agency is receiving block grant funds, are different program priorities being pursued than would be under other, superseded categorical grants.

Years: 1984

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes, slightly
- 2 – Yes, moderately
- 3 – Yes, substantially
- 4 – Yes, radically
- 5 – Don't know

1984

If your agency is receiving BLOCK GRANT funds, please answer the following questions based on comparison(s) with superseded categorical grants. Are different program priorities being pursued? \_\_\_Yes; \_\_\_No; \_\_\_Don't know; IF YES, are the priority changes: \_\_\_slight; \_\_\_moderate; \_\_\_substantial; \_\_\_radical

**h\_16a**

---

Does respondent believe that grant administrators are more flexible, less flexible, or not noticeably changed?

Years: 1984

- 0 – Not noticeably changed
- 1 – Less flexible
- 2 – More flexible

1984

Are federal grant administrators: \_\_more flexible; \_\_less flexible; \_\_not noticeably changed?

**h\_17a**

---

Does the respondent's agency pass through block grants to local units. If so, does the agency provide technical assistance to the local units and/or audit/evaluate the local unit's performance.

Years: 1984

- 0 – No
- 1 - Yes, provide both technical assistance and audit/evaluate local unit performance
- 2 - Yes, provide technical assistance to local unit but not audit/evaluate local unit performance
- 3 - Yes, does not provide technical assistance to local unit but does audit/evaluate local unit's performance
- 4 - Yes, but neither provides technical assistance, nor audits/evaluates local unit's performance

1984

Do you pass through block grant funds to local units? \_\_Yes; \_\_No IF YES: Do you provide technical assistance to the local units? \_\_Yes; \_\_No Do you audit and/or evaluate local unit performance? \_\_Yes; \_\_No

**h\_18a**

---

Respondent thinks more national program guidance is needed. (Yes/No/Don't know)

Years: 1984

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes
- 2 – Don't know

1984

Do you think more national program guidance is needed? \_\_Yes; \_\_No; \_\_Don't know

**h\_19a**

---

Respondent believes national audit requirements in the last 2-3 years have become more rigorous, less rigorous, or are not noticeably changed.

Years: 1984

- 0 – Not noticeably changed

- 1 – Less rigorous
- 2 – More rigorous

1984

Have national audit requirements recently (last 2-3 years) become: \_\_more rigorous; \_\_less rigorous; \_\_not noticeably changed

**h\_20a**

---

As compared to block and general revenue federal funding, respondent ranks categorical funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of flexibility (greater discretion in State usage of funds).

Years: 1978

- 1 – 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Flexibility - greater discretion in State usage of funds (Categorical)

**h\_21a**

---

As compared to categorical and general revenue federal funding, respondent ranks block funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of flexibility (greater discretion in State usage of funds).

Years: 1978

- 1 – 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Flexibility - greater discretion in State usage of funds (Block)

**h\_22a**

---

As compared to categorical and block federal funding, respondent ranks general revenue funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of flexibility (greater discretion in State usage of funds).

Years: 1978

- 1 – 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Flexibility - greater discretion in State usage of funds (GRS).

#### **h\_23a**

---

As compared to GRS and block federal funding, respondent ranks categorical funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of timeliness (quick response to changing State needs).

Years: 1978

- 1 – 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

#### **1978**

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Timeliness - quick response to changing State needs (Categorical)

#### **h\_24a**

---

As compared to GRS and categorical federal funding, respondent ranks block funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of timeliness (quick response to changing State needs).

Years: 1978

- 1 – 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

#### **1978**

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Timeliness - quick response to changing State needs (Block)

#### **h\_25a**

---

As compared to block and categorical federal funding, respondent ranks general revenue funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of timeliness (quick response to changing State needs).

Years: 1978

- 1 – 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

#### **1978**

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Timeliness - quick response to changing State needs (GRS)

**h\_26a**

As compared to block and general revenue federal funding, respondent ranks categorical funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of responsiveness (funds reflect or meet State needs and priorities).

Years: 1978

- 1 – 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Responsiveness - funds reflect or meet State needs and priorities (categorical)

**h\_27a**

As compared to block and general revenue federal funding, respondent ranks block funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of responsiveness (funds reflect or meet State needs and priorities).

Years: 1978

- 1 – 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Responsiveness - funds reflect or meet State needs and priorities (block)

**h\_28a**

As compared to categorical and block federal funding, respondent ranks general revenue funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of responsiveness (funds reflect or meet State needs and priorities).

Years: 1978

- 1 – 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Responsiveness - funds reflect or meet State needs and priorities (GRS)

**h\_29a**

As compared to general revenue and block federal funding, respondent ranks categorical funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of capacity building (funds promote State's ability to plan/ manage).



Years: 1978

- 1 – 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Capacity building - funds promote State's ability to plan/manage (Categorical)

---

**h\_30a**

As compared to general revenue and categorical federal funding, respondent ranks block funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of capacity building (funds promote State's ability to plan/ manage).

Years: 1978

- 1 – 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Capacity building - funds promote State's ability to plan/manage (Block)

---

**h\_31a**

As compared to block and categorical federal funding, respondent ranks general revenue funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of capacity building (funds promote State's ability to plan/ manage).

Years: 1978

- 1 – 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>
- 3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Capacity building - funds promote State's ability to plan/manage (GRS)

---

**h\_32a**

As compared to block and general revenue federal funding, respondent ranks categorical funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of targeting (funds most likely to promote national purposes).

Years: 1978

- 1 – 1<sup>st</sup>
- 2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>

3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Targeting - funds most likely to promote national purposes (Categorical)

**h\_33a**

---

As compared to categorical and general revenue federal funding, respondent ranks block funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of targeting (funds most likely to promote national purposes).

Years: 1978

1 – 1<sup>st</sup>

2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>

3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Targeting -funds most likely to promote national purposes (Block)

**h\_34a**

---

As compared to categorical and block federal funding, respondent ranks general revenue funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of targeting (funds most likely to promote national purposes).

Years: 1978

1 – 1<sup>st</sup>

2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>

3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Targeting - funds most likely to promote national purposes (GRS)

**h\_35a**

---

As compared to general revenue and block federal funding, respondent ranks categorical funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of cooperation (most encouraging to intergovernmental cooperation).

Years: 1978

1 – 1<sup>st</sup>

2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>

3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Cooperation - most encouraging to intergovernmental cooperation (Categorical)

**h\_36a**

As compared to general revenue and categorical federal funding, respondent ranks block funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of cooperation (most encouraging to intergovernmental cooperation).

Years: 1978

1 – 1<sup>st</sup>

2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>

3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Cooperation - most encouraging to intergovernmental cooperation (Block)

**h\_37a**

As compared to block and categorical federal funding, respondent ranks general revenue funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of cooperation (most encouraging to intergovernmental cooperation).

Years: 1978

1 – 1<sup>st</sup>

2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>

3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Cooperation - most encouraging to intergovernmental cooperation (GRS)

**h\_38a**

As compared to block and general revenue federal funding, respondent ranks categorical funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of low overhead (involves least administrative burden).

Years: 1978

1 – 1<sup>st</sup>

2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>

3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Low overhead - involves least administrative burden (Categorical)

**h\_39a**

---

As compared to categorical and general revenue federal funding, respondent ranks block funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of low overhead (involves least administrative burden).

Years: 1978

1 – 1<sup>st</sup>

2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>

3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Low overhead - involves least administrative burden (Block)

**h\_40a**

---

As compared to categorical and block federal funding, respondent ranks general revenue funding 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of low overhead (involves least administrative burden).

Years: 1978

1 – 1<sup>st</sup>

2 – 2<sup>nd</sup>

3 – 3<sup>rd</sup>

1978

Based on your actual or potential receipt of monies from the three main types of federal aid (categorical, block, and GRS), would you rank each 1st, 2nd, or 3rd in terms of the following criteria: Low overhead - involves least administrative burden GRS)

**h\_41a**

---

Respondent believes Federal supervision of grant programs improved, worsened or had no effect on standards of administration and service within the agency.

Years: 1974, 1978

0 – No effect

1 – Yes, improved

2 – No, worsened

1978

Has Federal supervision of grant programs improved standards of administration and service in your agency? \_\_\_\_ Yes, improved \_\_\_\_ No, worsened \_\_\_\_ No effects

1974

Has Federal supervision of grant programs improved standards of administration and service in your agency? \_\_\_\_ Yes, improved \_\_\_\_ No, worsened \_\_\_\_ No effects

#### **h\_42a**

Federal efforts have been made to improve and simplify the management of federal aid programs. Is the respondent aware of these efforts and, if so, how aware and does the respondent believe the results have been effective?

Years: 1978

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 0 – No, not aware                            | 7 – Yes, moderately aware, fair results    |
| 1 – Yes, highly aware, excellent results     | 8 – Yes, moderately aware, poor results    |
| 2 – Yes, highly aware, good results          | 9 – Yes, slightly aware, excellent results |
| 3 – Yes, highly aware, fair results          | 10 – Yes, slightly aware, good results     |
| 4 – Yes, highly aware, poor results          | 11 – Yes, slightly aware, fair results     |
| 5 – Yes, moderately aware, excellent results | 12 – Yes, slightly aware, poor results     |
| 6 – Yes, moderately aware, good results      |  |

1978

Federal efforts have been made to improve and simplify the management of federal aid programs; e.g., Federal Management Circulars.

Are you personally aware of these efforts? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

If Yes; How aware of these efforts? \_\_\_ Highly \_\_\_ Moderately \_\_\_ Slightly

Have the results been \_\_\_ Excellent \_\_\_ Good \_\_\_ Fair \_\_\_ Poor

#### **h\_43a**

Does the respondent find that Federal aid displaces or allows for a reduction in state supported funding for some agency programs/ activities? If so, does the effect occur for categorical and/or block grants, and the size of the displacement?

Years: 1978

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes, slight displacement, occurs for categorical
- 2 – Yes, slight displacement, occurs for block grants
- 3 – Yes, slight displacement, occurs for categorical and block grants
- 4 – Yes, moderate displacement, occurs for categorical
- 5 – Yes, moderate displacement, occurs for block grants
- 6 – Yes, moderate displacement, occurs for categorical and block grants
- 7 – Yes, substantial displacement, occurs for categorical
- 8 – Yes, substantial displacement, occurs for block grants
- 9 – Yes, substantial displacement, occurs for categorical and block grants

1978

Do you find that federal aid displaces or allows a reduction in state supported funding for some of your agency programs and activities? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No If Yes: Is the displacement \_\_\_ Slight \_\_\_ moderate \_\_\_ substantial? Does it occur for \_\_\_ categorical and \_\_\_ block grants?

#### **h\_44a**

Percentage of total Federal aid to respondent's agency which comes as categorical aids.

Years: 1978

Continuous

1978

What percentages of total Federal aid coming to your agency comes as: categorical aids

**h\_45a**

---

Percentage of total Federal aid to respondent's agency which comes as block grants.

Years: 1978

Continuous

1978

What percentages of total Federal aid coming to your agency comes as: block grants

**h\_46a**

---

Percentage of total Federal aid to respondent's agency which comes as general revenue.

Years: 1978

Continuous

1978

What percentages of total Federal aid coming to your agency comes as: GRS

**h\_47a**

---

As a result of Federal aid, what is the degree of Federal agency involvement in the respondent's agency's decisions regarding substantive program policies?

Years: 1978

1 – Low

2 – Moderate

3 – High

1978

Giving Federal aid involves Federal agencies in decisions and activities of state agencies receiving the aid. In your judgment, what is the degree of Federal agency involvement in your agency decisions in the following areas? (Check appropriate spaces)

☐ Low ☐ Moderate ☐ High ☐ Very High (substantive program policies)

**h\_48a**

---

As a result of Federal aid, what is the degree of Federal agency involvement in the respondent's agency's decisions regarding daily administrative operations?

Years: 1978

1 – Low

2 – Moderate

3 – High

1978

Giving Federal aid involves Federal agencies in decisions and activities of state agencies receiving the aid. In your judgment, what is the degree of Federal agency involvement in your agency decisions in the following areas? (Check appropriate spaces)

☐ Low ☐ Moderate ☐ High ☐ Very High (daily administrative operations)

---

**h\_49a**

As a result of Federal aid, what is the degree of Federal agency involvement in the respondent's agency's decisions regarding evaluation of program results?

Years: 1978

1 – Low

2 – Moderate

3 – High

1978

Giving Federal aid involves Federal agencies in decisions and activities of state agencies receiving the aid. In your judgment, what is the degree of Federal agency involvement in your agency decisions in the following areas? (Check appropriate spaces)

☐ Low ☐ Moderate ☐ High ☐ Very High (evaluation of program results)

---

**h\_50a**

As a result of Federal aid, what is the degree of Federal agency involvement in the respondent's agency's decisions regarding personnel policies.

Years: 1978

1 – Low

2 – Moderate

3 – High

1978

Giving Federal aid involves Federal agencies in decisions and activities of state agencies receiving the aid. In your judgment, what is the degree of Federal agency involvement in your agency decisions in the following areas? (Check appropriate spaces)

☐ Low ☐ Moderate ☐ High ☐ Very High (personnel policies)

---

**h\_51a**

As a result of Federal aid, what is the degree of Federal agency involvement in the respondent's agency's decisions regarding agency organization structures(s)?

Years: 1978

1 – Low

2 – Moderate

3 – High

1978

Giving Federal aid involves Federal agencies in decisions and activities of state agencies receiving the aid. In your judgment, what is the degree of Federal agency involvement in your agency decisions in the following areas? (Check appropriate spaces)

☐ Low ☐ Moderate ☐ High ☐ Very High (agency structures)

**h\_52a**

---

Given receipt of Federal aid, does the respondent believe Federal involvement in substantive program policies should be low, moderate, high, or very high.

Years: 1978

1 – Low

2 – Moderate

3 – High

1978

Where do you think the degree of Federal involvement should be? (Check appropriate spaces)

☐ Low ☐ Moderate ☐ High ☐ Very High (substantive program policies)

**h\_53a**

---

Given receipt of Federal aid, does the respondent believe Federal involvement in daily administrative operations should be low, moderate, high, or very high?

Years: 1978

1 – Low

2 – Moderate

3 – High

1978

Where do you think the degree of Federal involvement should be? (Check appropriate spaces)

☐ Low ☐ Moderate ☐ High ☐ Very High (daily administrative operations)

**h\_54a**

---

Given receipt of Federal aid, does the respondent believe Federal involvement in evaluation of program results should be low, moderate, high, or very high.

Years: 1978

1 – Low

2 – Moderate

3 – High

1978

Where do you think the degree of Federal involvement should be? (Check appropriate spaces)

☐ Low ☐ Moderate ☐ High ☐ Very High (evaluation of program results)



### **h\_55a**

---

Given receipt of Federal aid, does the respondent believe Federal involvement in personnel policies should be low, moderate, high, or very high.

Years: 1978

- 1 – Low
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

1978

Where do you think the degree of Federal involvement should be? (Check appropriate spaces)

☐ Low ☐ Moderate ☐ High ☐ Very High (evaluation of program results)

### **h\_56a**

---

Given receipt of Federal aid, does the respondent believe Federal involvement in agency organization structure(s) should be low, moderate, or high.

Years: 1978

- 1 – Low
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

1978

Where do you think the degree of Federal involvement should be? (Check appropriate spaces) structures) ☐ Low ☐ Moderate ☐ High

## **State-Local Issues and Relationships**

*State aid to local governments, state mandates, state standards for local governments*

### **i\_1a**

---

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

**State financial assistance to local units should be substantially increased.**

Years: 1974, 1984, 1988

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1988

State financial assistance to local units should be substantially increased.

1984

State financial assistance to local units should be substantially increased.

1974

State financial assistance to local units should be substantially increased.

**i\_1b**

---

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

**The State should provide substantial relief from local property taxes.**

Years: 1968, 1974, 1984, 1988

1 – Strongly agree

2 – Agree

3 – Undecided

4 – Disagree

5 – Strongly disagree

1988

The State should provide substantial relief from local property taxes.

1984

The State should provide substantial relief from local property taxes.

1974

The State should provide substantial relief from local property taxes.

1968

The states should provide substantial property tax relief to local citizens.

**i\_1c**

---

Should your State provide substantial fiscal relief from local property taxes?

Years: 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988

0 – No (Includes “Strongly Disagree” and “Disagree”)

1 – Yes (Includes “Strongly Agree” and “Agree”)

1988

The State should provide substantial relief from local property taxes.

1984

The State should provide substantial relief from local property taxes.

1978

Should your State provide substantial fiscal relief from local property taxes?

1974

The State should provide substantial relief from local property taxes.

1968

The states should provide substantial property tax relief to local citizens.

**i\_1d**

---

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

**There should be greater decentralization of authority and responsibility from the State government to local units of government.**

Years: 1994

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1994

There should be greater decentralization of authority and responsibility from the State government to local units of government.

**i\_1e**

---

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

**If the State mandates an activity or imposes a cost on local governments, the State should pay the cost of the mandated activity.**

Years: 1984, 1994

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1994

If the State mandates an activity or imposes a cost on local governments, the State should pay the cost of the mandated activity.

1984

If the State mandates an activity or imposes a cost on local governments, the State should pay the cost of the mandated activity.

**i\_1f**

---

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

**Local units should be responsible for raising all funds necessary to support local services.**

Years: 1968, 1974, 1984, 1988, 1994

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree

- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1994

Local units should be responsible for raising all funds necessary to support local services.

1988

Local units should be responsible for raising all funds necessary to support local services.

1984

Local units should be responsible for raising all funds necessary to support local services.

1974

Local units should be responsible for raising all funds necessary to support local services.

1968

Local units should be responsible for raising all funds necessary to support local services.

#### **i\_1g**

---

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

**More taxing authority should be given to cities.**

Years: 1974, 1984

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1984

More taxing authority should be given to cities.

1974

More taxing authority should be given to cities.

#### **i\_1h**

---

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

**More taxing authority should be given to counties.**

Years: 1974, 1984

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1984

More taxing authority should be given to counties.

1974

More taxing authority should be given to counties.

**i\_1i**

---

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

**More taxing authority should be given to local units.**

Years: 1968, 1974, 1984, 1988

1 – Strongly agree

2 – Agree

3 – Undecided

4 – Disagree

5 – Strongly disagree

1988

More home rule should be granted local units.

1984

More home rule should be granted local units.

1974

More home rule should be granted local units.

1968

States should give more home rule to their units of local government.

**i\_1j**

---

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

**States should give more power to their units of local government.**

Years: 1964, 1968

1 – Strongly agree

2 – Agree

3 – Undecided

4 – Disagree

5 – Strongly disagree

1968

States should give more power to their units of local government.

1964

States should give more power to their units of local government.

## i\_1k

---

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

**Strict standards should be set by the State for the performance of local government services.**

Years: 1968, 1974, 1984, 1988, 1994

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1994

Strict standards should be set by the State for the performance of local government services.

1988

Strict standards should be set by the State for the performance of local government services.

1984

Strict standards should be set by the State for the performance of local government services.

1974

Strict standards should be set by the State for the performance of local government services.

1968

The states should set standards for the performance of local governmental services.

## i\_1l

---

Should your State government set strict performance standards for state funded programs administered by local government?

Years: 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994

- 0 – No (including “Strongly Disagree” and “Disagree”)
- 1 – Yes (including “Strongly Agree” and “Agree”)

1994

Strict standards should be set by the State for the performance of local government services.

1988

Strict standards should be set by the State for the performance of local government services.

1984

Strict standards should be set by the State for the performance of local government services.

1978

Should your State government set strict performance standards for state funded programs administered by local government?

1974

Strict standards should be set by the State for the performance of local government services.

1968

The states should set standards for the performance of local governmental services.

**i\_1m**

---

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

**More State control is needed over local government policies, programs, and personnel.**

Years: 1984

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1984

More State control is needed over local government policies, programs, and personnel.

**i\_1n**

---

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

**The State should exercise more power to realign the boundaries of local units.**

Years: 1968, 1974, 1984

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1984

The State should exercise more power to realign the boundaries of local units.

1974

The State should exercise more power to realign the boundaries of local units.

1968

The states should realign the geographical boundaries of local units of government.

**i\_1o**

---

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

**The State should give regional grant review agencies more power over applications for federal grants.**

Years: 1974, 1984

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1984

The State should give regional grant review agencies more power over applications for federal grants.

1974

The State should give regional grant review agencies more power over applications for federal grants.

**i\_1p**

---

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

**The involvement of the States in finding solutions to urban problems should be substantially increased.**

Years: 1968, 1974, 1984, 1988

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1988

The involvement of the States in finding solutions to urban problems should be substantially increased.

1984

The involvement of the States in finding solutions to urban problems should be substantially increased.

1974

The involvement of the States in finding solutions to urban problems should be substantially increased.

1968

The involvement of the States in finding solutions to urban problems should be substantially increased.



**i\_2a**

---

Does your Agency have responsibilities that involve any of the following types of contacts or exchanges with local governments and/or local officials? (Please check wherever appropriate)

**Financial Aid/Grants**

Years: 1984, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

Financial Aid/Grants

1984

Financial Aid/Grants

**i\_2b**

---

Does your Agency have responsibilities that involve any of the following types of contacts or exchanges with local governments and/or local officials? (Please check wherever appropriate)

**Issuance of Orders**

Years: 1984, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

Issuance of orders

1984

Issuance of orders

**i\_2c**

---

Does your Agency have responsibilities that involve any of the following types of contacts or exchanges with local governments and/or local officials? (Please check wherever appropriate)

**Prior approval of local actions**

Years: 1984, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

Prior approval of local actions

1984

Prior approval of local actions

**i\_2d**

---

Does your Agency have responsibilities that involve any of the following types of contacts or exchanges with local governments and/or local officials? (Please check wherever appropriate)

**Inspection(s)**

Years: 1984, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

Inspection(s)

1984

Inspection(s)

**i\_2e**

---

Does your Agency have responsibilities that involve any of the following types of contacts or exchanges with local governments and/or local officials? (Please check wherever appropriate)

**Require reports**

Years: 1984, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

Require Reports

1984

Require Reports

**i\_2f**

---

Does your Agency have responsibilities that involve any of the following types of contacts or exchanges with local governments and/or local officials? (Please check wherever appropriate)

**Offer advice**

Years: 1984, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

Offer advice

1984

Offer advice

**i\_2g**

---

Does your Agency have responsibilities that involve any of the following types of contacts or exchanges with local governments and/or local officials? (Please check wherever appropriate)

**Give technical assistance**

Years: 1984, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

Give technical assistance

1984

Give technical assistance

**i\_2h**

---

Does your Agency have responsibilities that involve any of the following types of contacts or exchanges with local governments and/or local officials? (Please check wherever appropriate)

**Perform audits**

Years: 1984, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

Perform audits

1984

Perform audits

**i\_2i**

---

Does your Agency have responsibilities that involve any of the following types of contacts or exchanges with local governments and/or local officials? (Please check wherever appropriate)

**None of these**

Years: 1984, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

None of these

1984

None of these

**i\_3a**

---

Approximately what proportion of your time is devoted to contacts, problems, and decision making involving local governments/officials? \_\_\_\_%  
Years: 1984, 1988

Continuous

1988

Approximately what proportion of your time is devoted to contacts, problems, and decision making involving local governments/officials? \_\_\_\_%

1984

Approximately what proportion of your time is devoted to contacts, problems, and decision making involving local governments/officials? \_\_\_\_%

**i\_3b**

---

Approximately what proportion of your agency's total expenditure is allocated or granted to local units? \_\_\_\_%  
Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

Approximately what proportion of your agency's total expenditure is allocated or granted to local units? \_\_\_\_%

**i\_3c**

---

Approximately what proportion of your agency's total expenditure involves review, regulation, assistance activities involving local governments? \_\_\_\_%  
Years: 1984

Continuous

1984

Approximately what proportion of your agency's total expenditure: involves review, regulation, assistance activities involving local governments? \_\_\_\_%

**i\_4a**

---

Within the past three years have your agency's regulations on local governments become more rigorous?  
Years: 1984

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes
- 2 – No change
- 3 – N/A

1984

Within the past three years have your agency's regulations on local governments become more rigorous?

**i\_4b**

---

Within the past three years have your agency's audit requirements become more rigorous?

Years: 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

2 – No change

3 – N/A

1984

Within the past three years have your agency's audit requirements become more rigorous?

## **Career and Professional Experience**

*Respondent's career history, reasons for accepting position, public service values*

**j\_1a**

---

Would you please indicate the number of years you have been employed: In State government in this State?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

Continuous

2018

How many years you have been employed in the following categories? In state government in this state.

2008

In State government in this State?

2004

In State government in this State?

1998

In State government in this State?

1994

In State government in this State?

1988

In State government in this State?

1984

In State government in this State?

1978

In State government in this State?

1974

In State government in this State?

1968

What is your total length of service in state government in this state?

1964

How long have you held a position in state government in this state?

**j\_1b**

---

Would you please indicate the number of years you have been employed: In this Agency?

Years: 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

Continuous

2018

How many years you have been employed in the following categories? In this agency.

2008

In this Agency?

2004

In this Agency?

1998

In this Agency?

1994

In this Agency?

1988

In this Agency?

1984

In this Agency?

1978

In this Agency?

1974

In this Agency?

1968

What is your total length of service with your present agency?

j\_1c

---

Would you please indicate the number of years you have been employed: In your current position?

Years: 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

Continuous

2018

How many years you have been employed in the following categories? In your current position.

2008

In your current position?

2004

In your current position?

1998

In your current position?

1994

In your current position?

1988

In your current position?

1984

In your current position?

1978

In your current position?

1974

In your current position?

1968

How long have you held your present position?

j\_2a

---

How old were you when you first held: A position in State government?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1998

Continuous

1998

A position in State government? \_\_\_\_ (age)

1988

A position in State government? \_\_\_\_ (age)

1984

A position in State government? \_\_\_\_ (age)

1978

A position in State government? \_\_\_\_ (age)

1974

A position in State government? \_\_\_\_ (age)

1968

How old were you when you first held a position in state government? \_\_\_\_ (years)

1964

How old were you when you first held a state government position? \_\_\_\_ (years)

**j\_2b**

---

How old were you when you first held: Any non-military governmental position?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1998

Continuous

1998

Any non-military governmental position? \_\_\_\_ (age)

1988

Any non-military governmental position? \_\_\_\_ (age)

1984

Any non-military governmental position? \_\_\_\_ (age)

1978

Any non-military governmental position? \_\_\_\_ (age)

1974

Any governmental position (national, State, or local?) \_\_\_\_ (age)

1968

How old were you when you first held any government position: federal, state or local? \_\_\_\_ (years)



1964

How old were you when you first held any government position? \_\_\_\_ (years)

j\_3a

---

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? **Subordinate Post, This Agency**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? Subordinate post, this agency

2004

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Subordinate post, this agency

1998

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Subordinate post, this agency

1994

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Subordinate post, this agency

1988

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Subordinate post, this agency

1984

Mark the number of years before the proper type of prior position. Subordinate post, this agency

1978

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Subordinate post, this agency

1974

Mark the number of years before the appropriate type of prior position. Subordinate post, this agency

1968

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?  
\_\_\_ YES; \_\_\_ NO; If YES, is the position described by any of the following statements: a subordinate position in the same agency

1964

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?

\_\_\_YES; \_\_\_NO; If YES, is the position described by any of the following statements: a subordinate position in the same agency

j\_3b

---

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? **Another Agency in this State**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? Another Agency in this State.

2004

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Another agency in this state.

1998

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Another agency in this state.

1994

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Another agency in this state.

1988

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Another agency in this state.

1984

Mark the number of years before the proper type of prior position. Another agency in this state.

1978

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Another agency in this state.

1974

Mark the number of years before the appropriate type of prior position. Another agency in this state.

1968

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?

\_\_\_YES; \_\_\_NO; If YES, is the position described by any of the following statements: a position in some other agency or branch of government in the same state

1964

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?

\_\_\_ YES; \_\_\_ NO; If YES, is the position described by any of the following statements: a position in some other agency or branch of government in the same state

j\_3c

---

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? **Agency in Another State**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? Agency in another state

2004

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Agency in another state

1998

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Agency in another state

1994

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Agency in another state

1988

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Agency in another state

1984

Mark the number of years before the proper type of prior position. Agency in another state

1978

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Agency in another state

1974

Mark the number of years before the appropriate type of prior position. Agency in another state

1968

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?

\_\_\_ YES; \_\_\_ NO; If YES, is the position described by any of the following statements: a position in an identical or similar agency in another state

1964

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?

\_\_\_ YES; \_\_\_ NO; If YES, is the position described by any of the following statements: position in an identical or similar agency in another state

j\_3d

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? **Local Government**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? Local government

2004

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Local government

1998

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Local government

1994

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Local government

1988

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Local government

1984

Mark the number of years before the proper type of prior position. Local government

1978

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Local government

1974

Mark the number of years before the appropriate type of prior position. Local government

1968

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?

\_\_\_ YES; \_\_\_ NO; If YES, is the position described by any of the following statements: position with a unit of local government

1964

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?

\_\_\_ YES; \_\_\_ NO; If YES, is the position described by any of the following statements: position with a unit of local government

j\_3e

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? **Federal Government (non-military)**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? Federal Government (non-military)

2004

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Federal Government (non-military)

1998

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Federal Government (non-military)

1994

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Federal Government (non-military)

1988

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Federal Government (non-military)

1984

Mark the number of years before the proper type of prior position. Federal Government (non-military)

1978

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Federal Government (non-military)

1974

Mark the number of years before the appropriate type of prior position. Federal Government (non-military)

1968

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?

\_\_\_ YES; \_\_\_ NO; If YES, is the position described by any of the following statements: a position with the federal government (non-military)

1964

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?  
\_\_\_YES; \_\_\_NO; If YES, is the position described by any of the following statements: position  
with the federal government

j\_3f

---

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? **Non-profit  
Organization**

Years: 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? Non-profit  
Organization

2004

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Non-profit  
Organization

j\_3g

---

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? **State Legislature**  
Years: 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? State legislature

2004

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. State legislature

1998

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. State legislature

1994

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. State legislature

1988

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. State legislature

1984

Mark the number of years before the proper type of prior position. State legislature

1978

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. State legislature

1974

Mark the number of years before the appropriate type of prior position. State legislature

1968

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?

\_\_\_YES; \_\_\_NO; If YES, is the position described by any of the following statements: as a member of the state legislature

j\_3h

---

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? **U.S. Military**

Years: 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? U.S. Military

2004

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. U.S. Military

1998

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. U.S. Military

1994

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. U.S. Military

1988

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Military

1984

Mark the number of years before the proper type of prior position. Military

1978

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Military

1974

Mark the number of years before the appropriate type of prior position. Military

1968

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?

\_\_\_YES; \_\_\_NO; If YES, is the position described by any of the following statements: a position in the military

j\_3i

---

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? **Private Industry**  
Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? Private Industry

2004

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Private Industry

1998

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Private Industry

1994

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Private Industry

1988

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Private Industry

1984

Mark the number of years before the proper type of prior position. Private Industry

1978

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Private Industry

1974

Mark the number of years before the appropriate type of prior position. Private Industry

j\_3j

---

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? **Self-Employed**  
Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? Self-Employed

2004

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Self-Employed

1998



Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Self-Employed  
1994

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. Self-Employed

1988

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Self-Employed

1984

Mark the number of years before the proper type of prior position. Self-Employed

1978

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. Self-Employed

1974

Mark the number of years before the appropriate type of prior position. Self-Employed

j\_3k

---

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? **None of these**

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post? None of these

2004

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. None of these

1998

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. None of these

1994

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held. None of these

1988

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. None of these

1984

Mark the number of years before the proper type of prior position. None of these

1978

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position. None of these

1974

Mark the number of years before the appropriate type of prior position. None of these

j\_3l

---

Please indicate for how many years you held that prior position. \_\_\_\_ years

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

Continuous

2008

Please indicate for how many years you held that prior position. \_\_\_\_ years

2004

For how long did you hold the position immediately prior to your present post?

1998

For how long did you hold the position immediately prior to your present post?

1994

For how long did you hold the position immediately prior to your present post?

1988

For how long did you hold the position immediately prior to your present post?

1984

For how long did you hold the position immediately prior to your present post?

1978

For how long did you hold the position immediately prior to your present post?

1974

For how long did you hold the position immediately prior to your present post?

j\_4a

---

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?

NO\_\_\_\_; YES\_\_\_\_.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

1 – Public

2 – Private

Note: Surveys provided a variety of positions (i.e. Local government, U.S. Military, private industry) and respondents wrote the number of years they served in the position immediately prior to their current post. Responses were then coded as “public” or “private” based on the type of position the respondent indicated. The actual number of years is not recorded in this variable.

2008

What type of position did you hold immediately prior to your present post?

2004

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held.

1998

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held.

1994

Please indicate the number of years before the type of prior position you held.

1988

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position.

1984

Mark the number of years before the proper type of prior position.

1978

Mark number of years before the proper type of prior position.

1974

Mark the number of years before the appropriate type of prior position.

1968

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?  
\_\_\_YES; \_\_\_NO

1964

Was the position you held immediately prior to your current position one in the public service?  
NO\_\_\_; YES\_\_\_.

**j\_5a**

---

In how many other agencies of this State's government have you served?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – 0

1 – 1

2 – 2

3 – 3

4 – 4

5 – 5 or more

2008

In how many other agencies of this State's government have you served?

2004

In how many other agencies of this State's government have you served?

1998

In how many other agencies of this State's government have you served?

1994

In how many other agencies of this State's government have you served?

1988

In how many other agencies of this State's government have you served?

1984

In how many other agencies of this State's government have you served?

1978

In how many other agencies of this State's government have you served?

1974

In how many other agencies of this State's government have you served?

1968

In how many different agencies or departments of state government have you served?

1964

In how many different agencies or departments of state government have you served?

j\_6a

---

Have you ever held a position in State government in some other State(s)?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Have you ever held a position in State government in some other State(s)? \_\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_\_ No

2004

Have you ever held a position in State government in some other State(s)? \_\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_\_ No

1998

Have you ever held a position in State government in some other State(s)? \_\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_\_ No

1994

Have you ever held a position in State government in some other State(s)? \_\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_\_ No

1988

Have you ever held a position in State government in some other State(s)? \_\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_\_ No

1984

Have you ever held a position in State government in some other State(s)? \_\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_\_ No

1978

Have you ever held a position in State government in some other State(s)? \_\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_\_ No

1974

Have you ever held a position in State government in some other State(s)? \_\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_\_ No

1968

Have you ever held a position in State government in some other State(s)? \_\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_\_ No

1964

Have you ever held a position in State government in some other State(s)? \_\_\_\_ No; \_\_\_\_ Yes

**j\_6b**

---

Have you ever held a position in State government in some other State(s)? IF YES: For how many years? \_\_\_\_

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1998, 2004, 2008

Continuous

2008

IF YES [to j\_6a]: For how many years? \_\_\_\_

2004

IF YES [to j\_6a]: For how many years? \_\_\_\_

1998

IF YES [to j\_6a]: For how many years? \_\_\_\_

1988

IF YES [to j\_6a]: For how many years? \_\_\_\_

1984

IF YES [to j\_6a]: For how many years? \_\_\_\_

1978

IF YES [to j\_6a]: For how many years? \_\_\_\_

1974

IF YES [to j\_6a]: For how many years? \_\_\_\_

1968

IF YES: For how many years did you hold such a position? \_\_\_\_

1964

IF YES: For how many years did you hold such a position? \_\_\_\_\_

j\_6c

---

Have you ever held a position in State government in some other State(s)? IF YES: In how many states?

Years: 1974

Continuous

1974

IF YES [to j\_6a]: In how many states?

j\_7a

---

What was the nature of the governmental or non-governmental position you held immediately prior to your present position?

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – Technical

2 – Professional

3 – Administrative/managerial

1984

What was the nature of the governmental or non-governmental position you held immediately prior to your present position?

1978

What was the nature of the governmental or non-governmental position you held immediately prior to your present position?

j\_8a

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? (Please rank with 1=most influential, etc. but if your present position is your first governmental position, ignore this question.) **Salary**

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – 1 (most influential)

5 – 5

9 – 9

2 – 2

6 – 6

10 – 10

3 – 3

7 – 7

11 – 11

4 – 4

8 – 8

12 – 12 (least influential)

1984

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Salary

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Salary

**j\_8b**

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? (Please rank with 1=most influential, etc. but if your present position is your first governmental position, ignore this question.) **Security**

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1984

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Security

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Security

**j\_8c**

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? (Please rank with 1=most influential, etc. but if your present position is your first governmental position, ignore this question.) **Prestige**

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1984

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Prestige

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Prestige

**j\_8d**

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? (Please rank with 1=most influential, etc. but if your present position is your first governmental position, ignore this question.) **Political Concerns**

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1984

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Political Concerns

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Political Concerns

j\_8e

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? (Please rank with 1=most influential, etc. but if your present position is your first governmental position, ignore this question.) **Only Position Open**

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1984

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Only Position Open

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Only Position Open

j\_8f

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? (Please rank with 1=most influential, etc. but if your present position is your first governmental position, ignore this question.) **Interesting Work**

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)



1984

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Interesting Work

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Interesting Work

j\_8g

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? (Please rank with 1=most influential, etc. but if your present position is your first governmental position, ignore this question.) **Low Pressure Post**

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1984

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Low Pressure Post

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Low Pressure Post

j\_8h

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? (Please rank with 1=most influential, etc. but if your present position is your first governmental position, ignore this question.) **Congenial Co-workers**

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1984

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Congenial Co-workers

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Congenial Co-workers

j\_8i

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? (Please rank with 1=most influential, etc. but if your present position is your first governmental position, ignore this question.) **Fringe Benefits**

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1984

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Fringe Benefits

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Fringe Benefits

j\_8j

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? (Please rank with 1=most influential, etc. but if your present position is your first governmental position, ignore this question.) **Advancement Opportunities**

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1984

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Advancement Opportunities

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Advancement Opportunities

j\_8k

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? (Please rank with 1=most influential, etc. but if your present position is your first governmental position, ignore this question.) **Importance of the Work**

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – 1 (most influential)	3 – 3	5 – 5
2 – 2	4 – 4	6 – 6

7 – 7	9 – 9	11 – 11
8 – 8	10 – 10	12 – 12 (least influential)

1984

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Importance of the Work

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Importance of the Work

j\_8l

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? (Please rank with 1=most influential, etc. but if your present position is your first governmental position, ignore this question.) **Chance to Serve the Public**

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1984

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Chance to Serve the Public

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Chance to Serve the Public

j\_8m

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? (Please rank with 1=most influential, etc. but if your present position is your first governmental position, ignore this question.) **Other**

Years: 1978, 1984

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1984

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Other (please specify)

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Other (please specify)

**j\_9a**

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in determining your choice of the first position you accepted in government service? (Please rank in order of importance, 1=most influential, etc.)

**Salary**

Years: 1978

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Salary

**j\_9b**

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in determining your choice of the first position you accepted in government service? (Please rank in order of importance, 1=most influential, etc.)

**Security**

Years: 1978

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Security

**j\_9c**

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in determining your choice of the first position you accepted in government service? (Please rank in order of importance, 1=most influential, etc.)

**Prestige**

Years: 1978

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Prestige

**j\_9d**

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in determining your choice of the first position you accepted in government service? (Please rank in order of importance, 1=most influential, etc.)

**Political Concerns**

Years: 1978

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Political Concerns

**j\_9e**

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in determining your choice of the first position you accepted in government service? (Please rank in order of importance, 1=most influential, etc.)

**Only Position Open**

Years: 1978

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Only Position Open

**j\_9f**

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in determining your choice of the first position you accepted in government service? (Please rank in order of importance, 1=most influential, etc.)

**Interesting Work**

Years: 1978

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Interesting Work

**j\_9g**

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in determining your choice of the first position you accepted in government service? (Please rank in order of importance, 1=most influential, etc.)

**Low Pressure Post**

Years: 1978

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Low Pressure Post

**j\_9h**

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in determining your choice of the first position you accepted in government service? (Please rank in order of importance, 1=most influential, etc.)

**Congenial Co-workers**

Years: 1978

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Congenial Co-workers

**j\_9i**

---

Which of the following factors were most influential in determining your choice of the first position you accepted in government service? (Please rank in order of importance, 1=most influential, etc.)

**Fringe Benefits**

Years: 1978

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Fringe Benefits

j\_9j

Which of the following factors were most influential in determining your choice of the first position you accepted in government service? (Please rank in order of importance, 1=most influential, etc.)

**Advancement Opportunities**

Years: 1978

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Advancement opportunities

j\_9k

Which of the following factors were most influential in determining your choice of the first position you accepted in government service? (Please rank in order of importance, 1=most influential, etc.)

**Importance of the work**

Years: 1978

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Importance of the Work

j\_9l

Which of the following factors were most influential in determining your choice of the first position you accepted in government service? (Please rank in order of importance, 1=most influential, etc.)

**Chance to Serve the Public**

Years: 1978

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

1978

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Chance to Serve the Public

**j\_9m**

Which of the following factors were most influential in determining your choice of the first position you accepted in government service? (Please rank in order of importance, 1=most influential, etc.)

**Other**

Years: 1978

1 – 1 (most influential)	5 – 5	9 – 9
2 – 2	6 – 6	10 – 10
3 – 3	7 – 7	11 – 11
4 – 4	8 – 8	12 – 12 (least influential)

**1978**

Which of the following factors were most influential in making your decision to accept your present position? -- Other (please specify)

**j\_10a**

What was the nature of the first non-military governmental position you held?

Years: 1978, 1984, 1988

1 – Clerical  
2 – Blue collar  
3 – Technical  
4 – Professional  
5 – Managerial

**1988**

What was the nature of the first non-military governmental position you held?

**1984**

What was the nature of the first non-military governmental position you held?

**1978**

What was the nature of the first governmental position you held?

**j\_11a**

Are you licensed or certified by a professional association or licensing body?

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1998

0 – No  
1 – Yes

**1998**

Are you licensed or certified by a professional association or licensing body?



1988

Are you licensed (certified) by a professional licensing body?

1984

Are you licensed (certified) by a professional licensing body?

1978

Are you licensed (certified) by a professional licensing body?

1974

Are you licensed or certified by a professional association or licensing body?

**j\_11b**

---

To how many professional associations do you belong?

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988

0 – 0

1 – 1

2 – 2

3 – 3

4 – 4 or more

1988

To how many professional associations do you belong?

(Circle proper number)    0   1   2   3   4 or more

1984

To how many professional associations do you belong?

(Circle proper number)    0   1   2   3   4 or more

1978

To how many professional associations do you belong?

(Circle proper number)    0   1   2   3   4 or more

1974

To how many associations do you belong?

(Circle proper number)    0   1   2   3   4 or more

**j\_11c**

---

How many times in the last ten years have you held an office in any professional association?

Years: 1974

0 – 0

1 – 1

2 – 2

3 – 3  
4 – 4 or more

1974

How many times in the last ten years have you held an office in any professional association?

**j\_12a**

---

How many times a year do you travel out-State to attend a professional convention or conference?

Years: 1974, 1978

0 – 0  
1 – 1  
2 – 2  
3 – 3  
4 – 4  
5 – 5 or more

1978

How many times a year do you travel out-State to attend a professional convention or conference?  
(circle proper number)

1974

How many times a year do you travel out-State to attend a professional convention or conference?  
(circle proper number)

**j\_12b**

---

How many times a year do you travel out-State to attend a job-related meeting or session?

Years: 1974, 1978

0 – 0  
1 – 1  
2 – 2  
3 – 3  
4 – 4  
5 – 5 or more

1978

How many times a year do you travel out-State to attend a job-related meeting or session? (circle proper number)

1974

How many times a year do you travel out-State to attend a job-related meeting or session? (circle proper number)

**j\_13a**

---

Have you ever held a position in the private (for profit) sector?

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Have you ever held a position in the private (for profit) sector?

2004

Have you ever held a position in the private (for profit) sector?

1998

Have you ever held a position in the private (for profit) sector?

1994

Have you ever held a position in the private (for profit) sector?

**j\_13b**

---

IF YES [to j\_13a]: For how many years? \_\_\_\_\_ (years)

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

IF YES: For how many years?

2004

IF YES: For how many years?

1998

IF YES: For how many years?

1994

Have you ever held a position in the Non- profit sector? IF YES: For how many years?

**j\_14a**

---

Have you ever held a position in the Non- profit sector?

Years: 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

Have you ever held a position in the Non- profit sector?

2004

Have you ever held a position in the Non- profit sector?

1998

Have you ever held a position in the Non- profit sector?

j\_14b

---

IF YES [to j\_14a]: For how many years? \_\_\_\_\_ (years)

Years: 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

IF YES: For how many years?

2004

IF YES: For how many years?

1998

IF YES: For how many years?

j\_15a

---

Below are statements on different views or opinions individuals hold about work in the public sector. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement: **The give and take of public policy making doesn't appeal to me.**

Years: 2008, 2018

1 – Strongly Agree

2 – Agree

3 – Neither Agree or Disagree

4 – Disagree

5 – Strongly Disagree

2018

The give and take of public policy-making does not appeal to me.

2008

The give and take of public policy making doesn't appeal to me.

j\_15b

---

Below are statements on different views or opinions individuals hold about work in the public sector. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement: **Meaningful public service is important to me.**

Years: 2008, 2018

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neither Agree or Disagree
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

2018

Meaningful public service is very important to me.

2008

Meaningful public service is important to me.

j\_15c

---

Below are statements on different views or opinions individuals hold about work in the public sector. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement: **I am not afraid to go to bat for the rights of others even if it means I will be ridiculed.**

Years: 2008

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neither Agree or Disagree
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

2008

I am not afraid to go to bat for the rights of others even if it means I will be ridiculed.

j\_15d

---

Below are statements on different views or opinions individuals hold about work in the public sector. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement: **Making a difference in society means more to me than personal achievement.**

Years: 2008

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neither Agree or Disagree
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

2008

Making a difference in society means more to me than personal achievement.

j\_15e

---

Below are statements on different views or opinions individuals hold about work in the public sector. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement: **Ethical behavior of public officials is not as important as competence.**

Years: 2008

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neither Agree or Disagree
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

2008

Ethical behavior of public officials is not as important as competence.

**j\_15f**

---

Below are statements on different views or opinions individuals hold about work in the public sector. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement: **I am prepared to make enormous sacrifices for the good of society.**

Years: 2008

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neither Agree or Disagree
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

2008

I am prepared to make enormous sacrifices for the good of society.

**j\_15g**

---

Below are statements representing different views or opinions individuals hold about work in the public sector. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with these statements.

**Politics is a dirty word.**

Years: 2018

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neutral
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

2018

Politics is a dirty word.

**j\_15h**

---

Below are statements representing different views or opinions individuals hold about work in the public sector. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with these statements. **I**

**don't care much for politicians.**

Years: 2018

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neutral
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

2018

I don't care much for politicians.

**j\_15i**

---

Below are statements representing different views or opinions individuals hold about work in the public sector. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with these statements. **I unselfishly contribute to my community.**

Years: 2018

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neutral
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

2018

I unselfishly contribute to my community.

**j\_15j**

---

Below are statements representing different views or opinions individuals hold about work in the public sector. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with these statements. **I would prefer seeing public officials do what is best for the whole community even if it harmed my interests.**

Years: 2018

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neutral
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

2018

I would prefer seeing public officials do what is best for the whole community even if it harmed my interests.

**j\_15k**

---

Below are statements representing different views or opinions individuals hold about work in the public sector. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with these statements. **I consider public service my civic duty.**

Years: 2018

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neutral
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

2018

I consider public service my civic duty.

**j\_15l**

---

Below are statements representing different views or opinions individuals hold about work in the public sector. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with these statements. **It is difficult for me to contain my feelings when I see people in distress.**

Years: 2018

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neutral
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

2018

It is difficult for me to contain my feelings when I see people in distress.

**j\_15m**

---

Below are statements representing different views or opinions individuals hold about work in the public sector. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with these statements. **I am often reminded by daily events about how dependent we are on one another.**

Years: 2018

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neutral
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

2018

I am often reminded by daily events about how dependent we are on one another.

**j\_15n**

---

Below are statements representing different views or opinions individuals hold about work in the public sector. Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement with these statements. **I have little compassion for people in need who are unwilling to take the first step to help themselves.**



Years: 2018

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neutral
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

2018

I have little compassion for people in need who are unwilling to take the first step to help themselves.

j\_16a

---

Have you ever held an appointed or elected position in any of the following types of units?

**City or Municipality or Township**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988

- 1 – Appointed
- 2 – Elected
- 3 – Both
- 4 – Neither or None

Note: Responses in 1988 do not include a “Neither or None” option, which results in significantly fewer observations for this variable in that survey year.

1988

Have you ever held an appointed or elected position in any of the following types of units? -- City or Municipality

1984

Have you ever held an appointed or elected position in any of the following types of units? -- City or Municipality

1978

Have you ever held a position in any of the following types of units? -- City or Municipality

1974

Have you ever held an elected or appointed position in the Federal or local government? If YES, please indicate the years of service by unit and position. -- City or Town

1968

Have you ever held any elected or appointed governmental position other than in state government? If YES, please ... indicate the number of years you held each positions -- City or Town & TOWNSHIP

1964

In what units of government did you serve, what were the types of positions you held, and the approximate length of time you held the positions? (Check wherever applicable). CITY & TOWNSHIP

**j\_16b**

---

Have you ever held an appointed or elected position in any of the following types of units? **County**  
Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988

- 1 – Appointed
- 2 – Elected
- 3 – Both
- 4 – Neither or None

Note: Responses in 1988 do not include a “Neither or None” option, which results in significantly fewer observations for this variable in that survey year.

1988

Have you ever held an appointed or elected position in any of the following types of units? – County

1984

Have you ever held an appointed or elected position in any of the following types of units? – County

1978

Have you ever held a position in any of the following types of units? – County

1974

Have you ever held an elected or appointed position in the Federal or local government? If YES, please indicate the years of service by unit and position. – County

1968

Have you ever held any elected or appointed governmental position other than in state government? If YES, please ... indicate the number of years you held each positions – County

1964

In what units of government did you serve, what were the types of positions you held, and the approximate length of time you held the positions? (Check wherever applicable). County

**j\_16c**

---

Have you ever held an appointed or elected position in any of the following types of units? **School District**  
Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988

- 1 – Appointed
- 2 – Elected

- 3 – Both
- 4 – Neither or None

Note: Responses in 1988 do not include a “Neither or None” option, which results in significantly fewer observations for this variable in that survey year.

1988

Have you ever held an appointed or elected position in any of the following types of units? --  
School District

1984

Have you ever held an appointed or elected position in any of the following types of units? --  
School District

1978

Have you ever held a position in any of the following types of units? -- School District

1974

Have you ever held an elected or appointed position in the Federal or local government? If YES,  
please indicate the years of service by unit and position. -- School District

1968

Have you ever held any elected or appointed governmental position other than in state government?  
If YES, please ... indicate the number of years you held each positions -- School District

1964

In what units of government did you serve, what were the types of positions you held, and the  
approximate length of time you held the positions? (Check wherever applicable). School District

j\_16d

---

Have you ever held an appointed or elected position in any of the following types of units? **National  
Government (non-military)**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988

- 1 – Appointed
- 2 – Elected
- 3 – Both
- 4 – Neither or None

Note: Responses in 1988 do not include a “Neither or None” option, which results in significantly fewer observations for this variable in that survey year.

1988

Have you ever held an appointed or elected position in any of the following types of units? --  
National Government (non-military)

1984

Have you ever held an appointed or elected position in any of the following types of units? --  
National Government (non-military)

1978

Have you ever held a position in any of the following types of units? – National Government (non-military)

1974

Have you ever held an elected or appointed position in the Federal or local government? If YES, please indicate the years of service by unit and position. -- Federal Government (non-military)

1968

Have you ever held any elected or appointed governmental position other than in state government? If YES, please ... indicate the number of years you held each positions -- Federal Government

1964

In what units of government did you serve, what were the types of positions you held, and the approximate length of time you held the positions? (Check wherever applicable). Federal Government

j\_16e

---

Have you ever held an appointed or elected position in any of the following types of units? **Special District (e.g. Soil Conservation District)**

Years: 1964, 1968

- 1 – Appointed
- 2 – Elected
- 3 – Both
- 4 – Neither or None

1968

Have you ever held any elected or appointed governmental position other than in state government? If YES, please ... indicate the number of years you held each positions -- Special District

1964

In what units of government did you serve, what were the types of positions you held, and the approximate length of time you held the positions? (Check wherever applicable). Special district

j\_16f

---

Have you ever held an appointed or elected position in the federal or local government?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

1974

Have you ever held an elected or appointed position in the Federal or local government?

1968

Have you ever held any elected or appointed governmental position other than in state government?

1964

Have you ever held any elected or appointed governmental position other than in state government?

## **Background Characteristics and Education**

*Age, gender, race, ideology, level of education and training*

### **k\_1a**

---

State or foreign country of respondent's birth

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

1 – AL	11 – HI	21 – MA	31 – NM	41 – SD
2 – AK	12 – ID	22 – MI	32 – NY	42 – TN
3 – AZ	13 – IL	23 – MN	33 – NC	43 – TX
4 – AR	14 – IN	24 – MS	34 – ND	44 – UT
5 – CA	15 – IA	25 – MO	35 – OH	45 – VT
6 – CO	16 – KS	26 – MT	36 – OK	46 – VA
7 – CT	17 – KY	27 – NE	37 – OR	47 – WA
8 – DE	18 – LA	28 – NV	38 – PA	48 – WV
9 – FL	19 – ME	29 – NH	39 – RI	49 – WI
10 – GA	20 – MD	30 – NJ	40 – SC	50 – WY

2008

In what state (or foreign country) were you born?

2004

In what state (or foreign country) were you born?

1998

In what state (or foreign country) were you born?

1994

In what state (or foreign country) were you born?

1988

In what state (or foreign country) were you born?

1978

Where were you born? (City and State)

1974

Where were you born? (City and State)

1968

Where were you born? (City and State)

1964

Where were you born? (City and State)

**k\_2a**

---

Respondent's age

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

Continuous

Note: for 1968-2018, the age of the respondent was found by subtracting the survey year from the year the respondent was born.

2018

In what year were you born?

2008

In what year were you born?

2004

In what year were you born?

1998

When were you born? (year)

1994

When were you born? (year)

1988

When were you born? (year)

1984

When were you born? (year)

1978

When were you born? (year)

1974

When were you born? (year)

1968

When were you born? (year)

1964

What is your age? (years)

**k\_3a**

---

Gender of respondent

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

1 – Male

2 – Female

2018

Are you male or female? \_\_\_Male; \_\_\_Female

2008

What is your gender? \_\_\_Male; \_\_\_Female

2004

What is your gender? \_\_\_Male; \_\_\_Female

1998

What is your gender? \_\_\_Male; \_\_\_Female

1994

What is your gender? \_\_\_Male; \_\_\_Female

1988

What is your sex? \_\_\_Male; \_\_\_Female

1984

What is your sex? \_\_\_Male; \_\_\_Female

1978

What is your sex? \_\_\_Male; \_\_\_Female

1974

What is your sex? \_\_\_Male; \_\_\_Female

1968

Sex: \_\_\_Male; \_\_\_Female

1964

Sex: \_\_\_Male; \_\_\_Female

**k\_4a**

---

Race or ethnic group of respondent

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – Non-Caucasian

1 – Caucasian

Note: Not all response options are available in every year, and responses were consolidated from six options—African American, Asian, Caucasian, Hispanic, Native American, Other (including multi-racial)—to two: Non-Caucasian and Caucasian.

2018

Check all of the following that describe your race:

☐ American Indian or Alaskan Native ☐ Asian ☐ Black or African American ☐ Hispanic or Latino ☐ Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander ☐ White ☐ Other: Please tell us (write-in)

2008

What is your race or ethnic group? ☐ African American ☐ Caucasian ☐ Native American ☐ Asian ☐ Hispanic ☐ Other (including multi-racial)

2004

What is your race or ethnic group? ☐ African American ☐ Caucasian ☐ Native American ☐ Asian ☐ Hispanic ☐ Other (including multi-racial)

1998

What is your race or ethnic group? ☐ African American ☐ Caucasian ☐ Native American ☐ Asian ☐ Hispanic ☐ Other (including multi-racial)

1994

What is your race or ethnic group? ☐ African American ☐ Caucasian ☐ Native American ☐ Asian ☐ Hispanic ☐ Other (including multi-racial)

1988

What is your race (or ethnic group)? ☐ American Indian ☐ Black ☐ Hispanic ☐ Asian ☐ Caucasian ☐ Other

1984

What is your race (or ethnic group)? ☐ American Indian ☐ Caucasian ☐ Asian ☐ Hispanic ☐ Black

1978

What is your race (or ethnic group)? ☐ American Indian ☐ Caucasian ☐ Asian ☐ Hispanic ☐ Black

1974

What is your race (or ethnic group)? ☐ Caucasian ☐ American Indian ☐ Black ☐ Oriental



**k\_5a**

---

Population size of the community where the respondent was born

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

- 1 – Rural, farm, or under 2,500
- 2 – 2,500-9,999
- 3 – 10,000-49,999
- 4 – 50,000-249,999
- 5 – 250,000 or over

**1984**

What was the population size of the community in which you: Were born? Rural or under 2,500; 2,500-9,999; 10,000-49,999; 50,000-249,999; 250,000 or over

**1978**

What was the population size of the community in which you: Were born? Rural or under 2,500; 2,500-9,999; 10,000-49,999; 50,000-249,999; 250,000 or over

**1974**

What was the population size of the community in which you: Were born? Rural or under 2,500; 2,500-9,999; 10,000-49,999; 50,000-249,999; 250,000 or over

**k\_6a**

---

Population size of the community where the respondent lived mostly before age 18

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

- 1 – Rural, farm, or under 2,500
- 2 – 2,500-9,999
- 3 – 10,000-49,999
- 4 – 50,000-249,999
- 5 – 250,000 or over

**1984**

What was the population size of the community in which you: Lived mostly before age 18? Rural or under 2,500; 2,500-9,999; 10,000-49,999; 50,000-249,999; 250,000 or over

**1978**

What was the population size of the community in which you: Lived mostly before age 18? Rural or under 2,500; 2,500-9,999; 10,000-49,999; 50,000-249,999; 250,000 or over

**1974**

What was the population size of the community in which you: Lived mostly before age 18? Rural or under 2,500; 2,500-9,999; 10,000-49,999; 50,000-249,999; 250,000 or over

**1968**

Before the age of 18 did you live mostly: \_\_\_\_ on a farm? \_\_\_\_ in a small town? (under 10,000) \_\_\_\_ in a medium sized city? (10,000 50,000) \_\_\_\_ in a large city? (over 50,000)

1964

Before the age of 18 did you live mostly: \_\_\_ on a farm? \_\_\_ in a small town? (under 10,000) \_\_\_ in a medium sized city? (10,000 50,000) \_\_\_ in a large city? (over 50,000)

**k 7a**

---

Population size of the community where the respondent lived mostly after age 18

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

1 – Rural, farm, or under 2,500

2 – 2,500-9,999

3 – 10,000-49,999

4 – 50,000-249,999

5 – 250,000 or over

1984

What was the population size of the community in which you: Lived mostly after age 18? Rural or under 2,500; 2,500-9,999; 10,000-49,999; 50,000-249,999; 250,000 or over

1978

What was the population size of the community in which you: Lived mostly after age 18? Rural or under 2,500; 2,500-9,999; 10,000-49,999; 50,000-249,999; 250,000 or over

1974

What was the population size of the community in which you: Lived mostly after age 18? Rural or under 2,500; 2,500-9,999; 10,000-49,999; 50,000-249,999; 250,000 or over

1968

Since the age of 18 did you live mostly: \_\_\_ on a farm? \_\_\_ in a small town? (under 10,000) \_\_\_ in a medium sized city? (10,000 50,000) \_\_\_ in a large city? (over 50,000)

1964

Since the age of 18 did you live mostly: \_\_\_ on a farm? \_\_\_ in a small town? (under 10,000) \_\_\_ in a medium sized city? (10,000 50,000) \_\_\_ in a large city? (over 50,000)

**k 8a**

---

Political party self-identification of respondent

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

1 – Democrat

2 – Republican

3 – Independent

2018

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a Democrat, a Republican, or an Independent? \_\_\_Democrat; \_\_Republican; \_\_\_Independent

2008

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a: \_\_\_Democrat; \_\_\_Republican;  
\_\_\_Independent.

2004

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a: \_\_\_Democrat; \_\_\_Republican;  
\_\_\_Independent.

1998

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a: \_\_\_Democrat; \_\_\_Republican;  
\_\_\_Independent.

1994

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a: \_\_\_Democrat; \_\_\_Republican;  
\_\_\_Independent.

1988

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a \_\_\_Democrat; \_\_\_Republican; or an  
\_\_\_Independent?

1984

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a \_\_\_Democrat; \_\_\_Republican; or an  
\_\_\_Independent?

1978

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a \_\_\_Democrat; \_\_\_Republican; or an  
\_\_\_Independent?

1974

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a \_\_\_Democrat; \_\_\_Republican; or an  
\_\_\_Independent?

1968

Generally speaking do you consider yourself a \_\_\_Democrat \_\_\_Republican or an \_\_\_Independent

1964

Generally speaking do you consider yourself a \_\_\_Democrat \_\_\_Republican or an \_\_\_Independent

**k\_8b**

---

Political party self-identification of respondent

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

1 – Democrat

2 – Republican

3 – Independent, closer to the Democratic Party

- 4 – Independent, closer to the Republican Party  
5 – Independent, closer to neither party

2018

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a Democrat, a Republican, or an Independent? IF INDEPENDENT, which party are you closer to? \_\_\_ Democratic; \_\_\_ Republican; \_\_\_ Neither

2008

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a: \_\_\_ Democrat; \_\_\_ Republican; \_\_\_ Independent. IF INDEPENDENT, which party are you closer to: \_\_\_ Democratic; \_\_\_ Republican; \_\_\_ Neither

2004

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a: \_\_\_ Democrat; \_\_\_ Republican; \_\_\_ Independent. IF INDEPENDENT, which party are you closer to: \_\_\_ Democratic; \_\_\_ Republican; \_\_\_ Neither

1998

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a: \_\_\_ Democrat; \_\_\_ Republican; \_\_\_ Independent. IF INDEPENDENT, which party are you closer to: \_\_\_ Democratic; \_\_\_ Republican; \_\_\_ Neither

1994

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a: \_\_\_ Democrat; \_\_\_ Republican; \_\_\_ Independent. IF INDEPENDENT, which party are you closer to: \_\_\_ Democratic; \_\_\_ Republican; \_\_\_ Neither

1988

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a \_\_\_ Democrat; \_\_\_ Republican; or an \_\_\_ Independent? If Independent, are you closer to the \_\_\_ Democratic or \_\_\_ Republican party? \_\_\_ neither

1984

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a \_\_\_ Democrat; \_\_\_ Republican; or an \_\_\_ Independent? If Independent, are you closer to the \_\_\_ Democratic or \_\_\_ Republican party? \_\_\_ neither

1978

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a \_\_\_ Democrat; \_\_\_ Republican; or an \_\_\_ Independent? If Independent, are you closer to the \_\_\_ Democratic or \_\_\_ Republican party?

1974

Generally speaking, do you consider yourself to be a \_\_\_ Democrat; \_\_\_ Republican; or an \_\_\_ Independent? If Independent, are you closer to the \_\_\_ Democratic or \_\_\_ Republican party?

**k\_9a**

---

On a scale of 1 to 7, with 1 being very conservative and 7 being very liberal, respondent rates or ranks self on social and morality issues.

Years: 1998, 2004, 2008

1 – 1 (very conservative)

2 – 2

3 – 3

4 – 4

5 – 5

6 – 6

7 – 7 (very liberal)

**2008**

On a scale of 1 to 7, with 1 being very conservative and 7 being very liberal, please indicate how you rate or rank yourself on (a) social and morality issues, and (b) taxing and spending issues.

Social and morality issues (very conservative) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (very liberal)

**2004**

On a scale of 1 to 7, with 1 being very conservative and 7 being very liberal, please indicate how you rate or rank yourself on (a) social and morality issues, and (b) taxing and spending issues.

Social and morality issues (very conservative) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (very liberal)

**1998**

On a scale of 1 to 7, with 1 being very conservative and 7 being very liberal, please indicate how you rate or rank yourself on (a) social and morality issues, and (b) taxing and spending issues.

Social and morality issues (very conservative) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (very liberal)

**k\_10a**

---

On a scale of 1 to 7, with 1 being very conservative and 7 being very liberal, respondent rates or ranks self on taxing and spending issues.

Years: 1998, 2004, 2008

1 – 1 (very conservative)

2 – 2

3 – 3

4 – 4

5 – 5

6 – 6

7 – 7 (very liberal)

**2008**

On a scale of 1 to 7, with 1 being very conservative and 7 being very liberal, please indicate how you rate or rank yourself on (a) social and morality issues, and (b) taxing and spending issues.

Social and morality issues (very conservative) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (very liberal)

2004

On a scale of 1 to 7, with 1 being very conservative and 7 being very liberal, please indicate how you rate or rank yourself on (a) social and morality issues, and (b) taxing and spending issues.

Social and morality issues (very conservative) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (very liberal)

1998

On a scale of 1 to 7, with 1 being very conservative and 7 being very liberal, please indicate how you rate or rank yourself on (a) social and morality issues, and (b) taxing and spending issues.

Social and morality issues (very conservative) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (very liberal)

**k\_11a**

---

On a scale of 1 to 7, with 1 being very conservative and 7 being very liberal, respondent generally considers self to be more conservative or more liberal.

Years: 1994

1 – 1 (very conservative)

2 – 2

3 – 3

4 – 4

5 – 5

6 – 6

7 – 7 (very liberal)

1994

On a scale of 1 to 7, with 1 being very conservative and 7 being very liberal, do you generally consider yourself to be more conservative or more liberal?

Conservative 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Liberal

**k\_12a**

---

Has respondent ever campaigned for or contributed to the support of a candidate for an elected public office.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

Have you campaigned for or contributed to the support of a candidate for an elected public office?

\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1984

Have you campaigned for or contributed to the support of a candidate for an elected public office?

\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1978

Have you campaigned for or contributed to the support of a candidate for an elected public office?  
\_\_\_Yes; \_\_\_No

1974

Have you campaigned for or contributed to the support of a candidate for an elected public office?  
\_\_\_Yes; \_\_\_No

1968

Have you ever campaigned for or contributed to the support of a candidate for an elected public office? \_\_\_YES; \_\_\_NO.

1964

Have you ever campaigned for or contributed to the support of a candidate for an elected public office? \_\_\_YES; \_\_\_NO.

**k\_12b**

---

Have you ever solicited funds for a candidate for an elected public office?  
Years: 1968

0 – No

1 – Yes

1968

Have you ever solicited funds for a candidate for an elected public office?

**k\_13a**

---

Has respondent ever campaigned for or contributed to the support the governor's election.  
Years: 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

IF YES [to k\_12a]: Did you do so in the last campaign for governor? \_\_\_Yes; \_\_\_No

1984

IF YES [to k\_12a]: Did you do so in the last campaign for governor? \_\_\_Yes; \_\_\_No

1978

IF YES [to k\_12a]: Did you do so in the last campaign for governor? \_\_\_Yes; \_\_\_No

1974

IF YES [to k\_12a]: Did you do so in the last campaign for governor? \_\_\_Yes; \_\_\_No

**k\_14a**

---

Did the respondent's mother hold any elected or appointed governmental positions?

Years: 1984, 1988, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes, elected

2 – Yes, appointed

3 – Yes, elected and appointed

2008

Did your mother or father hold any elected or appointed governmental positions? (Check any that apply) Mother : ☐ No; ☐ Yes, elected; ☐ Yes, appointed; ☐ Yes, elected and appointed

2004

Did your mother or father hold any elected or appointed governmental positions? (Check any that apply) Mother : ☐ No; ☐ Yes, elected; ☐ Yes, appointed; ☐ Yes, elected and appointed

1998

Did your mother or father hold any elected or appointed governmental positions? Mother: ☐ No/none; ☐ Yes, elected; ☐ Yes, appointed; ☐ Yes, elected and appointed

1988

Did your mother ever hold any elected or appointed office in government? ☐ No; ☐ Yes, elected; ☐ Yes, appointed; ☐ Yes, elected and appointed

1984

Did your mother ever hold any elected or appointed office in government? ☐ No; ☐ Yes, elected; ☐ Yes, appointed; ☐ Yes, elected and appointed

**k\_15a**

---

Did the respondent's father hold any elected or appointed governmental positions?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes, elected

2 – Yes, appointed

3 – Yes, elected and appointed

2008

Did your mother or father hold any elected or appointed governmental positions? (Check any that apply) Father : ☐ No; ☐ Yes, elected; ☐ Yes, appointed; ☐ Yes, elected and appointed

2004

Did your mother or father hold any elected or appointed governmental positions? (Check any that apply) Father : ☐ No; ☐ Yes, elected; ☐ Yes, appointed; ☐ Yes, elected and appointed

1998



Did your mother or father hold any elected or appointed governmental positions? (Check any that apply) Father : ☐ No; ☐ Yes, elected; ☐ Yes, appointed; ☐ Yes, elected and appointed

1988

Did your father ever hold any elected or appointed office in government? ☐ No; ☐ Yes, elected; ☐ Yes, appointed; ☐ Yes, elected and appointed

1984

Did your father ever hold any elected or appointed office in government? ☐ No; ☐ Yes, elected; ☐ Yes, appointed; ☐ Yes, elected and appointed

1978

Did your father ever hold any elected or appointed office in government? ☐ No; ☐ Yes, elected; ☐ Yes, appointed; ☐ Yes, elected and appointed

1974

Did your father ever hold any elected or appointed position in any unit of government? ☐ Yes ☐ No IF YES, check any that are appropriate in each group. ☐ elected ☐ appointed

1968

Did your father ever hold an elected or an appointed position in any unit of government? ☐ YES; ☐ NO. If YES, please check the following wherever appropriate. Was the position: ☐ elected ☐ appointed

1964

Did your father ever hold an elected or appointed position in the public service? ☐ elected; ☐ appointed; ☐ both; ☐ neither

#### **k\_16a**

---

Respondent's completed level of formal education

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 1 – High school or less
- 2 – Some college
- 3 – Bachelor's degree
- 4 – Graduate study
- 5 – Graduate degree

Note: In 1964, there are no responses for option 4 – Graduate study.

2018

What is the *highest* level of education you have completed? Select *one* answer only. ☐ Less than high school; ☐ High school diploma or General Education Development (GED) certificate; ☐ Vocational training or 2-year degree; ☐ Some college but no degree; ☐ A 4-year college degree; ☐ Graduate study but no degree; ☐ Graduate degree

2008

How much formal education have you had? (Check highest level attained) High school (or less), Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

2004

How much formal education have you had? (Check highest level attained) High school (or less), Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1998

How much formal education have you had? (Check highest level attained) High school (or less), Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1994

How much formal education have you had? (Check highest level attained) Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1988

How much formal education have you had? (Check highest level attained) Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1984

How much formal education have you had? (Check appropriate level) Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1978

How much education have you had? How much education did your father have? Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1974

How much education have you had? How much education did your father have? Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1968

How much education have you had and how much education did your father have? (Check appropriate boxes) Grade school only, Some high school, High school graduate, Some college, College graduate, Post graduate study, Post graduate degree (MA, MD, PhD, LLB)

1964

How many years of formal education have you had? (Circle one) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 MORE, If you hold a college degree(s), will you please indicate which degree(s)?

**k\_16b**

---

Respondent's completed level of formal education

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

1 – High School (High school degree or less)

- 2 – College (Some college or degree)
- 3 – Graduate School (Graduate study or degree)

2008

How much formal education have you had? (Check highest level attained) High school (or less), Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

2004

How much formal education have you had? (Check highest level attained) High school (or less), Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1998

How much formal education have you had? (Check highest level attained) High school (or less), Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1994

How much formal education have you had? (Check highest level attained) Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1988

How much formal education have you had? (Check highest level attained) Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1984

How much formal education have you had? (Check appropriate level) Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1978

How much education have you had? How much education did your father have? Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1974

How much education have you had? How much education did your father have? Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1968

How much education have you had and how much education did your father have? (Check appropriate boxes) Grade school only, Some high school, High school graduate, Some college, College graduate, Post graduate study, Post graduate degree (MA, MD, PhD, LLB)

1964

How many years of formal education have you had? (Circle one) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 MORE, If you hold a college degree(s), will you please indicate which degree(s)?

**k\_17a**

---

Mother of respondent's completed level of formal education

Years: 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 1 – High school or less
- 2 – Some college
- 3 – Bachelor's degree
- 4 – Graduate study
- 5 – Graduate degree

2008

How much formal education has your mother had? (Check highest level attained) High school (or less), Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

2004

How much formal education has your mother had? (Check highest level attained) High school (or less), Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1998

How much formal education has your mother had? (Check highest level attained) High school (or less), Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1994

How much formal education has your mother had? (Check highest level attained) Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1988

How much formal education has your mother had? (Check highest level attained) Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1984

How much formal education has your mother had? (Check appropriate level) Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

**k\_18a**

---

Father of respondent's completed level of formal education

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 1 – High school or less
- 2 – Some college
- 3 – Bachelor's degree
- 4 – Graduate study
- 5 – Graduate degree

2008

How much formal education has your father had? (Check highest level attained) High school (or less), Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

2004

How much formal education has your father had? (Check highest level attained) High school (or less), Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1998

How much formal education has your father had? (Check highest level attained) High school (or less), Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1994

How much formal education has your father had? (Check highest level attained) Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1988

How much formal education has your father had? (Check highest level attained) Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1984

How much formal education has your father had? (Check appropriate level) Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1978

How much education did your father have? Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1974

How much education have you had? How much education did your father have? Some high school or less, High school graduate, Some college, Bachelor's degree, Graduate study, Graduate degree

1968

How much education have you had and how much education did your father have? (Check appropriate boxes) Grade school only, Some high school, High school graduate, Some college, College graduate, Post graduate study, Post graduate degree (MA, MD, PhD, LLB)

1964

How many years of formal education did your father have? (total years)

**k\_20a**

---

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of accounting.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

1984

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (11) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following field? (Check as many as are appropriate)

1978

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following fields? (check as many appropriate)

1974

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, what formal training (including in service) have you had in any of the following fields? (check as many as are appropriate)

1968

If you had any specialized training or college work beyond the high school would you please indicate the subject matter area(s) in which you did work regardless of whether you obtained a degree or not. (check one or more as appropriate)

1964

If you had college or other training beyond high school, please indicate the subject area in which you did work (check one or more if appropriate):

**k\_21a**

---

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of agriculture.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

1984

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (11) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following field? (check as many as are appropriate)

1978

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following fields? (check as many appropriate)

1974

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, what formal training (including in service) have you had in any of the following fields? (check as many as are appropriate)

1968

If you had any specialized training or college work beyond the high school would you please indicate the subject matter area(s) in which you did work regardless of whether you obtained a degree or not. (check one or more as appropriate)

1964

If you had college or other training beyond high school, please indicate the subject area in which you did work (check one or more if appropriate):

**k\_22a**

---

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of business.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

1984

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (11) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following field? (check as many as are appropriate)

1978

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following fields? (check as many appropriate)

1974

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, what formal training (including in service) have you had in any of the following fields? (check as many as are appropriate)

1968

If you had any specialized training or college work beyond the high school would you please indicate the subject matter area(s) in which you did work regardless of whether you obtained a degree or not. (check one or more as appropriate)

1964

If you had college or other training beyond high school, please indicate the subject area in which you did work (check one or more if appropriate):

**k\_23a**

---

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of education.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

1984

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (11) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following field? (check as many as are appropriate)

1978

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following fields? (check as many appropriate)

1974

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, what formal training (including in service) have you had in any of the following fields? (check as many as are appropriate)

1968

If you had any specialized training or college work beyond the high school would you please indicate the subject matter area(s) in which you did work regardless of whether you obtained a degree or not. (check one or more as appropriate)

1964

If you had college or other training beyond high school, please indicate the subject area in which you did work (check one or more if appropriate):

**k\_24a**

---

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of engineering.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

1984

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (11) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following field? (check as many as are appropriate)

1978

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following fields? (check as many appropriate)

1974

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, what formal training (including in service) have you had in any of the following fields? (check as many as are appropriate)

1968

If you had any specialized training or college work beyond the high school would you please indicate the subject matter area(s) in which you did work regardless of whether you obtained a degree or not. (check one or more as appropriate)

1964

If you had college or other training beyond high school, please indicate the subject area in which you did work (check one or more if appropriate):

**k\_25a**

---

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of forestry.

Years: 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

1984



Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (11) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following field? (check as many as are appropriate)

1978

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following fields? (check as many appropriate)

1974

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, what formal training (including in service) have you had in any of the following fields? (check as many as are appropriate)

1968

If you had any specialized training or college work beyond the high school would you please indicate the subject matter area(s) in which you did work regardless of whether you obtained a degree or not. (check one or more as appropriate)

---

**k 26a**

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of journalism.

Years: 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

1984

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (11) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following field? (check as many as are appropriate)

1978

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following fields? (check as many appropriate)

1974

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, what formal training (including in service) have you had in any of the following fields? (check as many as are appropriate)

1968

If you had any specialized training or college work beyond the high school would you please indicate the subject matter area(s) in which you did work regardless of whether you obtained a degree or not. (check one or more as appropriate)

---

**k 27a**

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of law.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

1984

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (11) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following field? (check as many as are appropriate)

1978

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following fields? (check as many appropriate)

1974

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, what formal training (including in service) have you had in any of the following fields? (check as many as are appropriate)

1968

If you had any specialized training or college work beyond the high school would you please indicate the subject matter area(s) in which you did work regardless of whether you obtained a degree or not. (check one or more as appropriate)

1964

If you had college or other training beyond high school, please indicate the subject area in which you did work (check one or more if appropriate):

**k 28a**

---

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of management.

Years: 1974, 1978, 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

1984

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (11) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following field? (check as many as are appropriate)

1978

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following fields? (check as many appropriate)

1974

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, what formal training (including in service) have you had in any of the following fields? (check as many as are appropriate)

**k 29a**

---

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of medicine.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

0 – No  
1 – Yes

1984

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (11) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following field? (check as many as are appropriate)

1978

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following fields? (check as many as appropriate)

1974

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, what formal training (including in service) have you had in any of the following fields? (check as many as are appropriate)

1968

If you had any specialized training or college work beyond the high school would you please indicate the subject matter area(s) in which you did work regardless of whether you obtained a degree or not. (check one or more as appropriate)

1964

If you had college or other training beyond high school, please indicate the subject area in which you did work (check one or more if appropriate):

**k 30a**

---

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of public administration.  
Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

0 – No  
1 – Yes

1984

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (11) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following field? (check as many as are appropriate)

1978

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following fields? (check as many as appropriate)

1974

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, what formal training (including in service) have you had in any of the following fields? (check as many as are appropriate)

1968

If you had any specialized training or college work beyond the high school would you please indicate the subject matter area(s) in which you did work regardless of whether you obtained a degree or not. (check one or more as appropriate)

1964

If you had college or other training beyond high school, please indicate the subject area in which you did work (check one or more if appropriate):

---

**k 31a**

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of public health.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

1984

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (11) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following field? (check as many as are appropriate)

1978

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following fields? (check as many appropriate)

1974

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, what formal training (including in service) have you had in any of the following fields? (check as many as are appropriate)

1968

If you had any specialized training or college work beyond the high school would you please indicate the subject matter area(s) in which you did work regardless of whether you obtained a degree or not. (check one or more as appropriate)

1964

If you had college or other training beyond high school, please indicate the subject area in which you did work (check one or more if appropriate):

---

**k 32a**

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of recreation.

Years: 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

1984

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (11) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following field? (check as many as are appropriate)

1978

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following fields? (check as many appropriate)

1974

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, what formal training (including in service) have you had in any of the following fields? (check as many as are appropriate)

1968

If you had any specialized training or college work beyond the high school would you please indicate the subject matter area(s) in which you did work regardless of whether you obtained a degree or not. (check one or more as appropriate)

**k\_33a**

---

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of social work.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984

0 – No

1 – Yes

1984

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (11) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following field? (check as many as are appropriate)

1978

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, have you had additional formal training (including in service) in any of the following fields? (check as many appropriate)

1974

Other than the degrees or specialties indicated in (2) above, what formal training (including in service) have you had in any of the following fields? (check as many as are appropriate)

1968

If you had any specialized training or college work beyond the high school would you please indicate the subject matter area(s) in which you did work regardless of whether you obtained a degree or not. (check one or more as appropriate)

1964

If you had college or other training beyond high school, please indicate the subject area in which you did work (check one or more if appropriate):

**k\_34a**

---

Respondent has had formal training (including in-service) in the field of liberal arts.

Years: 1968

- 0 – No  
1 – Yes

1968

If you had any specialized training or college work beyond the high school would you please indicate the subject matter area(s) in which you did work regardless of whether you obtained a degree or not. (check one or more as appropriate)

**k 35a**

---

Respondent's field of employment in 1945

Years: 1978

- |                      |                         |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 – State Government | 6 – Private industry    |
| 2 – Local Government | 7 – Teaching            |
| 3 – Federal-civilian | 8 – Other profession(s) |
| 4 – Federal military | 9 – Farming             |
| 5 – Own business     |                         |

1978

Listed below are various types of employment categories and years (at five-year intervals). Would you please trace your career/employment pattern from its start (first job) by marking(X) in appropriate boxes for the categories where you held primary employment on July 1 of the years indicated?

**k 36a**

---

Respondent's field of employment in 1950

Years: 1978

- |                      |                         |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 – State Government | 6 – Private industry    |
| 2 – Local Government | 7 – Teaching            |
| 3 – Federal-civilian | 8 – Other profession(s) |
| 4 – Federal military | 9 – Farming             |
| 5 – Own business     |                         |

1978

Listed below are various types of employment categories and years (at five-year intervals). Would you please trace your career/employment pattern from its start (first job) by marking(X) in appropriate boxes for the categories where you held primary employment on July 1 of the years indicated?

**k 37a**

---

Respondent's field of employment in 1955

Years: 1978

- |                      |                      |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1 – State Government | 3 – Federal-civilian |
| 2 – Local Government | 4 – Federal military |

- 5 – Own business
- 6 – Private industry
- 7 – Teaching

- 8 – Other profession(s)
- 9 – Farming

1978

Listed below are various types of employment categories and years (at five-year intervals). Would you please trace your career/employment pattern from its start (first job) by marking(X) in appropriate boxes for the categories where you held primary employment on July 1 of the years indicated?

**k 38a**

---

Respondent's field of employment in 1960

Years: 1978

- |                      |                         |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 – State Government | 6 – Private industry    |
| 2 – Local Government | 7 – Teaching            |
| 3 – Federal-civilian | 8 – Other profession(s) |
| 4 – Federal military | 9 – Farming             |
| 5 – Own business     |                         |

1978

Listed below are various types of employment categories and years (at five-year intervals). Would you please trace your career/employment pattern from its start (first job) by marking(X) in appropriate boxes for the categories where you held primary employment on July 1 of the years indicated?

**k 39a**

---

Respondent's field of employment in 1965

Years: 1978

- |                      |                         |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 – State Government | 6 – Private industry    |
| 2 – Local Government | 7 – Teaching            |
| 3 – Federal-civilian | 8 – Other profession(s) |
| 4 – Federal military | 9 – Farming             |
| 5 – Own business     |                         |

1978

Listed below are various types of employment categories and years (at five-year intervals). Would you please trace your career/employment pattern from its start (first job) by marking(X) in appropriate boxes for the categories where you held primary employment on July 1 of the years indicated?

**k 40a**

---

Respondent's field of employment in 1970

Years: 1978

- 1 – State Government
- 2 – Local Government
- 3 – Federal-civilian
- 4 – Federal military
- 5 – Own business

- 6 – Private industry
- 7 – Teaching
- 8 – Other profession(s)
- 9 – Farming

1978

Listed below are various types of employment categories and years (at five-year intervals). Would you please trace your career/employment pattern from its start (first job) by marking(X) in appropriate boxes for the categories where you held primary employment on July 1 of the years indicated?

**k 41a**

Respondent's field of employment in 1975

Years: 1978

- 1 – State Government
- 2 – Local Government
- 3 – Federal-civilian
- 4 – Federal military
- 5 – Own business

- 6 – Private industry
- 7 – Teaching
- 8 – Other profession(s)
- 9 – Farming

1978

Listed below are various types of employment categories and years (at five-year intervals). Would you please trace your career/employment pattern from its start (first job) by marking(X) in appropriate boxes for the categories where you held primary employment on July 1 of the years indicated?

## **Reorganization, Reform, Contracting & Collaboration**

*Contracting organizations, government reform, agency goals, purpose of reorganization*

**l 1a**

In recent years, some state agencies have used contracts (or contacting out) to outsource the delivery services to the public. Does your agency use such contracts?

Years: 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

2018

In recent years, some state agencies have used contracts, or contracting out, to outsource the delivery of services to the public. Does your agency use such contracts? (If no, go to question 37 under Policy Tools)

2008



In recent years, some state agencies have used contracts (or contracting out) to outsource the delivery services to the public. Does your agency use such contracts?

2004

In recent years, some states have used contracts (or contracting out) to deliver services to the public. Does your agency use such contracts?

1998

In recent years, some governments and agencies have used contracts (or contracting out) to deliver services to the public. Does your agency use such contracts?

### **1 1b**

---

Currently, about what percentage of your agency's budget is allocated to contracting for delivery of services to the public?

Years: 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – 0% (“No” response to 1\_1a)

1 – 5% or less

2 – 6-10%

3 – 11-20%

4 – 21-30%

5 – 31-40%

6 – Over 40%

2018

Currently, about what percentage of your agency's budget is allocated to contracting for delivery of services to the public?

2008

Currently, about what percentage of your agency's budget is allocated to contracting for delivery of services to the public?

2004

Currently, about what percentage of your agency's budget is allocated to contracts for delivering services to the public?

1998

Currently, about what percentage of your agency's budget is allocated to contracts for delivering services to the public?

### **1 2a**

---

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? **Other Governments**

Years: 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – No

1 – Yes

2018

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? ...other governments?

2008

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? - Other Governments

2004

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? - Other Governments

1998

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? - Other Governments

**I 2b**

---

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? **Non-profit Organizations**

Years: 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – No

1 – Yes

2018

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? ...non-profit organizations?

2008

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? - Non-profit Organizations

2004

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? - Non-profit Organizations

1998

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? - Non-profit Organizations

**I 2c**

---

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? **For-profit Businesses**

Years: 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – No  
1 – Yes

2018

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? ...for-profit businesses?

2008

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? - For-profit Businesses

2004

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? - For-profit Businesses

1998

Does your agency contract with any of the following sources to deliver goods and services to the public? - For-profit Businesses

**1 2d**

---

Also, over the past four years, how has the amount of contracting changed? **Other Governments**  
Years: 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – No change  
1 – Increase  
2 – Decrease

2018

For each source with which you contract, please indicate how the amount of contracting has changed over the past four years. ...other governments?

2008

Also, over the past four years, how has the amount of contracting changed? - Other governments

2004

Over the past four years, has the amount of contracting with each source changed? - Other governments

1998

Over the past four years, has the amount of contracting with each source changed? - Other governments

**1 2e**

---

Also, over the past four years, how has the amount of contracting changed? **Non-profit Organizations**

Years: 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – No change

1 – Increase

2 – Decrease

2018

For each source with which you contract, please indicate how the amount of contracting has changed over the past four years. ...non-profit organizations?

2008

Also, over the past four years, how has the amount of contracting changed? - Non-profit Organizations

2004

Over the past four years, has the amount of contracting with each source changed? - Non-profit Organizations

1998

Over the past four years, has the amount of contracting with each source changed? - Non-profit Organizations

**1.2f**

---

Also, over the past four years, how has the amount of contracting changed? **For-profit Businesses**  
Years: 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

0 – No change

1 – Increase

2 – Decrease

2018

For each source with which you contract, please indicate how the amount of contracting has changed over the past four years. ...for-profit businesses?

2008

Also, over the past four years, how has the amount of contracting changed? - For-profit Businesses

2004

Over the past four years, has the amount of contracting with each source changed? - For-profit Businesses

1998

Over the past four years, has the amount of contracting with each source changed? - For-profit Businesses

**1.3a**

---

How has contacting out affected: The quality of services your agency delivers to the public?  
Years: 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 0 – No effect
- 1 – Increased
- 2 – Decreased
- 3 – Don't know

2018

How has contacting out affected the following aspects of your agency? The quality of services your agency delivers to the public.

2008

How has contacting out affected: The quality of services your agency delivers to the public?

2004

How has contacting out affected: The quality of services your agency delivers to the public?

1998

How has contracting out affected the quality of services your agency delivers to the public?

---

**1 3b**

How has contacting out affected: The cost to your agency of delivering services to the public?  
Years: 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

- 0 – No effect
- 1 – Increased
- 2 – Decreased
- 3 – Don't know

2018

How has contacting out affected the following aspects of your agency? The cost to your agency of delivering services to the public.

2008

How has contacting out affected: The cost to your agency of delivering services to the public?

2004

How has contacting out affected: The cost to your agency of delivering services to the public?

1998

How has contracting out affected the cost to your agency of delivering services to the public?

---

**1 3c**

How has contacting out affected: Your agency's ability to respond to the needs of the public?  
Years: 2004, 2008, 2018

- 0 – No effect
- 1 – Increased
- 2 – Decreased

2018

How has contacting out affected the following aspects of your agency? Your agency's ability to respond to the needs of the public.

2008

How has contacting out affected: Your agency's ability to respond to the needs of the public?

2004

How has contacting out affected: Your agency's ability to respond to the needs of the public?

**I 3d**

---

How has contacting out affected: Agency's accountability for contracted services delivery?

Years: 2004, 2008, 2018

- 0 – No effect
- 1 – Increased
- 2 – Decreased

2018

How has contacting out affected the following aspects of your agency? Agency accountability for contracted service delivery.

2008

How has contacting out affected: Agency's accountability for contracted services delivery?

2004

How has contacting out affected: Agency's accountability for contracted services delivery?

**I 4a**

---

How would you rate the following aspects of contracting for service delivery: The extent of competition among the contract providers your agency uses?

Years: 2004, 2008, 2018

- 1 – Very low
- 2 – Low
- 3 – Moderate
- 4 – High
- 5 – Very high

2018

How much competition is there among the contractors your agency uses?

2008

How would you rate the following aspects of contracting for service delivery: The extent of competition among the contract providers your agency uses?

2004

How would you characterize or rate: The extent of competition among the contract providers your agency uses?

**I 4b**

---

How would you rate the following aspects of contracting for service delivery: Public satisfaction with agency services provided by your contractors?

Years: 2004, 2008, 2018

- 1 – Very low
- 2 – Low
- 3 – Moderate
- 4 – High
- 5 – Very high

2018

How satisfied is the public with the agency services provided by your contractors?

2008

How would you rate the following aspects of contracting for service delivery: Public satisfaction with agency services provided by your contractors?

2004

How would you characterize or rate: Public satisfaction with agency services provided by your contractors?

**I 4c**

---

How would you rate the following aspects of contracting for service delivery: Your agency's ability to monitor contract provisions?

Years: 2004, 2008, 2018

- 1 – Very low
- 2 – Low
- 3 – Moderate
- 4 – High
- 5 – Very high

2018

How well is your agency able to monitor contract provisions?

2008

How would you rate the following aspects of contracting for service delivery: Your agency's ability to monitor contract provisions?

2004

How would you characterize or rate: Your agency's ability to monitor contract provisions?

**I 4d**

---

How would you rate the following aspects of contracting for service delivery: How much your agency collaborates with contractors to identify and solve problems?

Years: 2008, 2018

- 1 – Very low
- 2 – Low
- 3 – Moderate
- 4 – High
- 5 – Very high

2018

How well does your agency collaborate with contractors to identify and solve problems?

2008

How would you rate the following aspects of contracting for service delivery: How much your agency collaborates with contractors to identify and solve problems?

**I 4e**

---

How often do your contractors lobby your agency to change its policies and priorities?

Years: 2018

- 0 – Never
- 1 – Rarely
- 2 – Sometimes
- 3 – Very Often
- 4 – Extremely Often

2018

How often do your contractors lobby your agency to change its policies and priorities?

**I 5a**

---

Please assess on your agency's past (or continuing) decisions to contract out important service delivery programs. How controversial or contentious were (are) these decisions?

Years: 2004, 2008

- 1 – 1 (low/routine)
- 2 – 2
- 3 – 3



4 – 4

5 – 5 (high/controversial)

2008

Please assess on your agency's past (or continuing) decisions to contract out important service delivery programs. How controversial or contentious were (are) these decisions?

2004

Please assess on your agency's past (or continuing) decisions to contract out important service delivery programs. How controversial or contentious were (are) these decisions?

---

**I 6a**

Approximately what percent of your time, administratively, is spent dealing with contract matters?  
Years: 2008

1 – Under 10%

2 – 10-20%

3 – 21-30%

4 – Over 30%

2008

Approximately what percent of your time, administratively, is spent dealing with contract matters?

**I 6b**

Approximately what percent of your time, administratively, is spent dealing with contract matters?

Years: 2018

1 – 5% or less

2 – 6 to 20%

3 – 21 to 40%

4 – 41 to 60%

5 – 61 to 80%

6 – Over 80%

2018

Approximately what percent of your time, administratively, is spent dealing with contract matters?

---

**I 7a**

Recently, some states have undertaken changes or "reforms" that go by such names as "reinventing government" or "redesigning government." Regardless of the name applied, has your state recently experienced such reforms?

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

- 1 – Yes
- 2 – Don't Know

Note: In 2004, 2 – Don't Know was not a response option.

2008

Recently, some states have undertaken changes or "reforms" that go by such names as "reinventing government" or "redesigning government." Regardless of the name applied, has your state recently experienced such reforms?

2004

Recently, some states have undertaken changes or "reforms" that go by such names as "reinventing government," "redesigning government," or creating "entrepreneurial management." Regardless of the exact name applied, has your state experienced such reforms?

1998

Recently, some states have undertaken changes or "reforms" that go by such names as "reinventing government," "redesigning government," or creating "entrepreneurial government." Regardless of the exact name applied, has your state experienced such reforms within the last four years?

1994

Recently, some states have undertaken changes or "reforms" that go by such names as "reinventing government," "redesigning government," or creating "entrepreneurial government." Regardless of the name applied, has your state experienced such reforms within the last four years?

**1\_7b**

---

IF YES [to 1\_7a]: In general, how would you rate the overall results of the reforms?

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

- 1 – Poor
- 2 – Fair
- 3 – Good
- 4 – Excellent

2008

In general, how would you rate the overall results of the reforms?

2004

In general, how would you rate the overall results of the reforms?

1998

In general, how would you rate the overall results of the reforms?

1994

In general, how would you rate the overall results of the reforms?

**I 7c**

---

Did the reform effort directly affect your agency?

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2 – Don't know

Note: there are no response options for 2 – Don't know in 2008.

2008

Did the reform effort directly affect your agency?

2004

Did the reform effort directly affect your agency?

1998

Did the reform effort directly affect your agency?

1994

Did the reform effort directly affect your agency?

**I 7d**

---

IF YES [to I\_7c]: Were the effects on your agency:

Years: 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008

1 – Poor

2 – Fair

3 – Good

4 – Excellent

2008

Were the effects on your agency: \_\_\_\_ Poor; \_\_\_\_ Fair; \_\_\_\_ Good; \_\_\_\_ Excellent

2004

Were the effects on your agency: \_\_\_\_ Poor; \_\_\_\_ Fair; \_\_\_\_ Good; \_\_\_\_ Excellent

1998

Were the effects on your agency: \_\_\_\_ Poor; \_\_\_\_ Fair; \_\_\_\_ Good; \_\_\_\_ Excellent

1994

Were the effects on your agency: \_\_\_\_ Poor; \_\_\_\_ Fair; \_\_\_\_ Good; \_\_\_\_ Excellent

**I 8a**

---

Has your State experienced a major State reorganization (structural reform) within the past ten years?

Years: 1974, 1994

0 – No

1 – Yes

2 – Don't know

1994

Has your State experienced a major State reorganization (structural reform) within the past ten years?

1974

Has your State experienced a major State reorganization within the past ten years?

---

**1\_8b**

IF YES [to 1\_8a]: How many years has it been since the reorganization took place?

Years: 1978, 1994

Continuous

Note: Responses from 1994 were subtracted from 94 to get the number of years between the survey year and when the reorganization took place.

1994

IF YES (to previous): About when did the reorganization take place? Approximate year: 19\_ \_

1978

When was the last major reorganization?

---

**1\_8c**

In general, how would you rate the overall results of the reorganization?

Years: 1974, 1994

1 – Poor

2 – Fair

3 – Good

4 – Excellent

1994

In general, how would you rate the overall results of the reorganization?

1974

In general, how would you rate the overall results of the reorganization?

---

**1\_8d**

Did the reorganization directly affect your agency?

Years: 1974, 1978, 1994

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes
- 2 – Don't know

1994

Did the reorganization directly affect your agency?

1978

Did the last major reorganization affect your agency?

1974

Did the reorganization directly affect your agency?

**1\_8e**

---

IF YES [to 1\_8d]: Were the effects on your agency:

Years: 1974, 1978, 1994

- 1 – Poor
- 2 – Fair
- 3 – Good
- 4 – Excellent

1994

IF YES: Were the effects on your agency: \_\_\_\_ Poor; \_\_\_\_ Fair; \_\_\_\_ Good; \_\_\_\_ Excellent

1978

How would you rate the overall effects of the reorganization on your agency? \_\_\_\_ Poor; \_\_\_\_ Fair; \_\_\_\_ Good; \_\_\_\_ Excellent

1974

IF YES: Were the effects on your agency: \_\_\_\_ Poor; \_\_\_\_ Fair; \_\_\_\_ Good; \_\_\_\_ Excellent

**1\_8f**

---

Do you think that your State is presently in need of a major reorganization?

Years: 1974, 1978, 1994

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes
- 2 – Don't know

1994

Do you think that your State is presently in need of a major reorganization?

1978

Do you think that your State is presently in need of a major reorganization?

1974

Do you think that your State is presently in need of a major reorganization?

1\_8g

---

If Yes [to 1\_8f]: Should your agency be included in such a reorganization?

Years: 1974, 1978, 1994

0 – No

1 – Yes

2 – Don't know

1994

Should your agency be included in such a reorganization?

1978

Should your agency be included in such a reorganization?

1974

Should your agency be included in such a reorganization?

1\_9a

---

From time to time, state agencies undertake to change the way they do things. Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Training program to improve client or customer service**

Years: 1994, 1998

0 – 0, No changes considered

1 – 1, Considered but no action yet

2 – 2, Action(s) planned

3 – 3, Partially implemented

4 – 4, Fully implemented

1998

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Training program to improve client or customer service**

1994

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implement each of the following over the last four years: **Training program to improve client or customer service**

1\_9b

---

From time to time, state agencies undertake to change the way they do things. Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Quality improvement programs to encourage team problem solving and empower employees**

Years: 1994, 1998

- 0 – 0, No changes considered
- 1 – 1, Considered but no action yet
- 2 – 2, Action(s) planned
- 3 – 3, Partially implemented
- 4 – 4, Fully implemented

1998

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Quality improvement programs to encourage team problem solving and empower employees**

1994

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following over the last four years: **Quality improvement programs to encourage team problem solving and empower employees**

**1 9c**

---

From time to time, state agencies undertake to change the way they do things. Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Benchmarks for measuring program outcomes or results**

Years: 1994, 1998

- 0 – 0, No changes considered
- 1 – 1, Considered but no action yet
- 2 – 2, Action(s) planned
- 3 – 3, Partially implemented
- 4 – 4, Fully implemented

1998

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Benchmarks for measuring program outcomes or results**

1994

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following over the last four years: **Benchmarks for measuring program outcomes or results**

**1 9d**

---

From time to time, state agencies undertake to change the way they do things. Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Strategic planning that produces clear agency mission statements**

Years: 1994, 1998

- 0 – 0, No changes considered
- 1 – 1, Considered but no action yet

- 2 – 2, Action(s) planned
- 3 – 3, Partially implemented
- 4 – 4, Fully implemented

1998

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Strategic planning that produces clear agency mission statements**

1994

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following over the last four years: **Strategic planning that produces clear agency mission statements**

1.9e

---

From time to time, state agencies undertake to change the way they do things. Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Systems for measuring client or customer satisfaction**

Years: 1994, 1998

- 0 – 0, No changes considered
- 1 – 1, Considered but no action yet
- 2 – 2, Action(s) planned
- 3 – 3, Partially implemented
- 4 – 4, Fully implemented

1998

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Systems for measuring client or customer satisfaction**

1994

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following over the last four years: **Systems for measuring client or customer satisfaction**

1.9f

---

From time to time, state agencies undertake to change the way they do things. Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Simplification and relaxation of human resource (personnel) rules**

Years: 1994, 1998

- 0 – 0, No changes considered
- 1 – 1, Considered but no action yet
- 2 – 2, Action(s) planned
- 3 – 3, Partially implemented
- 4 – 4, Fully implemented



1998

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Simplification and relaxation of human resource (personnel) rules**

1994

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following over the last four years: **Simplification and relaxation of human resource (personnel) rules**

1.9g

---

From time to time, state agencies undertake to change the way they do things. Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Increase manager's discretion to transfer funds or carry over year-end funds**

Years: 1994, 1998

0 – 0, No changes considered

1 – 1, Considered but no action yet

2 – 2, Action(s) planned

3 – 3, Partially implemented

4 – 4, Fully implemented

1998

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Increase manager's discretion to transfer funds or carry over year-end funds**

1994

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following over the last four years: **Increase manager's discretion to transfer funds or carry over year-end funds**

1.9h

---

From time to time, state agencies undertake to change the way they do things. Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Privatization of major programs**

Years: 1994, 1998

0 – 0, No changes considered

1 – 1, Considered but no action yet

2 – 2, Action(s) planned

3 – 3, Partially implemented

4 – 4, Fully implemented

1998

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Privatization of major programs**

1994

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implement each of the following over the last four years: **Privatization of major programs**

I 9i

---

From time to time, state agencies undertake to change the way they do things. Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Reduction in the number of levels in the agency hierarchy**

Years: 1994, 1998

- 0 – 0, No changes considered
- 1 – 1, Considered but no action yet
- 2 – 2, Action(s) planned
- 3 – 3, Partially implemented
- 4 – 4, Fully implemented

1998

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Reduction in the number of levels in the agency hierarchy**

1994

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implement each of the following over the last four years: **Reduction in the number of levels in the agency hierarchy**

I 9j

---

From time to time, state agencies undertake to change the way they do things. Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Decentralization of decision making to lower organization levels**

Years: 1994, 1998

- 0 – 0, No changes considered
- 1 – 1, Considered but no action yet
- 2 – 2, Action(s) planned
- 3 – 3, Partially implemented
- 4 – 4, Fully implemented

1998

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Decentralization of decision making to lower organization levels**

1994

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implement each of the following over the last four years: **Decentralization of decision making to lower organization levels**

I 9k

---

From time to time, state agencies undertake to change the way they do things. Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Greater discretion in procurement of goods and supplies**

Years: 1994, 1998

- 0 – 0, No changes considered
- 1 – 1, Considered but no action yet
- 2 – 2, Action(s) planned
- 3 – 3, Partially implemented
- 4 – 4, Fully implemented

1998

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following changes in the 1990s: **Greater discretion in procurement of goods and supplies**

1994

Please indicate (by circling) the extent to which your agency has implemented each of the following over the last four years: **Greater discretion in procurement of goods and supplies**

#### **I 10a**

---

Below are several organizational values or goals, with a rating scale for ranking each goal. Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals.

##### **Organizational Growth**

Years: 1994

- |                           |                            |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 – 1 (Lowest importance) | 5 – 5                      |
| 2 – 2                     | 6 – 6                      |
| 3 – 3                     | 7 – 7 (Highest importance) |
| 4 – 4                     |                            |

1994

Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals -- **Organizational growth**

#### **I 10b**

---

Below are several organizational values or goals, with a rating scale for ranking each goal. Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals.

##### **Budget Stability**

Years: 1994

- |                           |                            |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 – 1 (Lowest importance) | 5 – 5                      |
| 2 – 2                     | 6 – 6                      |
| 3 – 3                     | 7 – 7 (Highest importance) |
| 4 – 4                     |                            |

1994

Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals -- **Budget Stability**

**I 10c**

---

Below are several organizational values or goals, with a rating scale for ranking each goal. Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals.

**High Productivity**

Years: 1994

1 – 1 (Lowest importance)	5 – 5
2 – 2	6 – 6
3 – 3	7 – 7 (Highest importance)
4 – 4	

1994

Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals -- **High Productivity**

**I 10d**

---

Below are several organizational values or goals, with a rating scale for ranking each goal. Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals.

**High Moral**

Years: 1994

1 – 1 (Lowest importance)	5 – 5
2 – 2	6 – 6
3 – 3	7 – 7 (Highest importance)
4 – 4	

1994

Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals -- **High Moral**

**I 10e**

---

Below are several organizational values or goals, with a rating scale for ranking each goal. Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals.

**Efficiency**

Years: 1994

1 – 1 (Lowest importance)	5 – 5
2 – 2	6 – 6
3 – 3	7 – 7 (Highest importance)
4 – 4	

1994

Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals – **Efficiency**

**I 10f**

---

Below are several organizational values or goals, with a rating scale for ranking each goal. Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals.

**Effectiveness**

Years: 1994

1 – 1 (Lowest importance)	5 – 5
2 – 2	6 – 6
3 – 3	7 – 7 (Highest importance)
4 – 4	

1994

Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals – **Effectiveness**

**I 10g**

---

Below are several organizational values or goals, with a rating scale for ranking each goal. Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals.

**Customer Service**

Years: 1994

1 – 1 (Lowest importance)	5 – 5
2 – 2	6 – 6
3 – 3	7 – 7 (Highest importance)
4 – 4	

1994

Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals – **Customer Service**

**I 10h**

---

Below are several organizational values or goals, with a rating scale for ranking each goal. Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals.

**Quality**

Years: 1994

1 – 1 (Lowest importance)	5 – 5
2 – 2	6 – 6
3 – 3	7 – 7 (Highest importance)
4 – 4	

1994

Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals – **Quality**

**I 10i**

---

Below are several organizational values or goals, with a rating scale for ranking each goal. Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals.

**Organizational Reputation**

Years: 1994

1 – 1 (Lowest importance)	5 – 5
2 – 2	6 – 6
3 – 3	7 – 7 (Highest importance)
4 – 4	

1994

Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals – **Organizational Reputation**

**I 10j**

---

Below are several organizational values or goals, with a rating scale for ranking each goal. Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals.

**Organizational Leadership**

Years: 1994

1 – 1 (Lowest importance)	5 – 5
2 – 2	6 – 6
3 – 3	7 – 7 (Highest importance)
4 – 4	

1994

Please rank (by circling) the degree or level of importance you attach to each these organizational goals – **Organizational Leadership**

**I 11a**

---

State agencies often collaborate with other organizations to achieve effective program results. Does your agency engage in collaborative projects? Collaboration is defined as joint or multi-organizational arrangements to solve service delivery problems that cannot be solved easily or alone by your own agency. Collaboration involves shared goals, staff, resources, and results.

Years: 2008

0 – No  
1 – Yes

2008

Does your agency currently collaborate in joint projects/programs with other agencies/entities?

**I 11b**

---

How many collaborative programs/projects does your agency have with the following types of organizational entities? **Other Government**

Years: 2008

- 0 – None
- 1 – 1-3
- 2 – 4-6
- 3 – 7-10
- 4 – 11 or more

2008

How many collaborative programs/projects does your agency have with the following types of organizational entities? -- **Other Government**

**I 11c**

---

How many collaborative programs/projects does your agency have with the following types of organizational entities? **Non-profit Organizations**

Years: 2008

- 0 – None
- 1 – 1-3
- 2 – 4-6
- 3 – 7-10
- 4 – 11 or more

2008

How many collaborative programs/projects does your agency have with the following types of organizational entities? -- **Non-profit Organizations**

**I 11d**

---

How many collaborative programs/projects does your agency have with the following types of organizational entities? **For-profit Businesses**

Years: 2008

- 0 – None
- 1 – 1-3
- 2 – 4-6
- 3 – 7-10
- 4 – 11 or more

2008

How many collaborative programs/projects does your agency have with the following types of organizational entities? -- **For-profit Businesses**

**I 12a**

---

Please consider the overall impacts of collaborative programs/projects currently pursued by your agency. What is your assessment of the effects of collaboration(s) on the following? **Scope/extent of services provided**

Years: 2008

0 – No change

1 – Increased

2 – Decreased

2008

What is your assessment of the effects of collaboration(s) on the following? -- **Scope/extent of services provided**

---

**I 12b**

Please consider the overall impacts of collaborative programs/projects currently pursued by your agency. What is your assessment of the effects of collaboration(s) on the following? **Quality of services provided**

Years: 2008

0 – No change

1 – Increased

2 – Decreased

2008

What is your assessment of the effects of collaboration(s) on the following? -- **Quality of services provided**

---

**I 12c**

Please consider the overall impacts of collaborative programs/projects currently pursued by your agency. What is your assessment of the effects of collaboration(s) on the following? **Achievement of agency goals**

Years: 2008

0 – No change

1 – Increased

2 – Decreased

2008

What is your assessment of the effects of collaboration(s) on the following? -- **Achievement of agency goals**

---

**I 12d**



Please consider the overall impacts of collaborative programs/projects currently pursued by your agency. What is your assessment of the effects of collaboration(s) on the following? **Public satisfaction with results**

Years: 2008

- 0 – No change
- 1 – Increased
- 2 – Decreased

2008

What is your assessment of the effects of collaboration(s) on the following? -- **Public satisfaction with results**

---

**I 13a**

What are your views in response to the following statements about your agency's collaboration efforts? Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement, varying from strongly agree (SA), agree (A), neither agree/disagree (N), disagree (D), or strongly disagree (SD). **Collaboration is currently important in meeting agency goals**

Years: 2008

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neither agree nor disagree
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

2008

Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement -- **Collaboration is currently important in meeting agency goals**

---

**I 13b**

What are your views in response to the following statements about your agency's collaboration efforts? Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement, varying from strongly agree (SA), agree (A), neither agree/disagree (N), disagree (D), or strongly disagree (SD). **Collaboration has been an important past strategy**

Years: 2008

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neither agree nor disagree
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

2008

Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement -- **Collaboration has been an important past strategy**

### **1 13c**

---

What are your views in response to the following statements about your agency's collaboration efforts? Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement, varying from strongly agree (SA), agree (A), neither agree/disagree (N), disagree (D), or strongly disagree (SD). **Achieving agency goals will require greater future collaboration**

Years: 2008

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Neither agree nor disagree
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

2008

Please indicate your level of agreement or disagreement -- **Achieving agency goals will require greater future collaboration**

### **1 14a**

---

Approximately what percent of your time, administratively, is spent dealing with agency collaboration efforts?

Years: 2008

- 1 – Under 10%
- 2 – 10-20%
- 3 – 21-30%
- 4 – Over 30%

2008

Approximately what percent of your time, administratively, is spent dealing with agency collaboration efforts?

### **1 15a**

---

How long did the last major reorganization take?

Years: 1978

- 1 – Less than 1 year
- 2 – 1-2 years
- 3 – 2+ years
- 4 – Don't know

1978

How long did the last major reorganization take?

### **1 16a**

---

If Yes [to 1\_8d]: Did the reorganization: increase agency efficiency or productivity?

Years: 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

1978

If Yes (affect agency): Did the reorganization: increase agency efficiency or productivity?

---

**1\_16b**

If Yes [to 1\_8d]: Did the reorganization: transfer programs or functions from your agency?

Years: 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

1978

If Yes: Did the reorganization: transfer programs or functions from your agency?

---

**1\_16c**

If Yes [to 1\_8d]: Did the reorganization: transfer programs or functions to your agency?

Years: 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

1978

If Yes: Did the reorganization: transfer programs or functions to your agency?

---

**1\_16d**

If Yes [to 1\_8d]: Did the reorganization: have the net effect of expanding your agency?

Years: 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

1978

If Yes: Did the reorganization: have the net effect of expanding your agency?

---

**1\_16e**

If Yes [to 1\_8d]: Did the reorganization: increase the governor's control over your agency?

Years: 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

1978

If Yes: Did the reorganization: increase the governor's control over your agency?

**l\_16f**

---

If Yes [to l\_8d]: Did the reorganization: decrease the governor's control over your agency?

Years: 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

1978

If Yes: Did the reorganization: decrease the governor's control over your agency?

**l\_16g**

---

If Yes [to l\_8d]: Did the reorganization: positively affect contacts with federal agencies?

Years: 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

1978

If Yes: Did the reorganization: positively affect contacts with federal agencies?

**l\_16h**

---

If Yes [to l\_8d]: Did the reorganization: negatively affect contacts with federal agencies?

Years: 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

1978

If Yes: Did the reorganization: negatively affect contacts with federal agencies?

## **Exceptional Questions**

*Miscellaneous questions only asked on select survey years*

**m\_1a**

---

Degree to which the governor's item veto authority helps the governor exert control over the respondent's agency

Years: 1978, 1988

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

4 – Does not apply

1988

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Item veto

1978

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Item veto

**m\_2a**

---

Degree to which the governor's general veto power helps the governor exert control over the respondent's agency

Years: 1978, 1988

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Does not apply

1988

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Veto power (general)

1978

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Veto power (general)

**m\_3a**

---

Degree to which the governor's budget authority helps the governor exert control over the respondent's agency

Years: 1978, 1988

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Does not apply

1988

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Budget authority

1978

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Budget authority

**m\_4a**

---

Degree to which the governor's appointment power(s) helps the governor exert control over the respondent's agency

Years: 1978, 1988

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Does not apply

1988

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Appointment powers

1978

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Appointment powers

---

**m\_5a**

Degree to which the governor's removal power(s) helps the governor exert control over the respondent's agency

Years: 1978, 1988

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Does not apply

1988

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Removal power(s)

1978

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Removal power(s)

---

**m\_6a**

Degree to which the governor's reelection prospects helps the governor exert control over the respondent's agency

Years: 1978, 1988

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High

4 – Does not apply

1988

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Reelection prospects

1978

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Reelection prospects

---

**m 7a**

Degree to which the governor's electoral mandate helps the governor exert control over the respondent's agency

Years: 1978, 1988

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

4 – Does not apply

1988

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Electoral mandate

1978

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Electoral mandate

---

**m 8a**

Degree to which the governor's legislative skill helps the governor exert control over the respondent's agency

Years: 1978, 1988

0 – None

1 – Slight

2 – Moderate

3 – High

4 – Does not apply

1988

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Legislative skill

1978

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Legislative skill

**m\_9a**

---

Degree to which the governor's management skill helps the governor exert control over the respondent's agency

Years: 1978, 1988

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Does not apply

1988

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Management skill

1978

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Management skill

**m\_10a**

---

Degree to which the governor's staff's skills helps the governor exert control over the respondent's agency

Years: 1978, 1988

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Does not apply

1988

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Staff's skill

1978

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Staff's skill

**m\_11a**

---

*Not in data set*

**m\_12a**

---

Degree to which the governor's interest in agency programs helps the governor exert control over the respondent's agency



Years: 1978, 1988

- 0 – None
- 1 – Slight
- 2 – Moderate
- 3 – High
- 4 – Does not apply

1988

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Interest in your agency's program

1978

What degree of influence do each of the following factors have in helping the Governor exercise control over your agency? Interest in your agency's program

---

**m 13a**

In the past years, any State court decisions have significantly impacted the respondent's agency.  
Years: 1988

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

1988

In the past five years, have any State court decisions impacted significantly on your agency?  
\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

---

**m 14a**

If State courts have influenced respondent's agency, the court decision require changes in administrative procedures.  
Years: 1978, 1988

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

1988

In the past five years, have any State court decisions impacted significantly on your agency? If YES, have the state court decisions required: changes in administrative procedures?  
\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

1978

In the past five years have any State Court decisions required your agency: to alter significantly its administrative procedures or policies? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

---

**m 15a**

If State courts have influenced respondent's agency, the court decision require alteration of existing program(s) or new program(s).

Years: 1978, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

In the past five years, have any State court decisions impacted significantly on your agency? If YES, have the state court decisions required: alteration of existing program?

\_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_No ... initiation of new programs? \_\_\_ Yes; No \_\_\_

1978

In the past five years have any State Court decisions required your agency: to alter significantly any existing substantive programs or to initiate new programs? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_No

---

**m\_16a**

If State courts have influenced respondent's agency, the court decision require substantial budget increases.

Years: 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

In the past five years, have any State court decisions impacted significantly on your agency? If YES, have the state court decisions required: alteration of new programs? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_No

---

**m\_17a**

In the past years, any Federal court decisions have significantly impacted the respondent's agency.

Years: 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

In the past five years, have any Federal court decisions impacted significantly on your agency? \_\_\_ Yes; \_\_\_ No

---

**m\_18a**

If Fed courts have influenced respondent's agency, the court decision require changes in administrative procedures.

Years: 1978, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

In the past five years, have any Federal court decisions impacted significantly on your agency? If YES, have the state court decisions required: changes in administrative procedures?

☐ Yes; ☐ No

1978

In the past five years have any Federal Court decisions required your agency: to alter significantly its administrative procedures or policies? ☐ Yes; ☐ No

---

**m 19a**

If Federal courts have influenced respondent's agency, the court decision require alteration of existing program(s) or new program(s).

Years: 1978, 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

In the past five years, have any Federal court decisions impacted significantly on your agency? If YES, have the state court decisions required: alteration of existing program?

☐ Yes; ☐ No ... initiation of new programs? ☐ Yes; No ☐

1978

In the past five years have any Federal Court decisions required your agency: to alter significantly any existing substantive programs or to initiate new programs? ☐ Yes ☐ No

---

**m 20a**

If Federal courts have influenced respondent's agency, the court decision require substantial budget increases.

Years: 1988

0 – No

1 – Yes

1988

In the past five years, have any Federal court decisions impacted significantly on your agency? If YES, have the state court decisions required: alteration of new programs? ☐ Yes; ☐ No

---

**m 21a**

Respondent is extensively, moderately, slightly, or not aware of the impact of general revenue sharing funds within the state.

Years: 1978

0 – Not aware

1 – Slightly

- 2 – Moderately
- 3 – Extensively

1978

How aware are you of the impact or use of GRS funds within your state? Is your awareness? \_\_\_\_  
extensive \_\_ moderate \_\_ slight \_\_ nil

---

**m\_22a**

Amount of general revenue sharing funds money received by respondent's State government annually.

Years: 1978

Continuous

Note: Outlier data point. Year: 1978, State: Georgia, Funcat: Elected Officials.

1978

About how much GRS money does your State Government receive annually?  
\_\_\_\_\_ (millions \$)

---

**m\_23a**

Respondent believes general revenue sharing funds have a favorable, unfavorable, unknown, or no influence on agency finances.

Years: 1978

- 0 – No influence
- 1 – Unfavorable influence
- 2 – Favorable influence
- 3 – Don't know

1978

What do you see as the recent impact of GRS funds on the finances of your agency? \_\_\_\_  
favorable \_\_ no influence \_\_ unfavorable \_\_ don't know

---

**m\_23b**

Respondent believes general revenue sharing funds have a favorable, unfavorable, unknown, or no influence on agency finances.

Years: 1974

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes
- 2 – Don't know

1974

During 1973 were your agency's finances or program levels favorably influenced by the availability of GRS funds? \_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ Don't know

**m 24a**

---

Respondent agrees or disagrees with the idea of general revenue sharing.

Years: 1978, 1974

- 1 – Strongly agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly disagree

1978

Do you agree or disagree with the idea of General Revenue Sharing? \_Strongly agree \_Agree  
\_undecided \_disagree \_strongly disagree

1974

Do you agree or disagree with the idea of General Revenue Sharing? \_Strongly Agree \_Agree  
\_Undecided \_Disagree \_Strongly Disagree

**m 25a**

---

Respondent took actions in 1973 to obtain general revenue sharing funds for the agency.

Years: 1974

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

1974

Did you take any actions or make any efforts during 1973 to obtain GRS funds for your agency?  
\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

**m 25b**

---

If respondent took actions in 1973 to obtain general revenue sharing funds for the agency,  
respondent personally spoke with the governor.

Years: 1974

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

1974

Did you take any actions or make any efforts during 1973 to obtain GRS funds for your agency?  
\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ NO IF YES: Did you personally talk with any of the following about obtaining  
GRS funds? (check any applicable) governor

**m 25c**

---

If respondent took actions in 1973 to obtain general revenue sharing funds for the agency,  
respondent personally spoke with legislator(s).

Years: 1974

0 – No  
1 – Yes

1974

Did you take any actions or make any efforts during 1973 to obtain GRS funds for your agency?  
\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ NO IF YES: Did you personally talk with any of the following about obtaining  
GRS funds? (check any applicable) legislator(s)

---

**m 25d**

If respondent took actions in 1973 to obtain general revenue sharing funds for the agency,  
respondent personally spoke with state budget personnel.  
Years: 1974

0 – No  
1 – Yes

1974

Did you take any actions or make any efforts during 1973 to obtain GRS funds for your agency?  
\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ NO IF YES: Did you personally talk with any of the following about obtaining  
GRS funds? (check any applicable) state budget personnel

---

**m 25e**

If respondent took actions in 1973 to obtain general revenue sharing funds for the agency,  
respondent personally spoke with clientele group(s).  
Years: 1974

0 – No  
1 – Yes

1974

Did you take any actions or make any efforts during 1973 to obtain GRS funds for your agency?  
\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ NO IF YES: Did you personally talk with any of the following about obtaining  
GRS funds? (check any applicable) clientele group(s)

---

**m 25f**

If respondent took actions in 1973 to obtain general revenue sharing funds for the agency,  
respondent personally spoke with administrative superior(s).  
Years: 1974

0 – No  
1 – Yes

1974

Did you take any actions or make any efforts during 1973 to obtain GRS funds for your agency?  
\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ NO IF YES: Did you personally talk with any of the following about obtaining  
GRS funds? (check any applicable) administrative superior(s)

**m\_25g**

---

If respondent took actions in 1973 to obtain general revenue sharing funds for the agency,  
respondent personally spoke with professional associates.

Years: 1974

0 – No

1 – Yes

1974

Did you take any actions or make any efforts during 1973 to obtain GRS funds for your agency?  
\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ NO IF YES: Did you personally talk with any of the following about obtaining  
GRS funds? (check any applicable) professional associates

**m\_26a**

---

Has respondent's agency received any direct allocations of general revenue sharing money?

Years: 1974

0 – No

1 – Yes

1974

Has your agency received any direct allocations of GRS money? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

**m\_26b**

---

If respondent's agency has received direct allocations of general revenue sharing money, amount  
of allocation.

Years: 1974

Continuous

Note: Outlier data point. Year: 1974, State: Ohio, Funcat: Education.

1974

Has your agency received any direct allocations of GRS money? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No If Yes: 3a. How  
much was allocated? \$ \_\_\_\_\_ (million)

**m\_27a**

---

Respondent requested general revenue sharing funds for the agency in the 1974-75 fiscal year. If  
yes, respondent believes chances of securing these funds was poor, fair, good, or excellent.

Years: 1974

0 – No

- 1 – Yes, poor
- 2 – Yes, fair
- 3 – Yes, good
- 4 – Yes, excellent

1974

Have you requested GRS funds for your agency for Fiscal 1974-75? \_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_ No. If Yes:  
What do you think are the chances of your securing GRS funds? \_\_\_\_ poor \_\_\_\_ fair \_\_\_\_ good \_\_\_\_  
excellent

---

**m 28a**

To the best of the respondent's knowledge, normal budget or special processes and procedures  
are used to allocate the State's general revenue sharing money.

Years: 1974

- 1 – Normal budget process
- 2 – Special procedure
- 3 – Don't know

1974

To the best of your knowledge were regular or normal budget processes used to allocate your  
State's GRS money or was a special procedure used? \_\_\_\_ normal budget process \_\_\_\_ special  
procedure \_\_\_\_ don't know

---

**m 29a**

In respondent's judgement, there as more, less, same, or unknown amount of conflict and  
competition over the allocation of the State's general revenue sharing money in 1973 than normal  
during the regular budget process.

Years: 1974

- 1 – More
- 2 – About the same
- 3 – Less
- 4 – Don't know

1974

In your judgment was there more conflict and competition over the allocation of your State's  
GRS money in 1973 than normally occurs during the regular budget process? The amount of  
conflict and competition was: \_\_\_\_more over GRS \_\_\_\_about the same \_\_\_\_less over GRS \_\_\_\_Don't  
know

---

**m 30a**

Respondent's opinion on who played the leading or most influential role in deciding on the use of  
the State's general revenue sharing monies (1=most influential). Ranking of "don't know."

Years: 1974



0 – 0	3 – 3	6 – 6
1 – 1	4 – 4	7 – 7
2 – 2	5 – 5	8 – 8

1974

In deciding on the use of your State's GRS monies, who played the leading or most influential roles? Please rank as many actors as possible in order of their respective influence on GRS allocations. (1=most influential, etc.) Don't know

**m 31a**

---

Respondent's opinion on who played the leading or most influential role in deciding on the use of the State's general revenue sharing monies (1=most influential). Ranking of State Senator(s).  
Years: 1974

0 – 0	3 – 3	6 – 6
1 – 1	4 – 4	7 – 7
2 – 2	5 – 5	8 – 8

1974

In deciding on the use of your State's GRS monies, who played the leading or most influential roles? Please rank as many actors as possible in order of their respective influence on GRS allocations. (1=most influential, etc.) State Senator(s)

**m 32a**

---

Respondent's opinion on who played the leading or most influential role in deciding on the use of the State's general revenue sharing monies (1=most influential). Ranking of State Representative(s)  
Years: 1974

0 – 0	3 – 3	6 – 6
1 – 1	4 – 4	7 – 7
2 – 2	5 – 5	8 – 8

1974

In deciding on the use of your State's GRS monies, who played the leading or most influential roles? Please rank as many actors as possible in order of their respective influence on GRS allocations. (1=most influential, etc.) State Representative(s)

**m 33a**

---

Respondent's opinion on who played the leading or most influential role in deciding on the use of the State's general revenue sharing monies (1=most influential). Ranking of the Governor.  
Years: 1974

0 – 0	1 – 1	2 – 2
-------	-------	-------

3 – 3  
4 – 4

5 – 5  
6 – 6

7 – 7  
8 – 8

1974

In deciding on the use of your State's GRS monies, who played the leading or most influential roles? Please rank as many actors as possible in order of their respective influence on GRS allocations. (1=most influential, etc.) The Governor

---

**m 34a**

Respondent's opinion on who played the leading or most influential role in deciding on the use of the State's general revenue sharing monies (1=most influential). Ranking of local government officials.

Years: 1974

0 – 0  
1 – 1  
2 – 2

3 – 3  
4 – 4  
5 – 5

6 – 6  
7 – 7  
8 – 8

1974

In deciding on the use of your State's GRS monies, who played the leading or most influential roles? Please rank as many actors as possible in order of their respective influence on GRS allocations. (1=most influential, etc.) Local government officials

---

**m 35a**

Respondent's opinion on who played the leading or most influential role in deciding on the use of the State's general revenue sharing monies (1=most influential). Ranking of interest groups.

Years: 1974

0 – 0  
1 – 1  
2 – 2

3 – 3  
4 – 4  
5 – 5

6 – 6  
7 – 7  
8 – 8

1974

In deciding on the use of your State's GRS monies, who played the leading or most influential roles? Please rank as many actors as possible in order of their respective influence on GRS allocations. (1=most influential, etc.) Interest groups

---

**m 36a**

Respondent's opinion on who played the leading or most influential role in deciding on the use of the State's general revenue sharing monies (1=most influential). Ranking of department agency heads.

Years: 1974

0 – 0	3 – 3	6 – 6
1 – 1	4 – 4	7 – 7
2 – 2	5 – 5	8 – 8

1974

In deciding on the use of your State's GRS monies, who played the leading or most influential roles? Please rank as many actors as possible in order of their respective influence on GRS allocations. (1=most influential, etc.) Department agency heads

**m 37a**

---

Respondent's opinion on who played the leading or most influential role in deciding on the use of the State's general revenue sharing monies (1=most influential). Ranking of state budget staff.

Years: 1974

0 – 0	3 – 3	6 – 6
1 – 1	4 – 4	7 – 7
2 – 2	5 – 5	8 – 8

1974

In deciding on the use of your State's GRS monies, who played the leading or most influential roles? Please rank as many actors as possible in order of their respective influence on GRS allocations. (1=most influential, etc.) State budget staff

**m 38a**

---

Respondent believes tax reduction is a major effect/impact of general revenue sharing in the state.

Years: 1974

0 – No  
1 – Yes

1974

What do you judge to be the major impacts of GRS in your state to date? Tax reduction

**m 39a**

---

Respondent believes prevention of tax increase is a major effect/impact of general revenue sharing in the state.

Years: 1974

0 – No  
1 – Yes

1974

What do you judge to be the major impacts of GRS in your state to date? Prevention of tax increase

**m\_40a**

---

Respondent believes increased capital outlays is a major effect/impact of general revenue sharing in the state.

Years: 1974

0 – No

1 – Yes

1974

What do you judge to be the major impacts of GRS in your state to date? Increased capital outlays

**m\_41a**

---

Respondent believes more funds for social programs is a major effect/impact of general revenue sharing in the state.

Years: 1974

0 – No

1 – Yes

1974

What do you judge to be the major impacts of GRS in your state to date? More funds for social programs

**m\_42a**

---

Respondent believes more funds for environmental programs is a major effect/impact of general revenue sharing in the state.

Years: 1974

0 – No

1 – Yes

1974

What do you judge to be the major impacts of GRS in your state to date? More funds for environmental programs

**m\_43a**

---

Respondent believes more funds to aid local governments is a major effect/impact of general revenue sharing in the state.

Years: 1974

0 – No

1 – Yes

1974

What do you judge to be the major impacts of GRS in your state to date? More funds to aid local governments

**m 44a**

---

Respondent believes avoidance of debt increase is a major effect/impact of general revenue sharing in the state.

Years: 1974

0 – No

1 – Yes

1974

What do you judge to be the major impacts of GRS in your state to date? Avoidance of debt increase

**m 45a**

---

Respondent believes lessened debt increase is a major effect/impact of general revenue sharing in the state.

Years: 1974

0 – No

1 – Yes

1974

What do you judge to be the major impacts of GRS in your state to date? Lessened debt increase

**m 46a**

---

Respondent believes replacing Federal cutbacks is a major effect/impact of general revenue sharing in the state.

Years: 1974

0 – No

1 – Yes

1974

What do you judge to be the major impacts of GRS in your state to date? Replace Federal cutbacks

**m 47a**

---

Respondent would advise their own child or another young person to choose a career in private (for-profit) employment, public employment, or the non-profit sector.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1994, 1998, 2008

Note: Non-profit sector responses were coded as missing.

- 1 – Public employment
- 2 – Private employment

2008

If you were advising your own child or another young person regarding a career choice, which would you advise him or her to choose as a career? ☐ Private (for-profit) employment; ☐ Public employment; ☐ Non-profit sector

1998

If you were advising your own child or another young person regarding a career choice, which would you advise him or her to choose? ☐ private (for-profit) employment; ☐ public (governmental) employment...IF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, would you advise a position in ☐ Non-profit sector

1994

If you were advising your own child or another young person regarding a career choice, which would you advise him or her to choose? ☐ private (for-profit) employment; ☐ public (governmental) employment

1968

If you were advising your own child or another young person regarding a career choice, which would you advise him or her to choose? ☐ Private employment; ☐ Public employment

1964

If you were advising your own child or another young person regarding a career choice, which would you advise him or her to choose? ☐ Private employment; ☐ Public employment

**m\_47b**

---

If respondent would advise a young person to pursue a career in public employment, would respondent recommend the US Federal government?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1994, 1998, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

IF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, would you advise a position in (check one or more): National government

1998

IF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, would you advise a position in (check one or more): National government

1994

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following? National government

1968

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following? \_\_ US National government

1964

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following? \_\_ US National government

**m\_47c**

---

If respondent would advise a young person to pursue a career in public employment, would respondent recommend state government?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1994, 1998, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

IF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, would you advise a position in (check one or more): State government

1998

IF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, would you advise a position in (check one or more): State government

1994

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following? State government

1968

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following? \_\_ State government

1964

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following? \_\_ State government

**m\_47d**

---

If respondent would advise a young person to pursue a career in public employment, would respondent recommend county government?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1994, 1998, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

IF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, would you advise a position in (check one or more): County government

1998

IF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, would you advise a position in (check one or more): County government

1994

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following? County government

1968

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following?  
\_\_\_ County government

1964

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following?  
\_\_\_ County government

**m\_47e**

---

If respondent would advise a young person to pursue a career in public employment, would respondent recommend municipal government?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1994, 1998, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

IF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, would you advise a position in (check one or more): Municipal (city) government

1998

IF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, would you advise a position in (check one or more): Municipal (city) government

1994

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following?  
Municipal (city) government

1968

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following?



☐ Municipal (city)

1964

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following?

☐ Municipal (city)

---

**m 47f**

If respondent would advise a young person to pursue a career in public employment, would respondent recommend the education/public schools sector?

Years: 1964, 1968, 1994, 1998, 2008

0 – No

1 – Yes

2008

IF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, would you advise a position in (check one or more): Education

1998

IF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, would you advise a position in (check one or more): Public school

1994

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following? Public school

1968

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following?

☐ School District

1964

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following?

☐ School District

---

**m 47g**

If respondent would advise a young person to pursue a career in public employment, would respondent recommend another sector?

Years: 1994

0 – No

1 – Yes

1994

IF PUBLIC employment, would you advise a position in which one(s) of the following? ☐ Other

---

**m 48a**

Respondent lists legislators as a source of influence for new ideas.

Years: 1974, 1978

0 – No  
1 – Yes

Note: This was converted to a yes/no question. If an option was selected as any source of influence, regardless of ranking, was coded as "Yes."

1978

Would you rank, as far as possible, each of the sources in the order in which you feel the source contributes NEW IDEAS relevant to the improvement of your agency's programs and operations? (1=first-ranked sources, 2=second ranked, etc. Place a zero (0) before any source from which no new ideas are received.) Legislator

1974

Would you rank as far as possible these sources in the order in which you feel they contribute NEW IDEAS relevant to your agency and its program. (1=primary source, etc.) Legislator

**m\_49a**

---

Respondent lists local government officials as a source of influence for new ideas.  
Years: 1974, 1978

0 – No  
1 – Yes

Note: This was converted to a yes/no question. If an option was selected as any source of influence, regardless of ranking, was coded as "Yes."

1978

Would you rank, as far as possible, each of the sources in the order in which you feel the source contributes NEW IDEAS relevant to the improvement of your agency's programs and operations? (1=first-ranked sources, 2=second ranked, etc. Place a zero (0) before any source from which no new ideas are received.) Local government official

1974

Would you rank as far as possible these sources in the order in which you feel they contribute NEW IDEAS relevant to your agency and its program. (1=primary source, etc.) local government

**m\_50a**

---

Respondent lists other state agencies as a source of influence for new ideas.  
Years: 1974, 1978

0 – No  
1 – Yes

Note: This was converted to a yes/no question. If an option was selected as any source of influence, regardless of ranking, was coded as "Yes."

1978

Would you rank, as far as possible, each of the sources in the order in which you feel the source contributes NEW IDEAS relevant to the improvement of your agency's programs and operations? (1=first-ranked sources, 2=second ranked, etc. Place a zero (0) before any source from which no new ideas are received.) Other state agencies

1974

Would you rank as far as possible these sources in the order in which you feel they contribute NEW IDEAS relevant to your agency and its program. (1=primary source, etc.) other state agencies

---

**m\_51a**

Respondent lists sources within the respondent's own agency as a source of influence for new ideas.

Years: 1974, 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: This was converted to a yes/no question. If an option was selected as any source of influence, regardless of ranking, was coded as "Yes."

1978

Would you rank, as far as possible, each of the sources in the order in which you feel the source contributes NEW IDEAS relevant to the improvement of your agency's programs and operations? (1=first-ranked sources, 2=second ranked, etc. Place a zero (0) before any source from which no new ideas are received.) Sources within your agency

1974

Would you rank as far as possible these sources in the order in which you feel they contribute NEW IDEAS relevant to your agency and its program. (1=primary source, etc.) sources within your agency

---

**m\_52a**

Respondent lists clientele groups as a source of influence for new ideas.

Years: 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: This was converted to a yes/no question. If an option was selected as any source of influence, regardless of ranking, was coded as "Yes."

1978

Would you rank, as far as possible, each of the sources in the order in which you feel the source contributes NEW IDEAS relevant to the improvement of your agency's programs and operations? (1=first-ranked sources, 2=second ranked, etc. Place a zero (0) before any source from which no new ideas are received.) Clientele groups

**m 53a**

---

Respondent lists joint federal-state agencies as a source of influence for new ideas.

Years: 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: This was converted to a yes/no question. If an option was selected as any source of influence, regardless of ranking, was coded as "Yes."

1978

Would you rank, as far as possible, each of the sources in the order in which you feel the source contributes NEW IDEAS relevant to the improvement of your agency's programs and operations? (1=first-ranked sources, 2=second ranked, etc. Place a zero (0) before any source from which no new ideas are received.) Joint federal-state agencies

**m 54a**

---

Respondent lists the governor as a source of influence for new ideas.

Years: 1974, 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: This was converted to a yes/no question. If an option was selected as any source of influence, regardless of ranking, was coded as "Yes."

1978

Would you rank, as far as possible, each of the sources in the order in which you feel the source contributes NEW IDEAS relevant to the improvement of your agency's programs and operations? (1=first-ranked sources, 2=second ranked, etc. Place a zero (0) before any source from which no new ideas are received.) Governor

1974

Would you rank as far as possible these sources in the order in which you feel they contribute NEW IDEAS relevant to your agency and its program. (1=primary source, etc.) governor

**m 55a**

---

Respondent lists Federal government officials as a source of influence for new ideas.

Years: 1974, 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: This was converted to a yes/no question. If an option was selected as any source of influence, regardless of ranking, was coded as "Yes."

1978

Would you rank, as far as possible, each of the sources in the order in which you feel the source contributes NEW IDEAS relevant to the improvement of your agency's programs and operations? (1=first-ranked sources, 2=second ranked, etc. Place a zero (0) before any source from which no new ideas are received.) National government officials

1974

Would you rank as far as possible these sources in the order in which you feel they contribute NEW IDEAS relevant to your agency and its program. (1=primary source, etc.) National government

---

**m 56a**

Respondent lists university personnel as a source of influence for new ideas.

Years: 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: This was converted to a yes/no question. If an option was selected as any source of influence, regardless of ranking, was coded as "Yes."

1978

Would you rank, as far as possible, each of the sources in the order in which you feel the source contributes NEW IDEAS relevant to the improvement of your agency's programs and operations? (1=first-ranked sources, 2=second ranked, etc. Place a zero (0) before any source from which no new ideas are received.) University personnel

---

**m 57a**

Respondent lists officials in other states as a source of influence for new ideas.

Years: 1974, 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: This was converted to a yes/no question. If an option was selected as any source of influence, regardless of ranking, was coded as "Yes."

1978

Would you rank, as far as possible, each of the sources in the order in which you feel the source contributes NEW IDEAS relevant to the improvement of your agency's programs and operations? (1=first-ranked sources, 2=second ranked, etc. Place a zero (0) before any source from which no new ideas are received.) Officials in other states

1974

Would you rank as far as possible these sources in the order in which you feel they contribute NEW IDEAS relevant to your agency and its program. (1=primary source, etc.) other states

---

**m 58a**

Respondent lists professional association(s) as a source of influence for new ideas.

Years: 1974, 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: This was converted to a yes/no question. If an option was selected as any source of influence, regardless of ranking, was coded as "Yes."

1978

Would you rank, as far as possible, each of the sources in the order in which you feel the source contributes NEW IDEAS relevant to the improvement of your agency's programs and operations? (1=first-ranked sources, 2=second ranked, etc. Place a zero (0) before any source from which no new ideas are received.) Professional association(s)

1974

Would you rank as far as possible these sources in the order in which you feel they contribute NEW IDEAS relevant to your agency and its program. (1=primary source, etc.) Professional association(s)

---

**m 59a**

Respondent lists interstate agencies as a source of influence for new ideas.

Years: 1978

0 – No

1 – Yes

Note: This was converted to a yes/no question. If an option was selected as any source of influence, regardless of ranking, was coded as "Yes."

1978

Would you rank, as far as possible, each of the sources in the order in which you feel the source contributes NEW IDEAS relevant to the improvement of your agency's programs and operations? (1=first-ranked sources, 2=second ranked, etc. Place a zero (0) before any source from which no new ideas are received.) Interstate agencies

---

**m 60a**

Has the respondent received any job offers from other states while holding their current position?

Years: 1974

0 – No

1 – Yes

1974

During the time you have held your current position, have you received job offers from any of the following? (check wherever appropriate) other states

---

**m 60b**

Has the respondent received any job offers from local governments while holding their current position?

Years: 1974

0 – No

1 – Yes

1974

During the time you have held your current position, have you received job offers from any of the following? (check wherever appropriate) local governments

---

**m 60c**

Has the respondent received any job offers from the Federal government while holding their current position?

Years: 1974

0 – No

1 – Yes

1974

During the time you have held your current position, have you received job offers from any of the following? (check wherever appropriate) Federal government

---

**m 60d**

Has the respondent received any job offers from private business(s) while holding their current position?

Years: 1974

0 – No

1 – Yes

1974

During the time you have held your current position, have you received job offers from any of the following? (check wherever appropriate) Private business

---

**m 61a**

If applicable, respondent believes position should (continue to be) popularly elected

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974

0 – No

- 1 – Yes
- 2 – Not applicable

1974

Do you think your office should continue to be popularly elected? \_\_Yes \_\_No

1968

Should your office continue to be subject to popular election? \_\_\_\_Yes; \_\_\_\_No

1964

Should your office continue to be subject to popular election? \_\_Yes; \_\_No

**m 61b**

---

If applicable, ranking the reasons the respondent believes are responsible for their election (1=first). **Financial support.**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974

1 – 1

2 – 2

Note: data from the top two responses in 1974 were kept

1974

Please rank, insofar as possible, the reasons you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (Rank them in order of greatest importance (1= first, etc.) financial support

1968

Please check the two (2) reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (check two)

1964

Please check the two reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election.

**m 61c**

---

If applicable, ranking the reasons the respondent believes are responsible for their election (1=first). **Party organization support.**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974

1 – 1

2 – 2

Note: data from the top two responses in 1974 were kept

1974

Please rank, insofar as possible, the reasons you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (Rank them in order of greatest importance (1= first, etc.) Party organization support.



1968

Please check the two (2) reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (check two) Party organization support.

1964

Please check the two reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. Party organization support.

**m 61d**

---

If applicable, ranking the reasons the respondent believes are responsible for their election (1=first). **Respondent's personal organization**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974

1 – 1

2 – 2

Note: data from the top two responses in 1974 were kept

1974

Please rank, insofar as possible, the reasons you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (Rank them in order of greatest importance (1= first, etc.) Your personal organization

1968

Please check the two (2) reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (check two) Your personal organization

1964

Please check the two reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. Your personal organization

**m 61e**

---

If applicable, ranking the reasons the respondent believes are responsible for their election (1=first). **Respondent's experience (apart from incumbency).**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974

1 – 1

2 – 2

Note: data from the top two responses in 1974 were kept

1974

Please rank, insofar as possible, the reasons you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (Rank them in order of greatest importance (1= first, etc.) Your experience (apart from incumbency)

1968

Please check the two (2) reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (check two) Your experience (apart from incumbency)

1964

Please check the two reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. Your experience (apart from incumbency)

**m 61f**

---

If applicable, ranking the reasons the respondent believes are responsible for their election (1=first). **Gubernatorial support.**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974

1 – 1

2 – 2

Note: data from the top two responses in 1974 were kept

1974

Please rank, insofar as possible, the reasons you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (Rank them in order of greatest importance (1= first, etc.) Gubernatorial support.

1968

Please check the two (2) reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (check two) Gubernatorial support.

1964

Please check the two reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. Gubernatorial support.

**m 61g**

---

If applicable, ranking the reasons the respondent believes are responsible for their election (1=first). **Gubernatorial or presidential coattails.**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974

1 – 1

2 – 2

Note: data from the top two responses in 1974 were kept

1974

Please rank, insofar as possible, the reasons you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (Rank them in order of greatest importance (1= first, etc.) Gubernatorial or presidential coattails

1968

Please check the two (2) reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (check two) Gubernatorial or presidential coattails

1964

Please check the two reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. Gubernatorial or presidential coattails

**m 61h**

---

If applicable, ranking the reasons the respondent believes are responsible for their election (1=first). **Respondent's personal prominence.**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974

1 – 1

2 – 2

Note: data from the top two responses in 1974 were kept

1974

Please rank, insofar as possible, the reasons you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (Rank them in order of greatest importance (1= first, etc.) Personal prominence.

1968

Please check the two (2) reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (check two) Personal prominence.

1964

Please check the two reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. Personal prominence.

**m 61i**

---

If applicable, ranking the reasons the respondent believes are responsible for their election (1=first). **Issues and platforms.**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974

1 – 1

2 – 2

Note: data from the top two responses in 1974 were kept

1974

Please rank, insofar as possible, the reasons you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (Rank them in order of greatest importance (1= first, etc.) Issues and platforms.

1968

Please check the two (2) reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (check two) Issues and platforms.

1964

Please check the two reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. Issues and platforms.

**m 61j**

---

If applicable, ranking the reasons the respondent believes are responsible for their election (1=first). **Interest group support.**

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974

1 – 1

2 – 2

Note: data from the top two responses in 1974 were kept

1974

Please rank, insofar as possible, the reasons you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (Rank them in order of greatest importance (1= first, etc.) Interest group support

1968

Please check the two (2) reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (check two) Interest group support

1964

Please check the two reasons that you consider chiefly responsible for your election. Interest group support

**m 62a**

---

If applicable, ranking the reasons the respondent believes are responsible for their election (1=first). Other.

Years: 1974

1 – 1

2 – 2

Note: data from the top two responses in 1974 were kept

1974

Please rank, insofar as possible, the reasons you consider chiefly responsible for your election. (Rank them in order of greatest importance (1= first, etc.) other (please specify)\_\_\_\_

**m 63a**

---

Respondent's religious self-identification.

Years: 1964, 1968

- 1 – Catholic
- 2 – Protestant
- 3 – Jewish
- 4 – Other

1968

What is your religious preference? Catholic; Jewish; Protestant (denomination)\_\_\_\_\_;  
Mormon; Other

1964

What is your religious preference? Catholic; Protestant; Jewish; Other

**m 64a**

---

Number of clubs, organizations, or fraternal groups of which respondent is a member.

Years: 1964, 1968

Continuous

1968

How many clubs, organizations, and fraternal groups are you a member of? \_\_\_\_ (total)

1964

How many clubs, organizations, and fraternal groups are you a member of? \_\_\_\_ (total)

**m 64b**

---

If applicable, how active respondent is in the clubs, organizations, or fraternal groups in which they are a member.

Years: 1964, 1968

- 0 – Not applicable
- 1 – Inactive
- 2 – Active
- 3 – Very active

1968

How active are you in such groups? \_\_very active; \_\_active; \_\_inactive

1964

How active are you in such groups? \_\_very active; \_\_active; \_\_inactive

**m 65a**

---

Respondent believes state governments should move quickly to insure equal treatment for all their citizens.

Years: 1964, 1968

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

Note: there are no undecided responses

1968

State governments should move more quickly to insure equal treatment for all their citizens.

SA A U D SD

1964

State governments should move more quickly to insure equal treatment for all their citizens.

SA A U D SD

**m\_66a**

---

Respondent believes states should substantially increase direct grants to local units.

Years: 1968

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

Note: there are no undecided responses

1968

The states should substantially increase direct grants to local units. SA A U D DA

**m\_67a**

---

Respondent believes public employees should have the right to organize and bargain collectively.

Years: 1968

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

Note: there are no undecided responses

1968

Public employees should have the right to organize and bargain collectively. SA A U D SD

---

**m\_68a**

Respondent believes public employees in non-essential service should have the right to strike.

Years: 1968

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

Note: there are no undecided responses

1968

Public employees in non-essential service should have the right to strike. SA A U D SD

---

**m\_69a**

To what degree does respondent believe the state should increase or decrease its current level of activity regarding water pollution?

Years: 1968

- 1 – Substantial increase
- 2 – Moderate increase
- 3 – Moderate decrease
- 4 – Substantial decrease

1968

In recent years a number of states have expanded old programs and begun new programs aimed primarily toward solving problems facing local units of government. To what degree should your state increase or decrease its current level of activity in the following program areas. (Substantial increase, moderate increase, moderate decrease, substantial decrease) Water pollution

---

**m\_70a**

To what degree does respondent believe the state should increase or decrease its current level of activity regarding air pollution?

Years: 1968

- 1 – Substantial increase
- 2 – Moderate increase
- 3 – Moderate decrease
- 4 – Substantial decrease

1968

In recent years a number of states have expanded old programs and begun new programs aimed primarily toward solving problems facing local units of government. To what degree should your state increase or decrease its current level of activity in the following program areas. (Substantial increase, moderate increase, moderate decrease, substantial decrease) Air pollution

**m 71a**

---

To what degree does respondent believe the state should increase or decrease its current level of activity regarding traffic safety?

Years: 1968

- 1 – Substantial increase
- 2 – Moderate increase
- 3 – Moderate decrease
- 4 – Substantial decrease

1968

In recent years a number of states have expanded old programs and begun new programs aimed primarily toward solving problems facing local units of government. To what degree should your state increase or decrease its current level of activity in the following program areas. (Substantial increase, moderate increase, moderate decrease, substantial decrease) Traffic safety.

**m 72a**

---

To what degree does respondent believe the state should increase or decrease its current level of activity regarding urban and regional planning?

Years: 1968

- 1 – Substantial increase
- 2 – Moderate increase
- 3 – Moderate decrease
- 4 – Substantial decrease

1968

In recent years a number of states have expanded old programs and begun new programs aimed primarily toward solving problems facing local units of government. To what degree should your state increase or decrease its current level of activity in the following program areas. (Substantial increase, moderate increase, moderate decrease, substantial decrease) Urban and regional planning

**m 73a**

---

To what degree does respondent believe the state should increase or decrease its current level of activity regarding economic opportunities?

Years: 1968

- 1 – Substantial increase
- 2 – Moderate increase



- 3 – Moderate decrease
- 4 – Substantial decrease

1968

In recent years a number of states have expanded old programs and begun new programs aimed primarily toward solving problems facing local units of government. To what degree should your state increase or decrease its current level of activity in the following program areas. (Substantial increase, moderate increase, moderate decrease, substantial decrease) Economic opportunities

---

**m 74a**

To what degree does respondent believe the state should increase or decrease its current level of activity regarding technical services?

Years: 1968

- 1 – Substantial increase
- 2 – Moderate increase
- 3 – Moderate decrease
- 4 – Substantial decrease

1968

In recent years a number of states have expanded old programs and begun new programs aimed primarily toward solving problems facing local units of government. To what degree should your state increase or decrease its current level of activity in the following program areas. (substantial increase, moderate increase, moderate decrease, substantial decrease) Technical services

---

**m 75a**

To what degree does respondent believe the state should increase or decrease its current level of activity regarding education?

Years: 1968

- 1 – Substantial increase
- 2 – Moderate increase
- 3 – Moderate decrease
- 4 – Substantial decrease

1968

In recent years a number of states have expanded old programs and begun new programs aimed primarily toward solving problems facing local units of government. To what degree should your state increase or decrease its current level of activity in the following program areas. (Substantial increase, moderate increase, moderate decrease, substantial decrease) Education

---

**m 76a**

To what degree does respondent believe the state should increase or decrease its current level of activity regarding highways and roads?

Years: 1968

- 1 – Substantial increase
- 2 – Moderate increase
- 3 – Moderate decrease
- 4 – Substantial decrease

1968

In recent years a number of states have expanded old programs and begun new programs aimed primarily toward solving problems facing local units of government. To what degree should your state increase or decrease its current level of activity in the following program areas. (Substantial increase, moderate increase, moderate decrease, substantial decrease) Highway and roads

**m 77a**

---

Regarding civil unrest in 1967, to what extent does the respondent agree or disagree with the following statement: The state rather than the federal government has basic responsibility for maintaining civil order.

Years: 1968

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

Note: there were no undecided responses

1968

The summer of 1967 was a time of unrest in many large urban centers. A number of questions have arisen in the public press with regard to the cause and solutions of the civil unrest. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (SA A U D SD) The state rather than the federal government has basic responsibility for maintaining civil order.

**m 78a**

---

Regarding civil unrest in 1967, to what extent does the respondent agree or disagree with the following statement: The federal government rather than the state has basic responsibility for maintaining civil order.

Years: 1968

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

Note: there are no undecided responses

1968

The summer of 1967 was a time of unrest in many large urban centers. A number of questions have arisen in the public press with regard to the cause and solutions of the civil unrest. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (SA A U D SD) The federal government rather than the state has basic responsibility for maintaining civil order.

**m 79a**

---

Regarding civil unrest in 1967, to what extent does the respondent agree or disagree with the following statement: The governor of a state should be able to call upon the federal government for immediate assistance.

Years: 1968

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

Note: there are no undecided responses

1968

The summer of 1967 was a time of unrest in many large urban centers. A number of questions have arisen in the public press with regard to the cause and solutions of the civil unrest. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (SA A U D SD) The governor of a state should be able to call upon the federal government for immediate assistance.

**m 80a**

---

Regarding civil unrest in 1967, to what extent does the respondent agree or disagree with the following statement: Government has the responsibility to guarantee an adequate standard of living for all citizens.

Years: 1968

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

Note: there are no undecided responses

1968

The summer of 1967 was a time of unrest in many large urban centers. A number of questions have arisen in the public press with regard to the cause and solutions of the civil unrest. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (SA A U D SD) Government has the responsibility to guarantee an adequate standard of living for all citizens.

**m 81a**

---

Regarding civil unrest in 1967, to what extent does the respondent agree or disagree with the following statement: An individual has a right to welfare.

Years: 1968

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

Note: there are no undecided responses

1968

The summer of 1967 was a time of unrest in many large urban centers. A number of questions have arisen in the public press with regard to the cause and solutions of the civil unrest. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (SA A U D SD) An individual has a right to welfare.

**m\_82a**

---

Regarding civil unrest in 1967, to what extent does the respondent agree or disagree with the following statement: The federal government should establish a special riot police force.

Years: 1968

- 1 – Strongly Agree
- 2 – Agree
- 3 – Undecided
- 4 – Disagree
- 5 – Strongly Disagree

Note: there are no undecided responses

1968

The summer of 1967 was a time of unrest in many large urban centers. A number of questions have arisen in the public press with regard to the cause and solutions of the civil unrest. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (SA A U D SD) The federal government should establish a special riot police force.

**m\_83a**

---

How much influence did the respondent believe the following factor had upon causing civil disorders in 1967: Poor public relations by police

Years: 1968

- 1 – No influence
- 2 – Little influence
- 3 – Moderate influence
- 4 – Major influence

1968

In your estimate, how much influence did the following factors have upon causing the recent civil disorders (Major Influence, Moderate Influence, Little Influence, No Influence) Poor public relations by police

**m\_84a**

---

How much influence did the respondent believe the following factor had upon causing civil disorders in 1967: Social injustice

Years: 1968

- 1 – No influence
- 2 – Little influence
- 3 – Moderate influence
- 4 – Major influence

1968

In your estimate, how much influence did the following factors have upon causing the recent civil disorders (Major Influence, Moderate Influence, Little Influence, No Influence) Social injustice

**m\_85a**

---

How much influence did the respondent believe the following factor had upon causing civil disorders in 1967: Poverty-war programs

Years: 1968

- 1 – No influence
- 2 – Little influence
- 3 – Moderate influence
- 4 – Major influence

1968

In your estimate, how much influence did the following factors have upon causing the recent civil disorders (Major Influence, Moderate Influence, Little Influence, No Influence) Poverty-war programs

**m\_86a**

---

How much influence did the respondent believe the following factor had upon causing civil disorders in 1967: Lack of economic opportunity

Years: 1968

- 1 – No influence
- 2 – Little influence
- 3 – Moderate influence
- 4 – Major influence

1968

In your estimate, how much influence did the following factors have upon causing the recent civil disorders (Major Influence, Moderate Influence, Little Influence, No Influence) Lack of economic opportunity

**m 87a**

---

How much influence did the respondent believe the following factor had upon causing civil disorders in 1967: Extended unemployment

Years: 1968

- 1 – No influence
- 2 – Little influence
- 3 – Moderate influence
- 4 – Major influence

1968

In your estimate, how much influence did the following factors have upon causing the recent civil disorders (Major Influence, Moderate Influence, Little Influence, No Influence) Extended unemployment

**m 88a**

---

How much influence did the respondent believe the following factor had upon causing civil disorders in 1967: Outside agitators

Years: 1968

- 1 – No influence
- 2 – Little influence
- 3 – Moderate influence
- 4 – Major influence

1968

In your estimate, how much influence did the following factors have upon causing the recent civil disorders (Major Influence, Moderate Influence, Little Influence, No Influence) Outside agitators

**m 89a**

---

How much influence did the respondent believe the following factor had upon causing civil disorders in 1967: Recent U.S. Supreme Court Decisions

Years: 1968

- 1 – No influence
- 2 – Little influence
- 3 – Moderate influence
- 4 – Major influence

1968

In your estimate, how much influence did the following factors have upon causing the recent civil disorders (Major Influence, Moderate Influence, Little Influence, No Influence) Recent U.S. Supreme Court Decisions

**m\_90a**

---

How much influence did the respondent believe the following factor had upon causing civil disorders in 1967: Crowded slum conditions

Years: 1968

- 1 – No influence
- 2 – Little influence
- 3 – Moderate influence
- 4 – Major influence

1968

In your estimate, how much influence did the following factors have upon causing the recent civil disorders (Major Influence, Moderate Influence, Little Influence, No Influence) Crowded slum conditions

**m\_91a**

---

How much influence did the respondent believe the following factor had upon causing civil disorders in 1967: Poverty-war personnel

Years: 1968

- 1 – No influence
- 2 – Little influence
- 3 – Moderate influence
- 4 – Major influence

1968

In your estimate, how much influence did the following factors have upon causing the recent civil disorders (Major Influence, Moderate Influence, Little Influence, No Influence) Poverty-war personnel

## **Agency Rules**

*Questions related to the rulemaking process for agencies*

**n\_1a**

---

Does your agency ever issue rules?

Years: 2018

- 0 – No
- 1 – Yes

2018

Does your agency ever issue rules? Again, by “rules”, we mean any rules or regulations that are generally applicable and are legally binding on the public. (If no, skip to section h, Receipt of Federal Aid)

**n\_2a**

---

In an average year, how many rules does your agency initiate?

Years: 2018

- 1 – 1 to 4
- 2 – 5 to 10
- 3 – 11 to 20
- 4 – 21 to 30
- 5 – 31 to 50
- 6 – 51 or more

2018

In an average year, how many rules does your agency initiate?

**n\_3a**

---

In an average year, how many rules does your agency promulgate? By “promulgate” we mean finalize as legally binding policy on the public.

Years: 2018

- 1 – 1 to 4
- 2 – 5 to 10
- 3 – 11 to 20
- 4 – 21 to 30
- 5 – 31 to 50
- 6 – 51 or more

2018

In an average year, how many rules does your agency promulgate? By “promulgate” we mean finalize as legally binding policy on the public.

**n\_4a**

---

On average, how long does it take your agency to develop and advance a rule through the regulatory process to final promulgation? Please begin counting from the decision to initiate a rule.

Years: 2018

- 1 – Less than 6 months
- 2 – 6 months to 1 year
- 3 – 1 to 2 years
- 4 – 3 to 4 years
- 5 – 5 or more years



2018

On average, how long does it take your agency to develop and advance a rule through the regulatory process to final promulgation? Please begin counting from the decision to initiate a rule.

**n\_5a**

---

For what percentage of the rules your agency initiates does it solicit written public comments?  
Years: 2018

- 1 – Less than 25%
- 2 – 25 to 49%
- 3 – 50 to 74%
- 4 – 75 to 90%
- 5 – 90% or more

2018

For what percentage of the rules your agency initiates does it solicit written public comments?

**n\_6a**

---

On average, when your agency has solicited written public comments, how many comments does your agency receive?  
Years: 2018

- 0 – 0
- 1 – 1 to 4
- 2 – 5 to 20
- 3 – 21 to 50
- 4 – 51 to 100
- 5 – More than 100

2018

On average, when your agency has solicited written public comments, how many comments does your agency receive?

**n\_7a**

---

On average, when your agency has solicited written public comments, how often does your agency make substantive changes to a proposed rule in response to the comments it receives?  
Years: 2018

- 0 – Never
- 1 – Rarely
- 2 – Sometimes
- 3 – Very often
- 4 – Extremely often

2018

On average, when your agency has solicited written public comments, how often does your agency make substantive changes to a proposed rule in response to the comments it receives?

**n\_8a**

---

On average, how much does the possibility that your agency's rules may be appealed within the state or federal court systems affect your agency's actions?

Years: 2018

- 0 – Not at all
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

On average, how much does the possibility that your agency's rules may be appealed within the state or federal court systems affect your agency's actions?

**n\_9a**

---

In some states, the legislature has a formal review process for agency rules to proceed through before they are finalized. For an average rule, how much does the legislature's review improve the rule's quality?

Years: 2018

- 0 – Not at all
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

In some states, the legislature has a formal review process for agency rules to proceed through before they are finalized. For an average rule, how much does the legislature's review improve the rule's quality?

**n\_9b**

---

In some states, the governor's office has a formal review process for agency rules to proceed through before they are finalized. For an average rule, how much does the governor's office review improve the rule's quality?

Years: 2018

- 0 – Not at all
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

In some states, the governor's office has a formal review process for agency rules to proceed through before they are finalized. For an average rule, how much does the governor's office review improve the rule's quality?

**n\_10a**

---

In an average year, how many of these policy instruments does your agency issue?

Years: 2018

- 0 – None
- 1 – 1 to 4
- 2 – 5 to 10
- 3 – 11 to 20
- 4 – 21 to 30
- 5 – 31 to 50
- 6 – 51 or more

2018

Now, we want to move away from legally-binding rules and regulation, and ask about generally applicable but non-legally binding policy instruments, such as policy statements and guidance documents. In an average year, how many of these policy instruments does your agency issue?

## **Policy Tools**

*Questions related to agency use of various tools to accomplish policy and program priorities*

**o\_1a**

---

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities? **Enforcement**

Years: 2018

- 0 – Not at all
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities: **Enforcement**

**o\_1b**

---

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities? **Permitting**

Years: 2018

- 0 – Not at all
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities: Permitting

**o\_1c**

---

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities? **Rules/Regulations**

Years: 2018

- 0 – Not at all
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities: Rules/Regulations

**o\_1d**

---

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities? **Policy Statements/Guidance Documents**

Years: 2018

- 0 – Not at all
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities: Policy Statements/Guidance Documents

**o\_1e**

---

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities? **Public Educational Programming**

Years: 2018

- 0 – Not at all
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities: Public Educational Programming

**o\_1f**

---

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities? **Grants to Others**

Years: 2018

- 0 – Not at all
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities: Grants to Others

**o\_1g**

---

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities? **Contracting Out**

Years: 2018

- 0 – Not at all
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities: Contracting Out

**o\_1h**

---

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities? **Inspections**

Years: 2018

- 0 – Not at all
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities: Inspections

**o\_1i**

---

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities? **Government Benefits Distribution**

Years: 2018

- 0 – Not at all
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities: Government Benefits Distribution

**o\_1j**

---

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities? **Direct Service Provision**

Years: 2018

- 0 – Not at all
- 1 – A little
- 2 – Some
- 3 – Quite a bit
- 4 – A great deal

2018

How much does your agency use the following tools to accomplish its policy or program priorities: Direct Service Provision

## Other Information

*The respondent's state of employment, agency functional category, and survey year*

### State

American state of respondent's employment

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

Code	State	Abbreviation
1	Alabama	AL
2	Alaska	AK
3	Arizona	AZ
4	Arkansas	AR
5	California	CA
6	Colorado	CO
7	Connecticut	CT
8	Delaware	DE
9	Florida	FL
10	Georgia	GA
11	Hawaii	HI
12	Idaho	ID
13	Illinois	IL
14	Indiana	IN
15	Iowa	IA
16	Kansas	KS
17	Kentucky	KY
18	Louisiana	LA
19	Maine	ME
20	Maryland	MD
21	Massachusetts	MA
22	Michigan	MI
23	Minnesota	MN
24	Mississippi	MS
25	Missouri	MO

Code	State	Abbreviation
26	Montana	MT
27	Nebraska	NE
28	Nevada	NV
29	New Hampshire	NH
30	New Jersey	NJ
31	New Mexico	NM
32	New York	NY
33	North Carolina	NC
34	North Dakota	ND
35	Ohio	OH
36	Oklahoma	OK
37	Oregon	OR
38	Pennsylvania	PA
39	Rhode Island	RI
40	South Carolina	SC
41	South Dakota	SD
42	Tennessee	TN
43	Texas	TX
44	Utah	UT
45	Vermont	VT
46	Virginia	VA
47	Washington	WA
48	West Virginia	WV
49	Wisconsin	WI
50	Wyoming	WY

**Funcat13**

---

Functional category of respondent's agency

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

Code	Functional Category	Example Agencies
1	Elected Officials	Treasurer, Secretary of State, and Attorney General
2	Staff-Fiscal	Revenue, Administration, and Comptroller
3	Staff-Non Fiscal	Personnel, Planning, and Information Systems
4	Income Security and Social Services	Aging, Unemployment Insurance, and Welfare
5	Education	Education, Higher Education, and Special Education
6	Health	Health, Mental Health, and Agency Medical Services
7	Natural Resources	Fish and Wildlife, Natural Resources, and Soil Conservation
8	Environment and Energy	Environmental Protection, Solid Waste Management, and Energy
9	Economic Development	Commerce, Economic Development, and Lottery
10	Criminal Justice	Corrections, Juvenile Rehabilitation, and Parole and Probation
11	Regulatory	Banking, Food and Drugs, and Securities
12	Transportation	Highways, Mass Transportation, and Motor Vehicle Registration
13	Other	Emergency Management, Libraries, and Public Works

**Year**

---

Year in which respondent participated in the ASAP survey.

Years: 1964, 1968, 1974, 1978, 1984, 1988, 1994, 1998, 2004, 2008, 2018

1964  
1968  
1974  
1978  
1984  
1988  
1994  
1998  
2004  
2008  
2018